Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 118.04 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 10, 2025.

Steven Presing,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Surrogate Country Selection and Selection of Surrogate Values and Financial Statements

Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Collapse Shanghai Yueda Nails Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Yueda) and Shanghai Yueda Nails (Chuzhou) Ltd. (Chuzhou Yueda)

Comment 3: Timeliness of Shanghai Yueda's Surrogate Value Submission Comment 4: Correction to Movement Expenses

Comment 5: Correction to Unit of Measurement for U.S. Quantity Comment 6: Correction to Packing Cost Calculation

VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies for Which the Administrative Review Has Been Rescinded

- 1. Hebei Minmetals Co., Ltd.
- 2. Nanjing Caiqing Hardware Co., Ltd.
- 3. Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd.
- 4. Shandong Qingyun Hongyi Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
- 5. Shanxi Hairui Trade Co., Ltd.
- 6. Suntec Industries Co., Ltd.
- 7. Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd.
- 8. Xi'an Metals & Minerals Import & Export Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2025–10947 Filed 6–13–25; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Washington et. al; Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before July 7, 2025. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 40005, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Please also email a copy of those comments to Eva.Kim@trade.gov.

Docket Number: 25–011. Applicant: University of Washington, 4300 Roosevelt Way NE, Roosevelt Commons West, Seattle, WA 98105–4718. Instrument: Femtosecond lasers with ultrahigh power. Manufacturer: Ultronphotonics Co. Ltd, China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to study two-dimensional materials, which are atomically thin structures with unique quantum properties. It will also be used to investigate semiconductors to better

understand how they process information and energy. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 12, 2025.

Docket Number: 25-012. Applicant: Harvard University, 60 Oxford Street, Room M01, Cambridge, MA 02138. Instrument: Narrow Linewidth Laser. Manufacturer: Shanghai Precilaser Technology Co. Ltd., China Intended Use: The instrument will be used in the construction and exploration of novel architectures for quantum information processing via a dual-species (rubidium and ytterbium), continuously reloaded atom array. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 25,

Docket Number: 25-013. Applicant: South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, 501 E Saint Joseph St. Rapid City, SD 57701. Instrument: 2D material transfer stage with an optical microscope. Manufacturer: High Hope Zhongding Corporation, China. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to control exciton-polariton interactions in 2D material heterostructures for applications in optoelectronic, electronic devices such as photodetectors, light-emitting devices. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 3,

Docket Number: 25-014. Applicant: William Marsh Rice University, P.O. Box 1892, MS094, Houston, TX 77251-1892. Instrument: Erbium Vacuum System. Manufacturer: Limit Vacuum Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd., China. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to develop quantum simulation technologies to investigate fundamental quantum mechanical properties of quantum matter and potentially develop new novel quantum materials. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 21,

Docket Number: 25–015. Applicant: California Institute of Technology, 1200 E California Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91125. Instrument:Narrow Linewidth Laser System. Manufacturer: Shanghai Precilaser Technology Co. Ltd., China. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to conduct quantum science experiments with cesium-133 atoms to demonstrate deep quantum circuits and error-corrected quantum simulation. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 25, 2025.

Dated: June 9, 2025.

Tyler J. O'Daniel,

Acting Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement & Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025-10949 Filed 6-13-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-469-817]

Ripe Olives From Spain: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2022, through July 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable June 16, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Kebker or Maria Aymerich, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

(202) 482–5075 and (202) 482–1785, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 12, 2024, Commerce published the Preliminary Results of the 2022-2023 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ripe olives from Spain and invited comments from interested parties. On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled administrative deadlines by 90 days.2 On March 18, 2025, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results until June 9, 2025.3 For a complete description of the events that occurred since the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order 5

The products covered by the *Order* are ripe olives from Spain. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised by the interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The topics discussed and the issues raised by parties to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are listed in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at https://access.trade.gov/public/ FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For further discussion of these changes, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be assigned to respondents not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination of companies subject to the administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the allothers rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for respondents not individually examined in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the allothers rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}." Accordingly, in the final results of review, we are assigning to the companies not individually examined, listed in the chart below, an estimated weighted-average dumping margin based on the average of Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S. Coop. And.'s (Agro Sevilla), and Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L.'s (Camacho) rates weighted by their publicly available ranged U.S. sales values.7

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period August 1, 2022, through July 31, 2023:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S. Coop. And	2.64
Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L	3.68
Aceitunera del Norte de Cáceres, S.Coop.Ltda. de 2 Grado	3.08

¹ See Ripe Olives from Spain: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2022–2023, 89 FR 74207 (September 12, 2024) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 18, 2025.
See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision

⁴ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Ripe Olives from Spain; 2022–2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Ripe Olives from Spain: Antidumping Duty Order, 83 FR 37465 (August 1, 2018); see also Ripe

Olives from Spain: Notice of Correction to Antidumping Duty Order, 83 FR 39691 (August 10, 2018) (collectively, Order).

 $^{^6\,}$ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁷ See Preliminary Results PDM at 4, unchanged in these final results; see also Memorandum, "Calculation of the Final Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice.