

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2025–06–01 Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.): Amendment 39–22989; Docket No. FAA–2024–2554; Project Identifier MCAI–2024–00492–T.

(a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective April 22, 2025.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate previously held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Model BD–500–1A10 and BD–500–1A11 airplanes, certificated in any category.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 72, Turbine/turboprop engine.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a design review that discovered software protection logic for potential large leaks from the engine bleed duct inside the engine core compartments was partially impaired. Under certain large leak conditions (*e.g.*, a duct burst at a specific portion of the engine's bleed ducting), Pratt & Whitney's PW1500G engine's electronic engine control (EEC) would not transmit the necessary information to the aircraft controller to automatically isolate the opposite engine from the leak path in the bleed system. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition, which if not addressed, could result in dual engine failure.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Requirements

Except as specified in paragraph (h) of this AD: Comply with all required actions and compliance times specified in, and in accordance with Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30, dated August 27, 2024 (Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30).

(h) Exception to Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30

(1) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30 refers to its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where paragraph B. of Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30 specifies to “inform all flight crews of these changes in the AFM procedures and thereafter operate the aeroplane accordingly,” this AD does not require those actions as those actions are already required by existing FAA operating regulations (see 14 CFR 91.9, 14 CFR 91.505, and 14 CFR 121.137).

(i) Additional AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the Continued Operational Safety Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j) of this AD and email to: AMOC@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada; or Airbus Canada Limited Partnership's Transport Canada Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

(j) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Joseph Catanzaro, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 516–228–7300; email: 9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov.

(k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30, dated August 27, 2024.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) For Transport Canada material identified in this AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888–663–3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca. You may view this material on the Transport Canada website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation.

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations, or email fr.inspection@nara.gov.

Issued on March 11, 2025.

Peter A. White,

Deputy Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2025–04320 Filed 3–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2023–0625; FRL–11613–02–R9]

Air Plan Approval; California; Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District; Tehama County Air Pollution Control District; San Diego County Air Pollution Control District; Emissions Statement Requirements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve revisions, under the Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”), to portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) regarding emissions statements (ES) requirements for the 2015 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). In addition, we are approving that the following California nonattainment areas meet the ES requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS: Tuscan Buttes, Kern County (Eastern Kern), and San Diego County. **DATES:** This rule is effective April 17, 2025.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2023–0625. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with a disability who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please

contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sina Schwenk-Mueller, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947-4100 or by email at schwenkmuelle.sina@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action

IV. Incorporation by Reference

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Proposed Action

On July 12, 2024, (89 FR 57120), the EPA proposed to approve the following rules into the California SIP.

Agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Amended/ adopted	Submitted
Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District (APCD)	Rule 108.2	Emission Statement Requirements	8/4/22	12/7/22
Tehama County APCD	Rule 2:20	Emissions Statement	3/1/22	7/5/22
San Diego County APCD	Rule 19.3	Emission Information	12/9/21	3/9/22

These rules were submitted by the relevant counties to fulfill CAA section 182(a)(3)(B) ES requirements. We proposed to approve these rules because we determined that they comply with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received one comment asserting that indoor cannabis growth is responsible for significant emissions of greenhouse gases. We acknowledge the information provided by the commenter regarding the potential for the cannabis cultivation industry to be a source of greenhouse gas emissions. As noted above and in our proposal notice, this action concerns administrative emission statement requirements under CAA section 182(a)(3)(B). As a result, we do not consider this comment to be germane because it is outside the scope of our proposed action.

III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted that change our assessment of the rules as described in our proposed action. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is approving these rules into the California SIP. There are no previous versions of Tehama County APCD Rule 2:20 in the SIP. The December 9, 2021 version of San Diego County APCD Rule 19.3 and the August 4, 2022 version of Eastern Kern APCD Rule 108.2 will replace the previously approved versions of these rules in the SIP.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR

51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of Eastern Kern APCD Rule 108.2 (amended 8/4/22), Tehama County APCD Rule 2:20 (adopted 3/1/22), and San Diego County APCD Rule 19.3 (adopted 12/9/21). The APCD rules regulate ES requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described

in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

This action is subject to the Congressional Review Act, and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 19, 2025. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to

enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 28, 2025.

Cheree D. Peterson,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Environmental Protection Agency amends part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(190)(i)(E)(2), (c)(241)(i)(A)(9), (c)(604)(i)(A)(2), (c)(607)(i)(D), and (c)(625) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan—in part.

* * * * *

(c) * * * *

(190) * * *

(i) * * *

(E) * * *

(2) Previously approved on May 26, 2004, in paragraph (c)(190)(i)(E)(1) of this section and now deleted with replacement in (c)(625)(i)(A)(1) of this section: Rule 108.2, adopted on July 13, 1992.

* * * * *

(241) * * * *

(i) * * * *

(A) * * * *

(9) Previously approved on March 9, 2000, in paragraph (c)(241)(i)(A)(4) of this section and now deleted with replacement in (c)(604)(i)(A)(2) of this section: Rule 19.3, adopted on May 15, 1996.

* * * * *

(604) * * * *

(i) * * * *

(A) * * * *

(2) Rule 19.3, “Emission Information,” adopted on December 9, 2021.

* * * * *

(607) * * * *

(i) * * * *

(D) Tehama County Air Pollution Control District.

(1) Rule 2:20, “Emissions Statement,” adopted on March 1, 2022.

(2) [Reserved]

* * * * *

(625) The following regulation was submitted on December 7, 2022, by the Governor’s designee as an attachment to a letter dated November 30, 2022.

(i) *Incorporation by reference.*

(A) Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District.

(1) Rule 108.2, “Emissions Statement Requirements,” amended on August 4, 2022.

(2) [Reserved]

(B) [Reserved]

(ii) [Reserved]

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2025–04036 Filed 3–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

43 CFR Part 4

[Docket No. DOI–2022–0010; Deposit Account]

RIN 1094–AA57

Practices Before the Department of the Interior; Further Delay of Effective Date

AGENCY: Office of Hearings and Appeals, Interior.

ACTION: Interim final rule; further delay of effective date.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the memorandum of January 20, 2025, from President Donald J. Trump, entitled “Regulatory Freeze Pending Review,” this action provides a second notification to delay the effective date of the interim final rule published on January 10, 2025, until May 5, 2025.

DATES: As of March 13, 2025, the effective date of the rule published at 90 FR 2332 on January 10, 2025, delayed to March 21, 2025 at 90 FR 9222, is further delayed until May 5, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel R. Lukens, telephone: (703) 235–3810, email: Rachel.Lukens@oha.doi.gov. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, blind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or Tele Braille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The interim final rule, “Practices Before the Department of the Interior,” published on January 10, 2025, at 90 FR 2332, included a 30-day public comment period that ends on February 10, 2025. The effective date of the interim final rule was February 10, 2025. The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) is taking this action in accordance with Memorandum M–25–10 of January 20, 2025, from the Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, Implementation of Regulatory Freeze, regarding the postponement of effective dates of certain published regulations. The memorandum directed the heads of Executive Departments and Agencies to consider postponing for sixty days from the date of the memorandum the effective date for any rules that have been published in the **Federal Register**, or any rules that have been issued in any manner but have not taken effect, for the purpose of reviewing any questions of fact, law, and policy that the rule may raise. On February 10, 2025, OHA published a notification delaying the effective date (90 FR 9222) for the interim final rule published at 90 FR 2332 to March 21, 2025. This second notification further delays the effective date to May 5, 2025, to provide for Department review. OHA is extending the effective date of the interim final rule without opportunity for public comment and making the extension effective immediately, based on the good cause exemptions in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and 553(d)(3), in that seeking public comment on the extension is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. This second delay of the effective date until May 5, 2025, is necessary to give Department officials the opportunity for further review and consideration of new regulations, consistent with the memorandum of the President, dated January 20, 2025. Given the imminence of the effective date of the interim final rule, seeking prior public comment on this delay is impractical, and contrary to the public interest in the orderly promulgation and implementation of regulations. For the foregoing reasons, the good cause exception in 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) also applies to OHA’s decision to make this action effective immediately.

Tyler Hassen,

Senior Advisor to the Secretary, Exercising the Delegated Authority of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and Budget.

[FR Doc. 2025–04310 Filed 3–13–25; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4334–CC–P