

the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, tour transportation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below.

**DATES, TIMES, PLACE:** The time and location of the meeting is as follows: Northeastern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council, Battle Mountain Field Office, 50 Bastian Road, Battle Mountain, Nevada, 89820; September 28 starting at 9 a.m.; public comments will be at 11 a.m. and 3 p.m.; tentative adjournment at 5 p.m. Field trip to Tosawih Quarry on September 29 starting at 9 a.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan Howle, Environmental Coordinator, Ely Field Office, 702 North Industrial Way, HC 33 Box 33500, Ely, NV 89301-9408, telephone 775-289-1873.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the Council is to advise the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of planning and management issues, associated with the management of the public lands.

**Helen Hankins,**  
*Field Office Manager, Elko Field Office.*  
[FR Doc. 00-23557 Filed 9-13-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[WY-920-1310-01; WYW129067]

#### Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease

September 8, 2000.

Pursuant to the provisions of 30 U.S.C. 188(d) and (e), and 43 CFR 3108.2-3(a) and (b)(1), a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease WYW129067 for lands in Fremont County, Wyoming, was timely filed and was accompanied by all the required rentals accruing from the date of termination. The lessee has agreed to the amended lease terms for rentals and royalties at rates of \$10.00 per acre, or fraction thereof, per year and 16-2/3 percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and \$125 to reimburse the Department for the cost of this **Federal Register** notice. The lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to

reinstate lease WYW129067 effective May 1, 2000, subject to the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

**Mavis Love,**  
*Acting Chief, Leasable Minerals Section.*  
[FR Doc. 00-23627 Filed 9-13-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-22-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA-940-01-5410-10-B131; CACA 42186]

#### Conveyance of Mineral Interests in California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of segregation.

**SUMMARY:** The private land described in this notice, aggregating 320 acres, is segregated and made unavailable for filings under the general mining laws and the mineral leasing laws to determine its suitability for conveyance of the reserved mineral interest pursuant to section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of October 21, 1976.

The mineral interests will be conveyed in whole or in part upon favorable mineral examination.

The purpose is to allow consolidation of surface and subsurface of minerals ownership where there are no known mineral values or in those instances where the reservation interferes with or precludes appropriate nonmineral development and such development is a more beneficial use of the land than the mineral development.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathy Gary, California State Office, Federal Office Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-1928, Sacramento, California 95825, (916) 978-4677.

Serial No. CACA 42186  
T. 29 S., R. 29 E., Mount Diablo Meridian  
Sec. 10, S½.  
County-Kern  
Minerals Reservation—All coal and other minerals.

Upon publication of this Notice of Segregation in the **Federal Register** as provided in 43 CFR 2720.1-1(b), the mineral interests owned by the United States in the private lands covered by the application shall be segregated to the extent that they will not be subject to appropriation under the mining and mineral leasing laws. The segregative effect of the application shall terminate by publication of an opening order in

the **Federal Register** specifying the date and time of opening; upon issuance of a patent or other document of conveyance to such mineral interest; or two years from the date of publication of this notice, whichever occurs first.

**David McInay,**  
*Chief, Lands Section.*  
[FR Doc. 00-23626 Filed 9-13-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Minerals Management Service

RIN 1010-AB57

#### Major Portion Prices and Due Dates for Additional Royalty Payments on Indian Gas Production in Designated Areas Not Associated With an Index Zone

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of major portion prices.

**SUMMARY:** Final regulations for valuing gas produced from Indian leases, published on August 10, 1999, require MMS to determine major portion values and notify industry by publishing the values in the **Federal Register**. The regulations also require MMS to publish a due date for industry to pay additional royalty based on the major portion value. This notice provides the major portion values and due dates for March and April 2000 production months.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** January 1, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** See **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Barder, Royalty Valuation Division, MMS; telephone, (303) 275-7234; FAX, (303) 275-7227; E-mail, John.Barder@mms.gov, mailing address, Minerals Management Service, Royalty Management Program, Royalty Valuation Division, P.O. Box 25165, MS 3152, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 10, 1999, MMS published a final rule titled "Amendments to Gas Valuation Regulations for Indian Leases," with an effective date of January 1, 2000 (64 FR 43506). The gas regulations apply to all gas production from Indian (tribal or allotted) oil and gas leases (except leases on the Osage Indian Reservation).

The rule requires that MMS publish major portion prices for each designated area not associated with an index zone for each production month beginning January 2000 along with a due date for additional royalty payments. See 30 CFR 206.174(a)(4)(ii) (64 FR 43520, August 10, 1999). If additional royalties are due based on a published major

portion price, the lessee must submit an amended Form MMS-2014, Report of Sales and Royalty Remittance, to MMS by the due date. If additional royalties are not paid by the due date, late

payment interest under 30 CFR 218.54 (1999) will accrue from the due date until payment is made and an amended Form MMS-2014 is received. The table below lists the major portion prices for

all designated areas not associated with an index zone and the due date for payment of additional royalties.

#### GAS MAJOR PORTION PRICES AND DUE DATES FOR DESIGNATED AREAS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH AN INDEX ZONE

| MMS-Designated Areas                                          | March 2000<br>(in MMBtu) | April 2000<br>(in MMBtu) | Due date   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Blackfeet Reservation .....                                   | \$1.85                   | \$2.16                   | 10/31/2000 |
| Fort Belknap .....                                            | 3.89                     | 3.97                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Fort Berthold .....                                           | 0.98                     | 1.17                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Fort Peck Reservation .....                                   | 1.66                     | 1.83                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Navajo Allotted Leases in the Navajo Reservation .....        | 2.39                     | 2.73                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Rocky Boys Reservation .....                                  | 1.34                     | 1.92                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Turtle Mountain Reservation .....                             | 1.27                     | 1.18                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Ute Allotted Leases in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation ..... | 2.40                     | 2.67                     | 10/31/2000 |
| Ute Tribal Leases in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation .....   | 2.40                     | 2.67                     | 10/31/2000 |

For information on how to report additional royalties due to major portion prices, please refer to our Dear Payor letter dated December 1, 1999.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

**R. Dale Fazio,**

*Acting Associate Director for Royalty Management.*

[FR Doc. 00-23551 Filed 9-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

#### JUDICIAL REVIEW COMMISSION ON FOREIGN ASSET CONTROL

##### Review of Laws and Judicial Precedents Relating to the Blocking of Assets of Foreign Persons by the United States Government, and Examination and Evaluation of Remedies Available to United States Persons Affected by the Blocking of Such Assets

**AGENCY:** Judicial Review Commission on Foreign Asset Control.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of public hearings, and notice of opportunity to submit comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Judicial Review Commission on Foreign Asset Control ("Commission") will hold public hearings in connection with its statutory mandate under the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act ("Narcotics Kingpin Act") on September 21-22 and October 2-3, 2000. Under the Narcotics Kingpin Act, the Commission is required to conduct "a review of the current judicial, regulatory, and administrative authorities relating to the blocking of assets of foreign persons by the United States Government," and "a detailed examination and evaluation of the remedies available to United States persons affected by the blocking of assets of foreign persons by the United

States Government." In addition to the public hearings, the Commission invites interested parties to submit written statements regarding the matters under review by the Commission.

**DATES:** September 21-22 and October 2-3, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David H. Laufman, Staff Director & Deputy Chief Counsel, Judicial Review Commission on Foreign Asset Control, at (202) 305-1590, or by e-mail at david.laufman@usdoj.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Commission was established pursuant to Title VIII of the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act (Pub. L. 106-120), which was enacted in December 1999 as part of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 ("Act"). Section 804 of the Act requires the President to publicly designate certain foreign persons as "significant foreign narcotics traffickers," and section 805(b) of the Act requires the blocking of all property and interests in property owned or controlled by such designated traffickers and certain other foreign persons designated by the Secretary of the Treasury. In addition, section 805(c) of the Act prohibits "[a]ny transaction or dealing by a United States person, or within the United States, in property or interests in property of any significant narcotics trafficker \* \* \* and foreign persons designated by the Secretary of the Treasury \* \* \*"

Of particular significance to the Commission's work is section 805(f) of the Act, which states that "[t]he determinations, identifications, findings and designations made pursuant to section 804 and subsection (b) of

[section 805] *shall not be subject to judicial review.*" (Emphasis added.)

Under section 810(c) of the Act, the Commission is required to conduct (1) "a review of the current judicial, regulatory, and administrative authorities relating to the blocking of assets of foreign persons by the United States Government"; and (2) "a detailed examination and evaluation of the remedies available to United States persons affected by the blocking of assets of foreign persons by the United States Government."

In addition to this statutory mandate, Congress instructed the Commission, in the Conference Report that accompanied final passage of the Act, "to examine and report on at least the following constitutional and other issues:

(1) Whether reasonable protections of innocent U.S. businesses are available under the regime currently in place that is utilized to carry out the provisions of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act ("IEEPA");

(2) whether advance notice prior to blocking of one's assets is required as a matter of constitutional due process;

(3) whether there are reasonable opportunities under the current IEEPA regulatory regime and the Administrative Procedures Act for an erroneous blocking of assets or mistaken listing under IEEPA to be remedied;

(4) whether the level of proof that is required under the current judicial, regulatory, or administrative scheme is adequate to protect legitimate business interests from irreparable financial harm;

(5) whether there is constitutionally adequate accessibility to the courts to challenge agency actions under IEEPA, or the designation of persons or entities under IEEPA;

(6) whether there are remedial measures and legislative amendments