

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Hoenig, 202–632–7003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of December 2, 2021, in proposed rule FR Doc. 2021–25838, on page 68445, in the first column, change the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before January 31, 2022.

Dated: January 6, 2022.

**Michael Hoenig,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00630 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Indian Gaming Commission**

**25 CFR Part 559**

**RIN 3141–AA73**

**Submission of Gaming Ordinance or Resolution; Correction**

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of December 9, 2021, regarding the Submission of Gaming Ordinances or Resolutions. The document contained incorrect dates for submitting comments. This correction clarifies that comments are due February 7, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Hoenig, 202–632–7003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of December 1, 2021, in proposed rule FR Doc. 2021–25843, on page 70067, in the third column, change the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before February 7, 2022.

Dated: January 6, 2022.

**Michael Hoenig,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00636 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565–01–P**

**POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**39 CFR Part 3050**

[Docket No. RM2022–3; Order No. 6090]

**Periodic Reporting**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is acknowledging a recent filing requesting the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports (Proposal One). This document informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:**

*Comments are due:* March 14, 2022.

*Reply comments are due:* March 28, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Proposal One
- III. Notice and Comment
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

On January 5, 2022, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports.<sup>1</sup> The Petition identifies the proposed analytical changes filed in this docket as Proposal One.

<sup>1</sup> Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal One), January 5, 2022 (Petition). The Petition was accompanied by a study supporting its proposal. See Michael D. Bradley (Bradley), *On the Estimation of a Top-Down Model for City Carrier Street Time*, January 5, 2022. The Postal Service also filed a notice of filing of public and non-public materials relating to Proposal One. Notice of Filing of USPS–RM2022–3–1 and USPS–RM2022–3–NP1 and Application for Nonpublic Treatment, January 5, 2022.

**II. Proposal One**

*Background.* In Docket No. RM2015–7,<sup>2</sup> the Postal Service proposed, and the Commission accepted, an approach to estimating variabilities for city carrier street time that relied upon three separate econometric equations, one for letters and flats, one for in-receptacle parcels, and one for deviation parcels and accounts. Petition, Proposal One at 1. The Commission directed the Postal Service to undertake an effort to investigate what data would be needed to estimate a unified, or “top-down,” model. *Id.* Subsequent to Docket No. RM2015–7, the Commission opened a public inquiry case, Docket No. PI2017–1,<sup>3</sup> to follow the Postal Service's progress in updating its data capabilities and modeling efforts for city carrier street time and specified the type and structure of the data set that would be appropriate to estimate a top-down model. *Id.* at 2. In response to the Commission's directives, the Postal Service compiled the appropriate data, investigated alternative methods of estimating a top-down model, and specified a model that includes an estimation of new variabilities for city carrier, letter route, and street time. *Id.* at 2–3.

*Proposal.* Proposal One would update the methodology for calculating attributable city carrier, letter route, street time costs by employing an overall top-down model that replies upon expanded operational carrier data. *Id.* at 1, 3. The Postal Service proposes that the new top-down study would improve the previous analysis of letter route street time by making use of ongoing operation data systems; incorporating seasonality effects and controls for day-of-week effects; including new characteristic variables that help control for non-volume variations in hours across ZIP Codes; relying upon a new econometric technique, called a correlated random effects model, that controls for unobserved differences across ZIP Codes while explicitly recognizing those differences are correlated with volume; taking a holistic approach to analyzing city street time; and producing marginal delivery and collection times that comport with current carrier street time operational practice. *Id.* at 3–4.

The overall levels of variabilities produced by the top-down model is lower than the current model because a

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. RM2015–7, Order Approving Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Thirteen), October 29, 2015, at 66 (Order No. 2792).

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. PI2017–1, Interim Order, November 2, 2018, at 16 (Order No. 4869).

reduction in the variabilities for the volume types associated with market dominant letter and flat mail is only partially offset by an increase in the

parcel variabilities. The overall changes in the variabilities result in lower costs for letters and flats and higher costs for parcels. *Id.* at 6.

*Impact.* The following table presents the impact of Proposal One on city carrier street unit costs, including indirect costs.

TABLE 1—CITY CARRIER COSTS INCLUDING INDIRECT COSTS

Product	Current unit city carrier costs	New unit city carrier costs	Change in city carrier unit costs	% Change in city carrier unit costs
Total First-Class Mail .....	\$0.063	\$0.041	– \$0.022	– 34.98
Total USPS Marketing Mail .....	0.063	0.043	– 0.020	– 32.28
Total Periodicals .....	0.145	0.113	– 0.033	– 22.58
Bound Printed Matter Flats .....	0.216	0.173	– 0.043	– 19.90
Bound Printed Matter Parcels .....	0.365	0.452	0.088	24.02
Media/Library Mail .....	0.421	0.506	0.085	20.07
Total Package Services .....	0.324	0.365	0.041	12.74
Total Domestic Market Dominant Mail .....	0.067	0.046	– 0.021	– 31.63
Total Competitive Mail and Services .....	0.453	0.528	0.075	16.52

Source: Excel file “FY21 Proposal One Cost Impact.xlsx,” tab “Unit Costs.”

Under the new top-down model, the unit costs for market dominant products fall while the unit costs for competitive products rise, reflecting the changes in variabilities. *Id.* at 7.

### III. Notice and Comment

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2022–3 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition. More information on the Petition may be accessed via the Commission’s website at <http://www.prc.gov>. Interested persons may submit comments on the Petition and Proposal One no later than March 14, 2022. Reply comments on the Petition and Proposal One are due no later than March 28, 2022. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Philip T. Abraham is designated as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2022–3 for consideration of the matters raised by the Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal One), filed January 5, 2022.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than March 14, 2022. Reply comments on the Petition and Proposal One are due no later than March 28, 2022.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Philip T. Abraham to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Erica A. Barker,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–00654 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R03–OAR–2021–0834; FRL–9382–01–R3]

### Air Plan Approval; Maryland; Philadelphia Area Base Year Inventory for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. This revision consists of the base year inventory for the Maryland portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-NJ-MD-DE marginal nonattainment area (Philadelphia Area) for the 2015 ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before February 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2021–0834 at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to [Gordon.Mike@epa.gov](mailto:Gordon.Mike@epa.gov). For comments

submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adam Yarina, Planning & Implementation Branch (3AD30), Air & Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. The telephone number is (215) 814–2103. Mr. Yarina can also be reached via electronic mail at [Yarina.Adam@epa.gov](mailto:Yarina.Adam@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 30, 2020, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), on behalf of the State of Maryland, submitted a revision to the Maryland SIP entitled, “2015 8–