

review is December 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2012, the Department published the antidumping duty order on solar cells from the PRC.¹ On June 26, 2014, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received a timely request for a new shipper review from Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd. (“DMEGC”).² On July 9, 2014, the Department received entry data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) related to DMEGC’s request for a new shipper review.³ We also requested entry documents from CBP in order to confirm certain information reported by DMEGC. The continuation of the new shipper review will be contingent upon confirmation of the information reported in the initiation request.

DMEGC stated that it is the producer and exporter of the subject merchandise upon which its request for a new shipper review is based. Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), DMEGC certified that it did not export solar cells to the United States during the period of investigation (“POI”). In addition, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), DMEGC certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any PRC exporter or producer who exported solar cells to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B),

DMEGC also certified that its export activities were not controlled by the central government of the PRC.⁴

In addition to the certifications described above, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), DMEGC submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped solar cells to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.⁵

The Department conducted a CBP database query and confirmed by examining the results that the sale of subject merchandise that DMEGC reported to the Department entered the United States during the POR specified by the Department’s regulations.⁶

Initiation of New Shipper Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on the information on the record, the Department finds that DMEGC meets the threshold requirements for initiation of a new shipper review of its shipment(s) of solar cells from the PRC.⁷ However, if the information supplied by DMEGC is later found to be incorrect or insufficient during the course of this proceeding, the Department may rescind the review or apply facts available pursuant to section 776 of the Act, depending upon the facts on the record. The POR for the new shipper review of DMEGC is December 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014.⁸ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department will publish the notice of initiation of a new shipper review no later than the last day of the month following the anniversary or semiannual anniversary month of the order. The Department intends to issue the preliminary results of this new shipper review no later than 180 days from the date of initiation, and the final results of this review no later than 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued.⁹

It is the Department’s usual practice, in cases involving non-market economies (“NME”), to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate

from the NME-wide entity rate provide evidence of *de jure* and *de facto* absence of government control over the company’s export activities. Accordingly, we will issue a questionnaire to DMEGC which will include a separate rate section. The new shipper review of DMEGC will proceed if the response provides sufficient indication that the exporter is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of solar cells.

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for certain entries of the subject merchandise from DMEGC in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because DMEGC exports and produces the subject merchandise, the sales of which form the basis of its new shipper review request, we will instruct CBP to permit the use of a bond only for entries of subject merchandise which DMEGC exported and produced.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: July 18, 2014.

Gary Taverman,

Senior Advisor, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–17504 Filed 7–25–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–908]

Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate from the People’s Republic of China (“China”) for the period March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 73 FR 73018 (December 7, 2012).

² See Letter from DMEGC to the Secretary of Commerce “Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: New Shipper Review Request,” dated June 26, 2014 (“NSR Request”).

³ See Letter to All Interested Parties “Request for a New Shipper Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China 12/01/2013–5/31/14: Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd.,” dated July 11, 2014 (“CBP Data”).

⁴ See NSR Request, at Exhibit 1.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B).

⁷ See, generally, Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV “Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd., Initiation Checklist,” dated concurrently with this notice (“Initiation Checklist”).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B).

⁹ See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexander Montoro, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0238.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 30, 2014, based on a timely request for review by Hubei Xingfa Chemicals Group Co., Ltd. (“Hubei Xingfa”),¹ the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate from the People’s Republic of China covering the period March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.² The review covers only Hubei Xingfa.³ On July 2, 2014, Hubei Xingfa withdrew its request for an administrative review.⁴ No other party requested a review of this company or any other exporter of subject merchandise.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Hubei Xingfa timely withdrew its request by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of sodium hexametaphosphate from the People’s Republic of China for the period March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014, in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the

entries subject to this administrative review shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 22, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-17716 Filed 7-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 25, 2014, the Department of Commerce (Department)

published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from India.¹ The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013. We have not made changes to our margin calculations for the final results of this review. The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the “Final Results of the Review” section below.

DATES: Effective July 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sergio Balbontin, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6478.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Following the *Preliminary Results*, on March 26, 2014, the Department issued an additional supplemental questionnaire to Ambica Steels Limited (Ambica), the only respondent in this administrative review. Ambica responded on April 2, 2014. We received a case brief from Ambica.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description is dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India” dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to

¹ See Request for Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People’s Republic of China filed by Hubei Xingfa on March 31, 2014.

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 79 FR 24398 (April 30, 2014) (“Initiation Notice”).

³ See *id.*

⁴ See Hubei Xingfa Notice of Withdrawal: Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People’s Republic of China filed by Hubei Xingfa on July 2, 2014.

¹ See *Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 16282 (March 25, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).