alternative" is required, meaning that management will not change the present condition. Alternatives will provide different ways to address and respond to public issues, management concerns, and resource opportunities identified during the scoping process. Scoping comments and existing condition reports will be used to develop alternatives.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the proposed action should be received in writing by November 9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Upper Blue Stewardship Project, Dillon Ranger District P.O. Box 620, Silverthorne, CO 80498.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peech Keller or Sarah Pearson, at (970) 468–5400. For road and trail questions and concerns, contact Angela Glenn (970) 262–3446.

# Release and Review of the EIS

The DEIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public comment in March 2003. At that time, the EPA will publish a notice of availability for the DEIS in the **Federal Register**. The comment period on the DEIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposed so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC. 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but are not raised until after completion of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) may be waived or dismissed by the courts; City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritage, Inc., v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the FEIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed actions, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statements. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

After the comment period ends on the DEIS, comments will be analyzed, considered, and responded to by the Forest Service in preparing the Final EIS. The FEIS is scheduled to be completed in June 2003. The responsible official will consider the comments, responses, environmental consequences discussed in the FEIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making decisions regarding these revisions. The responsible official will document the decisions and reasons for the decisions in a Record of Decision for the revised Plan. The decision will be subject to appeal in accordance with 36 CFR part 217.

### **Responsible Official**

Martha J. Ketelle, Forest Supervisor, White River National Forest. PO. Box 948, Glenwood Springs, CO 81602–0948 "As the Responsible Official, I will decide which, if any, of the proposed projects will be implemented. I will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision. That decision will be subject to Forest Service appeal regulations."

Dated: October 7, 2002.

# Stephen C. Sherwood,

Deputy Forest Supervisor, White River National Forest.

[FR Doc. 02–25950 Filed 10–11–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 8-2002]

# Foreign-Trade Zone No. 181: Application for Expansion and, Amendment of Application

Notice is hereby given that the application of the Northeast Ohio Trade & Economic Consortium (NEOTEC), grantee of FTZ 181, for authority to expand FTZ 181 in the Akron/Canton, Ohio area (Doc. 8–2002, 67 FR 6679, 2/13/02), has been amended to delete Proposed New Site 6 (43 acres), located within the 143-acre Colorado Industrial

Park, Lorain County. The application otherwise remains unchanged.

Comments on the change may be submitted to the Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, by October 30, 2002.

Dated: October 4, 2002.

#### Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–26180 Filed 10–11–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Bureau of Industry and Security [Docket No. 021001228–2228–01]

National Defense Stockpile Market Impact Committee Request for Public Comments on the Potential Market Impact of Proposed Stockpile Disposals in FY 2003 and FY 2004

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: This notice is to advise the public that the National Defense Stockpile Market Impact Committee (cochaired by the Departments of Commerce and State) is seeking public comments on the potential market impact of proposed increases in the disposal levels of excess materials from the National Defense Stockpile under the Fiscal Year 2003 Annual Materials Plan and proposed commodity disposal levels under the Fiscal Year 2004 Annual Materials Plan.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by November 14, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Richard V. Meyers, Co-Chair, Stockpile Market Impact Committee, Office of Strategic Industries and Economic Security, Room 3876, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; FAX (202) 482–5650; e-mail: rmeyers@bis.doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The co-chairs of the National Defense Stockpile Market Impact Committee. Contact either Richard V. Meyers, Office of Strategic Industries and Economic Security, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–3634 or Terri L. Robl, Office of International Energy and Commodity Policy, U.S. Department of State, (202) 647–3423.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the authority of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act of 1979, as

amended, (50 U.S.C. 98 et seq.), the Department of Defense ("DOD"), as National Defense Stockpile Manager, maintains a stockpile of strategic and critical materials to supply the military, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States for national defense. Section 3314 of the Fiscal Year ("FY") 1993 National Defense Authorization Act ("NDAA") (50 U.S.C. 98h-1) formally established a Market Impact Committee ("the Committee") to "advise the National Defense Stockpile Manager on the projected domestic and foreign economic effects of all acquisitions and disposals of materials from the stockpile \* \* \* " The Committee must also balance market impact concerns with the statutory requirement to protect the Government against avoidable loss.

The Committee is comprised of representatives from the Departments of Commerce, State, Agriculture, Defense, Energy, Interior, Treasury, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and is co-chaired by the Departments of Commerce and State. The FY 1993 NDAA directs the Committee to "consult from time to time with representatives of producers, processors and consumers of the types of materials stored in the stockpile."

The National Defense Stockpile Administrator is proposing (1) revision of the previously approved FY 2003 Annual Materials Plan ("AMP") quantities for three materials, and (2) the new FY 2004 AMP, as set forth in Attachment 1. The Committee is seeking public comments on the potential market impact of the sale of these materials as proposed in revision of the FY 2003 AMP and the FY 2004 AMP.

The AMP quantities are not targets for either sale or disposal. They are only a statement of the proposed maximum disposal quantity of each listed material that may be sold in a particular fiscal year. The quantity of each material that will actually be offered for sale will depend on the market for the material at the time of the offering as well as on the quantity of each material approved for disposal by Congress.

The Committee requests that interested parties provide written comments, supporting data and documentation, and any other relevant information on the potential market impact of the sale of these AMP commodities. Although comments in response to this Notice must be received by November 14, 2002, to ensure full consideration by the Committee, interested parties are encouraged to submit comments and supporting information at any time thereafter to keep the Committee informed as to the market impact of the sale of these commodities. Public comments are an

important element of the Committee's market impact review process.

Public comments received will be made available at the Department of Commerce for public inspection and copying. Anyone submitting business confidential information should clearly identify the business confidential portion of the submission and also provide a non-confidential submission that can be placed in the public file. The Committee will seek to protect such information to the extent permitted by law.

The records related to this Notice will be made accessible in accordance with the regulations published in part 4 of Title 15 of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR 4.1 et seq.). Specifically, the Bureau of Industry and Security's Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") reading room is located on its web page, which can be found at http://www.bis.doc.gov, and copies of the public comments received will be maintained at that location (see Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) heading). If requesters cannot access the web site, they may call (202) 482-2165 for assistance.

Dated: October 9, 2002.

# James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce.

ATTACHMENT 1.—PROPOSED REVISIONS TO FY 2003 ANNUAL MATERIALS PLAN (AMP) AND PROPOSED FY 2004 AMP

Material	Unit	Current FY 2003 Quanity	Revised FY 2003 quan- tity	Revised FY03 notes	Proposed FY2004 quantity	Proposed FY 04 notes
Aluminum Oxide, Abrasive	ST	6,000			6,000	
Antimony	ST	5,000		1	0	
Bauxite, Metallurgical Jamaican	LDT	2,000,000		1	0	
Bauxite, Refractory	LCT	43.000		1	43.000	1
Beryl Ore	ST	4,000			4,000	1
Beryllium Metal	ST	40			40	
Beryllium Copper Master Alloy	ST	1,000	1,200	1	1.200	1
Cadmium	LB	1,200,000	,		400,000	1
Celestite	SDT	3,600	12,794	2	12,794	1
Chromite, Chemical	SDT	100,000	, -	1	100,000	1
Chromite, Metallurgical	SDT	100,000		1	0	
Chromite, Refractory	SDT	100,000			100,000	1
Chromium, Ferro	ST	150,000			150,000	1
Chromium, Metal	ST	500			500	
Cobalt	LB Co	6,000,000			6,000,000	
Columbium Carbide Powder	LB Cb	21,500		1	0	
Columbium Concentrates	LB Cb	560,000			560,000	
Columbium Metal Ingots	LB Cb	20,000			20,000	
Diamond Stone	ct	600,000	1,000,000	1	600,000	1
Fluorspar, Acid Grade	SDT	12,000		1	12,000	1
Fluorspar, Metallurgical Grade	SDT	60,000		1	60,000	1
Germanium	Kg	8,000			8,000	
Graphite	SŤ	3,760	4,800	1	2,000	1
lodine	LB	1,000,000			1,000,000	
Jewel Bearings	PC	82,051,558		1	82,051,558	1
Kyanite	SDT	150		1	0	
Léad	ST	60,000			60,000	
Manganese, Battery Grade, Natural	SDT	30,000			30,000	
Manganese, Battery Grade, Synthetic	SDT	3,011		1	3,011	1
Manganese, Chemical Grade	SDT	40,000			40,000	

ATTACHMENT 1.—PROPOSED REVISIONS TO FY 2003 ANNUAL MATERIALS PLAN (AMP) AND PROPOSED FY 2004 AMP— Continued

Material	Unit	Current FY 2003 Quanity	Revised FY 2003 quan- tity	Revised FY03 notes	Proposed FY2004 quantity	Proposed FY 04 notes
Manganese, Ferro	ST	25.000			50,000	
Manganese, Metal, Electrolytic	ST	2,000			2,000	
Manganese, Metallurgical Grade	SDT	250,000			250,000	1
Mica, All	LB	8,500,000		1	5,000,000	1
Palladium	Tr Oz	350,000		1	200,000	1
Platinum	Tr Oz	50,000		1	25,000	1
Platinum—Iridium	Tr Oz	6,000			6,000	
Quartz Crystals	Lb	216,648		1	150,000	1
Quinidine	OZ	750,000	2,211,122	2	2,211,122	1
Rubber	LT	75,000		1	0	
Sebacic Acid	LB	600,000		600,000		
Silver (Coins)	Tr Oz	5,000,000		1	0	
Talc	ST	2,000		1	1,000	1
Tantalum Carbide Powder	LB Ta	4,000			4,000	1
Tantalum Metal Ingots	LB Ta	40,000			40,000	
Tantalum Metal Powder	LB Ta	50,000		1	40,000	1
Tantalum Minerals	LB Ta	500,000			500,000	
Tantalum Oxide	LB Ta	20,000			20,000	
Thorium	LB	7,100,000		1/3	7,100,000	1/3
Tin	MT	12,000			12,000	
Titanium Sponge	ST	7,000			7,000	
Tungsten Ferro	LB W	300,000			300,000	
Tungsten Metal Powder	LB W	300,000			300,000	
Tungsten Ores & Concentrates	LB W	4,000,000			4,000,000	
VTE, Chestnut	LT	250		1	0	
VTE, Quebracho	LT	50,000			50,000	
VTE, Wattle	LT	6,500		1	0	
Zinc	ST	50,000			50,000	

**Notes:** 1. Actual quantity will be limited to remaining sales authority or inventory. 2. Previously approve by MIC. Submission to Congress pending.

3. The radioactive nature of this material may restrict sales or disposal options. Efforts are underway to determine the environmentally and economically feasible disposition of the material.

[FR Doc. 02–26165 Filed 10–11–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-804]

## BALL BEARINGS AND PARTS THEREOF FROM JAPAN; AMENDED FINAL RESULTS OF ANTIDUMPING DUTY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 15, 2002. SUMMARY: On August 30, 2002, the Department of Commerce published in the Federal Register the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom. The period of review is May 1, 2000, through April 30, 2001. Based on the correction of certain ministerial errors,

we have changed the margins for ball bearings and parts thereof for two Japanese companies, Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd., and NTN Corporation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please contact Lyn Johnson at (202) 482–5287 or Dave Dirstine at (202) 482– 4033; AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

# **Background**

On August 30, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof (ball bearings) from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom (67 FR 55780) (*Final Results*).

We received timely allegations from Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd. (Koyo), and NTN Corporation (NTN) that we made ministerial errors in the *Final Results*. In its September 4, 2002, comments Koyo alleges that the Department did not use Koyo's updated databases in the calculation of the final margin. The petitioner, The Torrington Company (Torrington), did not comment.

We agree with Koyo that we did not use its updated databases and, therefore, we have amended the final results to correct this error. See the analysis memorandum from the analyst to the file dated September 17, 2002, for a detailed description of the changes we made to correct our calculations of Koyo's dumping margin.

In its September 3, 2002, comments NTN alleges that the Department made a ministerial error that resulted in the treatment of all U.S. sales of samples as zero-priced sales even though there were non-zero-priced sample sales. We agree with NTN's assertion that this is a ministerial error and have removed only zero-priced sample sales from our margin calculations for the amended