

project meet the regulatory requirements of 40 CFR 61.206(c); that is, the project is at least as protective of public health as maintaining the phosphogypsum in a stack. Therefore, the Agency issued an approval of the small-scale pilot project per 40 CFR 61.206, subject to terms and conditions which limit the project to the scope of the application. The terms and conditions are included in the approval letter to Mosaic, which is available in the public docket and on the EPA website, <https://www.epa.gov/radiation/phosphogypsum>. Approval by the Agency is specific to the pilot project as described in the Mosaic request and indicates only that this project meets the approval requirements of Subpart R.

II. Public Comments and Responses

The EPA's decision to approve or deny a request for other use under 40 CFR 61.206 is not a rulemaking. In December 2005, the EPA issued a guidance document, "Applying to EPA for Approval of Other Uses of Phosphogypsum: Preparing and Submitting a Complete Petition Under 40 CFR 61.206, A Workbook" (December 2005). Although this guidance is not binding, the EPA sought public comment on this pending approval using the procedure described in Section 2.4 of the Workbook. As outlined in the Workbook, the EPA published a notice of availability of this pending approval in the **Federal Register** on October 9, 2024, and opened a 30-day comment period, which was extended in response to public requests for an additional 15 days to close on November 23, 2024. Physical copies of the Mosaic request and the EPA's technical evaluation were placed for public review in the Mulberry Public Library, 905 NE 5th Street, Mulberry, FL 33860. The EPA also placed notices of public availability in local newspapers.

The EPA has reviewed all comments received for their relevance to the pending approval.

Many comments requested an extension of the 30-day comment period. The EPA extended the comment period in response to these requests.

The majority of comments were generally opposed to the use of phosphogypsum in public roads, and critical of the current state of phosphogypsum management; these comments were determined to be outside the scope of this action, which is specific to the small-scale pilot project as it is described in Mosaic's request. The EPA's approval applies only to the proposed pilot project and not any broader use. Any other use would require a separate application, risk assessment, and approval.

Comments related to EPA's management of phosphogypsum and its non-radiological contaminants under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and other statutes similarly fell outside the scope of the current decision. EPA has documented other regulatory issues in its supporting documents, but EPA's decision is only a determination of the permissibility of the project under the Clean Air Act National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Radionuclides. It does not imply any other regulatory approval or determinations of compliance. These must be obtained or made separately from this decision.

Some commenters indicated that EPA established a legal ban on the use of phosphogypsum in road construction by considering but not issuing a categorical approval in 1992. Road use is not prohibited by the regulation as amended in 1992 and is eligible to be considered as an "other use."

Commenters were critical of many aspects of the risk assessment. Commenters questioned the EPA's overall ability to perform radiological risk assessment, use of fatal radiogenic cancers as a health endpoint, selection of dose and risk coefficients, selection of models, and selection of exposure scenarios and whether current risk data was used. Specifically, several commenters believed that greater emphasis should be placed on the consideration of a future resident at the site of the pilot project. These comments represent disagreements with decisions that EPA has made in its evaluation of potential risks associated with the proposed pilot project, rather than new information that the Agency has not previously considered. After reviewing the comments, the EPA continues to believe that the risk assessments associated with this pilot project are consistent with current radiological risk assessment methodologies and precedent, and sufficient to evaluate the project per the requirements of 40 CFR 61.206. Results from multiple modeling efforts indicate that risks due to the proposed pilot project are low. EPA believes that for this existing site, it is most appropriate to consider the potential risk to site workers and the nearest residents to the site when determining whether the pilot project is as protective as leaving the phosphogypsum in the stack. No comments raised topics which EPA did not consider in its technical evaluation or lead to a concern for human health or environmental impacts not previously considered.

The Agency's response to comments document is available in the public docket¹ and on the EPA phosphogypsum website,² together with electronic copies of the application, the EPA's review, and relevant background materials.

Joseph Goffman,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 2024-30508 Filed 12-20-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-1155; FR ID 269133]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before February 21, 2025. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

¹ <https://www.regulations.gov>, Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0446.

² <https://www.epa.gov/radiation/phosphogypsum#aaup>.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicole Ongele, FCC, via email PRA@fcc.gov and to nicole.ongele@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Nicole Ongele, (202) 418-2991.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

OMB Control Number: 3060-1155.

Title: Sections 15.709, 15.713, 15.714, 15.715 15.717, 27.1320, TV White Space Broadcast Bands.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 1,510 respondents; 3,500 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 4(i), 201, 302, and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 201, 302a, 303.

Total Annual Burden: 7,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$151,000.

Needs and Uses: The Commission is submitting this information collection as an extension to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this 60 day comment period in order to obtain the full three year clearance. The white space database determines which frequencies are available for unlicensed devices and is the primary means to prevent white space devices from causing harmful interference to TV reception and other protected services.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-30532 Filed 12-20-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[DOCKET NO. 24-17]

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Complainant, v. Orient Overseas Container Line Limited and OOCL (Europe) Limited, Respondents and Third-Party Complainants, v. Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd., Third-Party Respondent; Notice of Filing of Third-Party Complaint

Served: December 17, 2024.

Notice is given that third-party complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (the "Commission") by Orient Overseas Container Line Limited and OOCL (Europe) Limited (the "Third-Party Complainants") against Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd. (the "Third-Party Respondent"). Third-Party Complainants state that the Commission has subject-matter jurisdiction over the complaint pursuant to the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended, 46 U.S.C. 40101 *et seq.*, and personal jurisdiction over Third-Party Respondent as a signatory to the service contracts that gave rise to the claims asserted by Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (the "Complainant") in this proceeding and within which it was designated as the shipper.

Third-Party Complainant Orient Overseas Container Line Limited is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Hong Kong engaged in business as an ocean common carrier with a principal place of business in Wanchai, Hong Kong.

Third-Party Complainant OOCL (Europe) Limited is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the United Kingdom engaged in business as an ocean common carrier with a principal place of business in Levington Suffolk, United Kingdom.

Third-Party Complainants identify Third-Party Respondent as a corporation organized and existing under the laws of South Korea engaged in the global electronics business with a principal place of business in Suwon, South Korea.

Third-Party Complainants allege that Third-Party Respondent violated 46 U.S.C. 41102(a). Third-Party Complainants allege this violation arose due to Third-Party Respondent's failure to exercise control over, and/or grant of permission to, its affiliate, Complainant, to engage in unjust or unfair devices or means to obtain or attempt to obtain ocean transportation for property at less than the rates or charges that would otherwise apply by demanding refunds and waivers of future charges, and

bringing legal proceedings against ocean carriers.

An answer to the third-party complaint must be filed with the Commission within 25 days after the date of service.

The full text of the third-party complaint can be found in the Commission's electronic Reading Room at <https://www2.fmc.gov/readingroom/proceeding/24-17/>. The initial decision of the presiding judge shall be issued by March 28, 2025, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by October 14, 2025.

David Eng,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-30552 Filed 12-20-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Notice, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) invites comment on a proposal to extend for three years, without revision, the Uniform Application for Municipal Securities Principal or Municipal Securities Representative Associated with a Bank Municipal Securities Dealer (Form MSD-4) and Uniform Termination Notice for Municipal Securities Principal or Municipal Securities Representative Associated with a Bank Municipal Securities Dealer (Form MSD-5; OMB No. 7100-0100).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 21, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by FR 2436, by any of the following methods:

- *Agency Website:* <https://www.federalreserve.gov/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments, including attachments. *Preferred method.*

- *Mail:* Ann E. Misback, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Same as mailing address.

- *Other Means:* publiccomments@frb.gov. You must include the OMB number or the FR number in the subject line of the message.

Comments received are subject to public disclosure. In general, comments