with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385.2010.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–6956 Filed 12–6–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP05-691-000]

CenterPoint Energy-Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Notice of Postponement of Technical Conference

November 30, 2005.

Take notice that the technical conference scheduled for Tuesday, December 6, 2005, has been postponed until Tuesday, January 24, 2006, at 10 a.m. (e.s.t.), in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–6958 Filed 12–6–05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM01-8-000; Docket No. ER02-2001-000]

Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements; Electric Quarterly Reports; Notice of Electric Quarterly Reports Users Group Meeting

November 29, 2005.

On April 25, 2002, the Commission issued Order No. 2001,¹ a final rule which requires public utilities to file Electric Quarterly Reports. Order 2001–C, issued December 18, 2002, instructs all public utilities to file these reports using Electric Quarterly Report Submission Software. This notice announces a meeting for the EQR Users Group to be held Wednesday, December 14, 2005, via teleconference. The meeting will run from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. e.s.t.

During the teleconference, Commission staff and EQR users will discuss the technical compliance screening process for EQR filings. The call will include a discussion of the overall process as well as a review of specific screens. A detailed agenda will be provided on http://www.ferc.gov prior to the meeting.

All interested parties are invited to call in. Documents to be discussed at the meeting will be posted on the EQR Users Group and Workshops page on FERC.gov at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eqr/groups-workshops.asp. The workshop will only be available via teleconference.

Those interested in participating are asked to do so by registering on the FERC Web site at https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/eqr-1129-form.asp. There is no registration fee.

Interested parties wishing to file comments may do so under the above-captioned Docket Numbers. Those filings will be available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link.

Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or via phone at (866) 208–3676 (toll-free). For TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

For additional information, please contact Michelle Reaux of FERC's Office of Market Oversight & Investigations at (202) 502–6497 or by e-mail at eqr@ferc.gov.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–6941 Filed 12–6–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Membership of Performance Review Board for Senior Executives (PRB)

November 28, 2005.

The Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission hereby provides notice of
the membership of its Performance
Review Board (PRB) for the
Commission's Senior Executive Service
(SES) members. The function of this
board is to make recommendations
relating to the performance of senior
executives in the Commission. This
action is undertaken in accordance with
Title 5, U.S.C., section 4314(c)(4). The
Commission's PRB will add the
following member: Susan J. Court.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–6929 Filed 12–6–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2002-1; FRL-8005-7]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Oglethorpe Power Company—Wansley Combined Cycle Energy Facility; Roopville (Heard County), GA

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of amended final order denying petition to object to a state operating permit in response to remand.

SUMMARY: This Amended Order Responding to Remand corrects certain errors that were found in the Order Responding to Remand that was issued on September 15, 2005. The September 15th Order, which is superseded by this Order, is being amended to correct certain clerical errors and to address a factual error in note 13 of that order regarding whether Oglethorpe Power Company (Oglethorpe) had any

¹Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements, Order No. 2001, 67 FR 31043, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,127 (April 25, 2002); reh'g denied, Order No. 2001–A, 100 FERC ¶ 61,074, reconsideration and clarification denied, Order No. 2001–B, 100 FERC ¶ 61,342 (2002).

ownership interest in units at Plant Wansley operated by Georgia Power Company. The Administrator issued the preceding Order Responding to Remand denying a petition to object to a state operating permit issued to Oglethorpe-Wansley Combined Cycle Energy Facility (Block 8) located in Roopville, Heard County, Georgia, pursuant to title V of the Clean Air Act (the Act), 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. On February 4, 2002, Sierra Club had filed a petition seeking EPA's objection to the title V operating permit for Block 8 issued by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD). The Administrator denied the petition in an Order dated November 15, 2002. Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Act, Sierra Club appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (the Court), arguing that Oglethorpe was not entitled to a permit for Block 8 (in accordance with Georgia's Statewide Compliance Rule) because it owns part of another major stationary source that has been cited for non-compliance with the Act. On May 5, 2004, the Court granted Sierra Club's petition for review, vacated the November 12, 2002, Order, and remanded to EPA for further explanation of the manner in which the Georgia rule should be applied in cases of partial ownership. After considering the issues raised by the Court, the Amended Order Responding to Remand (like the Order Responding to Remand) reached the same conclusion as EPA's original Order, but provided a more detailed explanation.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Amended Order Responding to Remand, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The amended final order is also available electronically at the following address: http://www.epa.gov/region7/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/opcwansley_decision2002_amendedremand.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562–9115 or hofmeister.art@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Georgia Center for Law in the Public Interest originally submitted a petition on behalf of the Sierra Club (Petitioner) to the Administrator on February 4, 2002, requesting that EPA object to a state title V operating permit issued by the EPD to Oglethorpe. Other inconsistencies (with the Act) alleged by

the Petitioner were: (1) That the permit failed to require a case-by-case maximum achievable control technology determination for the emissions of hazardous air pollutants; (2) that the permit failed to include adequate monitoring of carbon monoxide; (3) that the permit impermissibly limited the enforceability of a federal stack height provision; and (4) that the permit failed to include short-term best available control technology limits. EPA's responses to the above issues in the November 12, 2002, Order were upheld by the Court; therefore, sections IV.B. through IV.E. of the November 12, 2002, Order are incorporated by reference into the Amended Order Responding to Remand.

Dated: November 27, 2005.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 05–23720 Filed 12–6–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0061; FRL-7742-6]

Azinphos-methyl Ecological Risk Assessment, Grower Impact Assessments; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of EPA's environmental fate and effects risk assessment, grower impact assessments, and related documents for the organophosphate pesticide azinphos-methyl, and opens a 60–day public comment period on these documents. EPA is in the process of reevaluating the remaining uses for azinphos-methyl, consistent with the Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision (IRED) issued in 2001 and the May 2002 Memorandum of Agreement between EPA and the technical

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 6, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2005–0061, may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane Isbell, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460– 0001; telephone number: (703) 308–8154; fax number: (703) 308–8041; e-mail address: isbell.diane@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION** CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0061. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 S. Bell St., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

Agency Website. EDOCKET, EPA's electronic public docket and comment system was replaced on November 25, 2005, by an enhanced federal-wide electronic docket management and comment system located at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/