Issued in Pittsburgh, PA on April 11, 2001.

Raymond R. Jarr,

Acting Deputy Director, Acquisition and Assistance Division.

[FR Doc. 01–9703 Filed 4–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC01–574–000, FERC–574]

Proposed Information Collection and Request for Comments

April 13, 2001

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission DOE.

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(a) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–13), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described below.

DATES: Consideration will be given to comments submitted on or before June 18, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained from and written comments may be submitted to the Federal Energy Commission, Attn: Michael Miller, Office of the Chief Information Officer, CI–1, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Miller may be reached by telephone at (202) 208–1415, by fax at (202) 208–2425, and by e-mail at *mike.miller@ferc.fed.us.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The information collected under the requirements of FERC–574 ''Gas Pipeline Certificates: Hinshaw Exemption'' (OMB No. 1902–0116) is used by the Commission to implement the statutory provisions of sections 1(c), 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA)(P.L. 75–688)(15 U.S.C. 717–717w). Natural Gas Pipeline companies file applications with the Commission furnishing information in order for a determination to be made as to whether the applicant qualifies for an exemption from the provisions of the Natural Gas Act (Section 1(c)). If the exemption is granted, the pipeline is not required to file certificate applications, rate schedules, or any other applications or forms otherwise prescribed by the Commission.

The exemption applies to the companies engaged in the transportation or sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce if: (a) It receives gas at or within the boundaries of the state from another person; (b) such gas is transported, sold, consumed within such state; and (c) the rates, service and facilities of such company are subject to regulation by a State Commission. The date required to be filed by pipeline companies for an exemption is specified by 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 152.

Action: The Commission is requesting a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no changes to the existing collections of data.

Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated as:

Number of respondents (1)	Number of responses per respondent (2)	Average burden hours per response (3)	Total annual burden hours (1)x(2)x(3)
1	1	245	245 hours.

The estimated total cost of respondents is $13,786 (245 hours divided by 2.080 hours per year employee times $117,041 per year per average employee=$13,786). The cost per respondent is $13,786.

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide the information including: (1) Reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost of respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are costs incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than any one particular function or activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility of clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9705 Filed 4–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01–1579–001]

California Independent System Operator Corporation; Notice of Filing

April 11, 2001.

Take notice that on March 29, 2001, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO), tendered for filing an errata filing concerning the ISO's March 20, 2001 filing in the above-referenced docket. The errata filing provides a tariff sheet which deletes a section number inadvertently included in the same numbered sheet in the March 20, 2001 filing.

The ISO states that this filing has been served upon the Public Utilities Commission of California, the California Energy Commission, the California Electricity Oversight Board, and upon all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Service Agreements under the ISO Tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before April 19, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9657 Filed 4–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01–80–000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Route and Site Review

April 13, 2001.

On April 25 through 27, 2001, the staff of the Office of Energy Projects (OEP) will conduct a route and site review of the proposed Murray Project. The Murray Project facilities are proposed for construction by East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (ETNG). The proposed pipeline routes and other facilities, located in Marshall, Bedford, Moore, Franklin, Grundy, Marion, Sequatchie, Hamilton, and McMinn Counties, Tennessee, and Catoosa, Whitfield, and Murray Counties, Georgia, will be reviewed by helicopter on April 25, 2001, and by automobile on April 26 and 27, 2001. Representatives of ETNG will accompany the OEP staff.

Anyone interested in attending the route and site review or obtaining further information may contact the Commission's Office of External Affairs at (202) 208–1088. Attendees must provide their own transportation.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9707 Filed 4–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ES01–29–000, et al.]

Oregon Trail Electric Consumers Cooperative, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 12, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Oregon Trail Electric Consumers Cooperative, Inc.

[Docket No. ES01–29–000]

Take notice that on April 10, 2001, Oregon Trail Electric Consumers Cooperative, Inc. (Oregon Trail) submitted an application pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to (1) issue long-term notes in an amount not to exceed $14 million and (2) enter into and borrow funds under a two-year, $5 million line-of-credit agreement.

Oregon Trail also requests a waiver of the Commission's competitive bidding and negotiated placement requirements at 18 CFR 34.2.

Comment date: May 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. FPL Group, Inc., Entergy Corporation

[Docket No. EC01–33–000; Docket No. ER01–543–000]

Take notice that on April 2, 2001, FPL Group, Inc. and Entergy Corporation tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a notice of withdrawal of their November 30, 2000 filing of (1) an application (Joint Application) under section 203 of the Federal Power Act requesting all authorization necessary to consummate their proposed merger and (2) a System Integration agreement (SIA) to take effect upon consummation of the merger.

Comment date: April 23, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. American Transmission Company LLC

[Docket No. EC01–87–000]

Take notice that on April 10, 2001, American Transmission Company LLC (ATCLLC) tendered for filing an application under section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization for ATCLLC to acquire ownership and operational control over certain transmission facilities of 11 municipal and cooperative utilities in Wisconsin and Michigan. ATCLLC intends to acquire these facilities and give the transferring entities an ownership interest in ATCLLC in exchange.

A copy of the filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment date: May 1, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER01–833–000]

Take notice that on April 9 , 2001, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing an additional Request for Deferral of Consideration of the unexecuted Wholesale Distribution Tariff Service Agreement and Interconnection Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Modesto Irrigation District (MID) filed in FERC Docket No. ER01–833–000 on December 29, 2000. PG&E and Modesto are still discussing the final terms of these Agreements and PG&E therefore is notifying the Commission that the executed WDT and IA will not be filed by April 14, 2001, the first requested deferral date.

PG&E requests that the Commission defer consideration of the WDT Service Agreement and IA filed in ER01–833–000 to June 14, 2001 or 60 days beyond the first request for Deferral in order that the parties may finalize the Agreements. Copies of this filing have been served upon MID, the California Independent System Operator Corporation, and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: April 30, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Puget Sound Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01–934–001]

Take notice that on April 9, 2001, Puget Sound Energy, Inc., tendered for filing a reformatted executed Confirmation of Special Storage Arrangement with The City of Seattle,