

information about best practices and encouraging collegial learning among economic development practitioners. EDA has created the Award for Excellence in Economic Development to recognize outstanding economic development activities of national importance. In order to make Awards for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA must collect two kinds of information: (a) information identifying the nominee and contacts within the organization being nominated and (b) information explaining why the nominee should be given the award. The information will be used to determine those applicants best meeting the preannounced selection criteria. Use of a nomination form standardizes and limits the information collected as part of the nomination process. This makes the competition fair and eases any burden on applicants and reviewers alike. Participation in the competition is voluntary. The award is strictly honorary.

## II. Method of Collection

As part of the development of the Award for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA has designed a short nomination form. Nominees will submit the form to EDA, where they will be screened for completeness and forwarded to the Selection Panel for review. The information will be used by the Selection Panel to determine those applicants best meeting the preannounced selection criteria. The Selection Panel will include: three representatives of the economic development practitioner community; one member from academe; three representatives of the Economic Development Administration; and up to two at-large members.

## III. Data

*OMB Number(s)*: 0610-0097.

*Form Number*: Not applicable.

*Burden*: 150 hours.

*Type of Review*: Reinstatement of previously-approved collection.

*Affected Public*: State, local, or tribal government and not-for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents*: 50.

*Estimated Time Per Response*: 3 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours*: 150.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost*: \$11,180.

## IV. Request for Comments

*Comments are invited on*: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the equality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection, they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 12, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-31143 Filed 12-16-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-803]

### Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review in Accordance with Court Decision

**AGENCY**: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION**: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review

**SUMMARY**: On July 28, 2003, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) redetermination on remand of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. *See Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 03-92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (*Fujian II*). Because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results of review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE**: December 17, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone:(202) 482-3936.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 11, 1999, the Department published a notice of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews*, 64 FR 43659 (August 11, 1999) (*Final Results*). Subsequent to the Department's *Final Results*, the respondent filed a lawsuit with the CIT challenging these results. Thereafter, the CIT issued an Order and Opinion dated September 28, 2001, in *Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, 178 F. Supp. 2d 1305 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2001) (*Fujian I*), remanding several issues to the Department. Among the issues remanded in *Fujian I*, the CIT ordered the Department to issue separate rates for Fujian Machinery Import & Export Corporation (FMEC) and Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC). *See Fujian I*, 178 F. Supp. 2d at 1336. Pursuant to *Fujian I*, the Department filed its remand results on February 20, 2002, issuing separate rates for FMEC and SMC. The CIT reviewed and affirmed the Department's final results of redetermination in *Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 03-92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (*Fujian II*). On August 6, 2003, we published a notice of court decision. *See Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade: Heavy Forged Hand Tools From the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 46582 (August 6, 2003).

The time period for appealing the CIT's final decision has expired and no party has appealed this decision. Therefore, in accordance with *Fujian II*, and because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results in this matter and we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries, as appropriate, in accordance with our remand results.

#### Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to litigation

for FMEC and SMC, and we are amending the *Final Results* to reflect the findings of *Fujian II*. We will instruct the CBP to liquidate entries, as appropriate. As a result of the remand determinations, we have assigned FMEC and SMC final weighted-average margins as follows:

**Fujian Machinery Import & Export Corporation (FMEC)**

hammers/sledges .....	27.71%
bars/wedges .....	47.88%
picks and mattocks .....	98.77%
axes/adzes .....	18.72%

**Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC)**

hammers/sledges .....	27.71%
bars/wedges .....	47.88%
picks and mattocks .....	98.77%
axes/adzes .....	18.72%

**Assessment Rates**

The Department will determine, and the CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the CBP within 15 days of publication of these amended final results of review.

**Notification**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: December 9, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-31128 Filed 12-16-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[A-570-504]**

**Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC) until no later than March 8, 2004. The period of review is August 1, 2001 through July 31, 2002. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 17, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Hoadley, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3148.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On August 28, 1986, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC (51 FR 30686). On August 6, 2002, the Department published an opportunity to request an administrative review of the order (67 FR 50856) for the period August 1, 2001 through July 31, 2002. The Department received timely requests from Dongguan Fay Candle Co., Ltd. (Fay Candle), a PRC producer and exporter of subject merchandise, and its U.S. importers, TIJID, Inc. (TIJID) (d/b/a DIJIT Inc.), and Palm Beach Home Accents, Inc. (Palm Beach); Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (Wal-Mart); Qingdao Kingking Applied Chemistry Co., Ltd. (Qingdao Kingking); and petitioner, the National Candle Association (NCA), to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC for 108 companies. On September 25, 2002, the

Department published its *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 67 FR 60210. On March 26, 2003, the Department extended the due date for the preliminary results of this review (68 FR 14578). On September 9, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of this review (68 FR 53109).

**Extension of Time Limit for Final Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the final results within the statutory time limit of 120 days from the date on which the preliminary results were published. The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the statutory time limit. During the course of this review, numerous issues have been raised, including a possible affiliation between Fay Candle and its U.S. importers and a discrepancy between Qingdao Kingking's reported sales and Customs data, among others. Due to the complexity of the issues involved, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results by 60 days (180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results pursuant to section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2)), until no later than March 8, 2004 (the calculated due date is March 7, 2004; however, since March 7, falls on a weekend, the due date will fall on the next business day, March 8). This notice is published in accordance with section 751(1)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 03-31130 Filed 12-16-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**