

Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-11, Section 22.1, all agency budgetary materials and data are considered confidential prior to the President submitting a budget to Congress. In accordance with section 22.5 of Circular A-11, the BBG has determined that its meeting should be closed to public observation pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B). In accordance with the Government in the Sunshine Act and BBG policies, the meeting will be recorded and a transcript of the proceedings, subject to the redaction of information protected by 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), will be made available to the public. The publicly-releasable transcript will be available for download at www.bbg.gov within 21 days of the date of the meeting.

Information regarding member votes to close the meeting and expected attendees can also be found on the Agency's public Web site.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact Oanh Tran at (202) 203-4545.

Oanh Tran,

Director of Board Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-25841 Filed 10-27-14; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 8610-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-818]

Low Enriched Uranium from France: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that changed circumstances exist which affected the ability of Global Nuclear Fuel—Americas, LLC (GNF-A) to manage its inventory and comply with the 18-month re-export requirement provided for in the exclusion from the scope of the order. Specifically, the Department finds that certain past entries by GNF-A of low enriched uranium (LEU) from France satisfy the conditions for exclusion from the order, and that GNF-A will be allowed to make certain future entries of LEU under the provision for exclusion from the scope of the order.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 29, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and

Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 2, 2014, the Department published the Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review of Low Enriched Uranium from France.¹ No parties commented on these preliminary results.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is all low-enriched uranium. Low-enriched uranium is enriched uranium hexafluoride (UF₆) with a U²³⁵ product assay of less than 20 percent that has not been converted into another chemical form, such as UO₂, or fabricated into nuclear fuel assemblies, regardless of the means by which the LEU is produced (including low-enriched uranium produced through the down-blending of highly enriched uranium).

Certain merchandise is outside the scope of the order. Specifically, the order does not cover enriched uranium hexafluoride with a U²³⁵ assay of 20 percent or greater, also known as highly-enriched uranium. In addition, fabricated low-enriched uranium is not covered by the scope of the order. For purposes of the order, fabricated uranium is defined as enriched uranium dioxide (UO₂), whether or not contained in nuclear fuel rods or assemblies. Natural uranium concentrates (U₃O₈) with a U²³⁵ concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent and natural uranium concentrates converted into uranium hexafluoride with a U²³⁵ concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent are not covered by the scope of the order.

Also excluded from the order is low-enriched uranium owned by a foreign utility end-user and imported into the United States by or for such end-user solely for purposes of conversion by a U.S. fabricator into uranium dioxide (UO₂) and/or fabrication into fuel assemblies so long as the uranium dioxide and/or fuel assemblies deemed to incorporate such imported low-enriched uranium (i) remain in the possession and control of the U.S. fabricator, the foreign end-user, or their designed transporter(s) while in U.S. customs territory, and (ii) are re-exported within eighteen (18) months of entry of the low-enriched uranium for

consumption by the end-user in a nuclear reactor outside the United States. Such entries must be accompanied by the certifications of the importer and end user.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2844.20.0020. Subject merchandise may also enter under 2844.20.0030, 2844.20.0050, and 2844.40.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this proceeding is dispositive.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Because there were no comments on the preliminary results, the Department adopts the reasoning and findings of fact in the preliminary results as the final results of the review. The Department's full analysis of the details of GNF-A's request for CCR and the information provided by GNF-A in its questionnaire response requires a discussion of business proprietary information, which can be found in the proprietary Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Analysis of GNF-A Business Proprietary Information."² The Department finds that certain past entries by GNF-A of LEU from France satisfy the conditions for exclusion from the order, and that GNF-A will be allowed to make certain future entries of LEU under the provision for exclusion from the scope of the order.

Instructions to CBP

The Department will issue revised certifications and instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection regarding GNF-A's compliance with the 18-month re-export requirement.

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Analysis of GNF-A Business Proprietary Information," available on Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS) (<http://iaaccess.trade.gov>) to parties who have been granted access to business proprietary information under Administrative Protective Order. A public version of this memorandum is also available on IA ACCESS, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit of the main Commerce Building, room 7046. In addition, a complete public version of this memorandum is accessible on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

¹ See *Low Enriched Uranium From France: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 79 FR 59475 (October 2, 2014).

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) and (2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: October 22, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-25747 Filed 10-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-808]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 29, 2014.

SUMMARY: On June 25, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review on stainless steel plate in coils (steel plate) from Belgium.¹ This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V. (ASB). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013. Based on our analysis of the

comments received, we determine that ASB made sales at less than normal value. For the final weighted-average dumping margin, see the “Final Results of Review” section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska at 202-482-8362; AD/

CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 25, 2014, the Department published in the *Federal Register* the *Preliminary Results*.

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On July 25, 2014, the Department received case briefs from ASB and the Petitioners.² On July 31, 2014, ASB submitted a request for an extension of time to submit its rebuttal brief.³ On August 4, 2014, ASB submitted its rebuttal brief. However, on August 5, 2014, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.302(c) (2012), the Department found ASB's July 31, 2014, extension request untimely, and thus denied ASB's request for an extension of time to submit a rebuttal brief.⁴ Consequently, on the same day, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.104(a)(2)(iii) and 19 CFR 351.302(d), the Department rejected and removed ASB's untimely filed rebuttal brief from the record.⁵ In response, on August 5, 2014, ASB urged the Department to reconsider its decision.⁶ In the event that the Department chose not to allow the submission of ASB's rebuttal brief, ASB urged for Petitioners' case brief to be rejected and removed from the record since Petitioners failed to properly serve ASB with its case brief on July 25, 2014.⁷ On August 8 and 13, 2014, ASB reiterated its request to strike Petitioners' case brief.⁸ In their letters, dated August 7, 12, and 14, 2014, Petitioners stated that they properly served ASB with their case brief, and therefore, there was no basis to reject it.⁹ On August 15, 2014, the Department rejected ASB's multiple requests to strike Petitioners' case and refused to

² Petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (AFL-CIO/CLC).

³ See ASB's letter dated July 31, 2014.

⁴ See the Department's Letter to ASB dated August 5, 2014, Re: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Plate from Coils from Belgium.

⁵ See the Department's Letter dated August 5, 2014, Re: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium.

⁶ See ASB's letter dated August 5, 2014.

⁷ See *id.* at 3.

⁸ See ASB's letters dated August 8 and 13, 2014.

⁹ See Petitioners' letters dated August 7, 12, and 14, 2014.

reconsider its decision to reject ASB's rebuttal brief.¹⁰

No party requested a hearing.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled.¹¹ The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.02, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, and 7220.90.00.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by the parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For reasons described above, we did not accept ASB's rebuttal brief. Petitioners did not submit a rebuttal brief.

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main

¹⁰ See the Department's Letter dated August 15, 2014, Subject: Rejection of Request to Strike Petitioner's Case Brief and to Reconsider Extending the Due Date for Filing Rebuttal.

¹¹ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, titled “Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013,” (Final Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

¹ See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 36001 (June 25, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).