

(measurement of height, weight, length, girth, etc.) data. Therefore, we are removing bobcats from the list of large felines in § 3.127(d). Based on this change, all outdoor housing facilities (i.e., facilities not entirely indoors) for bobcats would require a 6-foot perimeter fence or an alternative method identified in § 3.127(d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), and (d)(4) rather than an 8-foot fence.

This document also revises the authority citation for 9 CFR part 3 to reflect a revision to 7 CFR part 371 that took effect after our final rule was published.

#### List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 3

Animal welfare, Marine mammals, Pets, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Research, Transportation.

Accordingly, we are amending 9 CFR part 3 as follows:

#### PART 3—STANDARDS

1. The authority citation for part 3 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 2131–2159; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.7.

##### § 3.127 [Amended]

2. In § 3.127, the second sentence of the introductory text in paragraph (d) is amended by removing the word “bobcats.”.

Done in Washington, DC, this 17th day of November 2000.

**Bobby R. Acord,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 00–30286 Filed 11–27–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Federal Aviation Administration

##### 14 CFR Part 29

[Docket No. SW008; Special Conditions No. 29–008–SC]

##### Special Conditions: Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation Model S–92 Helicopters, High-Intensity Radiated Fields

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special condition; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This special condition is issued for Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (Sikorsky) Model S–92 helicopters. These helicopters will have novel or unusual design features associated with the installation of electronic systems that perform critical functions. The applicable airworthiness regulations do

not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards to protect systems that perform critical control functions, or provide critical displays, from the effects of high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). This special condition contains the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to ensure that critical functions of systems will be maintained when exposed to HIRF.

**DATES:** The effective date of this special condition is November 13, 2000. Comments must be received on or before January 12, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this special condition may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. SW008, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0007, or delivered in duplicate to the Office of the Regional Counsel at 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137. Comments must be marked: Rules Docket No. SW008. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 4 p.m.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jorge Castillo, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110; telephone (817) 222–5127, fax (817) 222–5961.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay issuance of the approval design and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, notice and opportunity for prior public comment are unnecessary since the substance of this special condition has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making this special condition effective upon issuance.

##### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or special condition number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered. The special condition may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel

concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this special condition must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Rules Docket No. SW008.” The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### Background

On November 5, 1990, Sikorsky applied for a new type certification of Model S–92 helicopters. Since applying for the new type certification, Sikorsky has requested two extensions of the type certification period. The first extension to August 29, 1999 was approved by the FAA on October 7, 1994, and the second extension to May 31, 2000 was approved on July 21, 1995. Sikorsky Model S–92 helicopters are 19-passenger Transport Category helicopters, powered by two General Electric Model CT7–8 engines. They will incorporate one auxiliary power unit for engine starting and back-up electrical power. The helicopters will have a conventional aluminum structure with some composite parts and highly integrated digital avionics.

##### Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.17, Sikorsky must show that Sikorsky Model S–92 helicopters meet the applicable provisions of the regulations as listed below:

- 14 CFR Part 29, Amendment 29–1 through Amendment 29–45, inclusive;
- 14 CFR Part 36, Appendix H, Amendments 36–1 through the amendment effective at the time of certification; and
- Any special conditions, exemptions, and equivalent safety findings deemed necessary.

In addition, the certification basis includes certain special conditions and equivalent safety findings that are not relevant to this special condition.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for these helicopters because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, Sikorsky Model S–92 helicopters must comply with the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36; and the FAA must issue a finding of regulatory adequacy pursuant

to § 611 of Public Law 92-574, the "Noise Control Act of 1972."

Special conditions, as appropriate, are issued in accordance with § 11.49, as required by §§ 11.28 and 11.29(b), and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.17(a)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

#### **Novel or Unusual Design Features**

Sikorsky Model S-92 helicopters will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features: electrical, electronic, or combination of electrical/electronic (electrical/electronic) systems that perform critical control functions or display critical information, such as electronic flight instruments, required for continued safe flight and landing of the helicopter during operation in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC); and Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) that will be performing engine control functions that are critical to the continued safe flight and landing of the helicopter during Visual Flight Rules (VFR) and Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations.

#### **Discussion**

Sikorsky Model S-92 helicopters, at the time of application, were identified as incorporating one and possibly more electrical/electronic systems, such as electronic flight instruments and FADEC. After the design is finalized, Sikorsky will provide the FAA with a preliminary hazard analysis that will identify any other critical functions required for safe flight and landing that are performed by the electrical/electronic systems.

Recent advances in technology have given rise to the application in aircraft designs of advanced electrical/electronic systems that perform critical control functions or provide critical displays. These advanced systems respond to the transient effects of induced electrical current and voltage caused by a HIRF incident on the external surface of the helicopter. These induced transient currents and voltages can degrade the performance of the electrical/electronic systems by damaging the components or by upsetting the systems' functions.

Furthermore, the electromagnetic environment has undergone a transformation not envisioned by the current application of § 29.1309(a).

Higher energy levels radiate from operational transmitters currently used for radar, radio, and television. Also, the number of transmitters has increased significantly.

Existing aircraft certification requirements are inappropriate in view of these technological advances. In addition, the FAA has received reports of some significant safety incidents and accidents involving military aircraft equipped with advanced electrical/electronic systems when they were exposed to electromagnetic radiation.

The combined effects of the technological advances in helicopter design and the changing environment have resulted in an increased level of vulnerability of the electrical/electronic systems required for the continued safe flight and landing of the helicopters. Effective measures to protect these helicopters against the adverse effects of exposure to HIRF will be provided by the design and installation of these systems. The following primary factors contributed to the current conditions: (1) Increased use of sensitive electronics that perform critical functions; (2) reduced electromagnetic shielding afforded helicopter systems by advanced technology airframe materials; (3) adverse service experience of military aircraft using these technologies; and (4) an increase in the number and power of radio frequency emitters and the expected increase in the future.

The FAA recognizes the need for aircraft certification standards to keep pace with the developments in technology and environment and, in 1986, initiated a high priority program to (1) determine and define electromagnetic energy levels; (2) develop and describe guidance material for design, test, and analysis; and (3) prescribe and promulgate regulatory standards.

The FAA participated with industry and airworthiness authorities of other countries to develop internationally recognized standards for certification.

The FAA and airworthiness authorities of other countries have identified two levels of the HIRF environment that a helicopter could be exposed to, one environment for VFR operations and a different environment for IFR operations. While the HIRF rulemaking requirements are being finalized, the FAA is adopting a special condition for the certification of aircraft that employ electrical/electronic systems that perform critical control functions or provide critical displays. The accepted maximum energy levels that civilian helicopter system installations must withstand for safe

operation are based on surveys and analysis of existing radio frequency emitters. This special condition will require the helicopters' electrical/electronic systems and associated wiring to be protected from these energy levels. These external threat levels are believed to represent the exposure for a helicopter operating under VFR or IFR.

Compliance with HIRF requirements will be demonstrated by tests, analysis, models' similarity with existing systems, or a combination of these methods. Service experience alone will not be acceptable since such experience in normal flight operations may not include an exposure to HIRF. Reliance on a system with similar design features for redundancy as a means of protection against the effects of external HIRF is generally insufficient because all elements of a redundant system are likely to be concurrently exposed to the radiated fields.

This special condition will require the aircraft-installed systems that perform critical control functions or provide critical displays to meet certain standards based on either a defined HIRF environment or a fixed value using laboratory tests. Control system failures and malfunctions can more directly and abruptly contribute to a catastrophic event than display system failures and malfunctions. Therefore, it is considered appropriate to require more rigorous HIRF verification methods for critical control systems than for critical display systems.

The applicant may demonstrate that the operation and operational capabilities of the installed electrical/electronic systems that perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the aircraft is exposed to the defined HIRF test environment. The FAA has determined that the test environment defined in Table 1 is acceptable for critical control functions in helicopters. The test environment defined in Table 2 is acceptable for critical display systems in helicopters.

The applicant may also demonstrate, using a laboratory test, that the electrical/electronic systems that perform critical control functions or provide critical displays can withstand peak electromagnetic field strength in a frequency range of 10 kHz to 18 GHz. If a laboratory test is used to show compliance with the defined HIRF environment, no credit will be given for signal attenuation due to installation. A level of 100 volts per meter (v/m) is appropriate for critical display systems. A level of 200 v/m is appropriate for critical control functions. Laboratory test levels are defined according to RTCA/DO-160D Section 20 Category W

(100 v/m and 150 mA) and Category Y (200 v/m and 300 mA). As stated in DO-160D Section 20, the test levels are defined as the peak of the root means squared (rms) envelope. As a minimum, the modulations required for RTCA/DO-160D Section 20 Categories W and Y will be used. Other modulations should be selected as the signal most likely to disrupt the operation of the system under test, based on its design characteristics. For example, flight control systems may be susceptible to 3 Hz square wave modulation while the video signals for electronic display systems may be susceptible to 400 Hz sinusoidal modulation. If the worst-case modulation is unknown or cannot be determined, default modulations may be used. Suggested default values are a 1 kHz sine wave with 80 percent depth of modulation in the frequency range from 10 kHz to 400 MHz and 1 kHz square wave with greater than 90 percent depth of modulation from 400 MHz to 18 GHz. For frequencies where the unmodulated signal would cause deviations from normal operation, several different modulating signals with various waveforms and frequencies should be applied.

Applicants must perform a preliminary hazard analysis to identify electrical/electronic systems that perform critical functions. The term "critical" means those functions whose failure would contribute to or cause an unsafe condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the helicopters. The systems identified by the hazard analysis as performing critical functions are required to have HIRF protection. A system may perform both critical and non-critical functions. Primary electronic flight display systems and their associated components perform critical functions such as attitude, altitude, and airspeed indications. HIRF requirements would apply only to the systems that perform critical functions, including control and display.

Acceptable system performance would be attained by demonstrating that the critical function components of the system under consideration continue to perform their intended function during and after exposure to required electromagnetic fields. Deviations from system specifications may be acceptable but must be independently assessed by the FAA on a case-by-case basis.

**TABLE 1.—VFR ROTORCRAFT  
(CRITICAL CONTROL FUNCTIONS)**

Field strength volts/meter

Frequency	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz	130	130
100 kHz–500 kHz .....	180	140
500 kHz–2 MHz	60	60
2 MHz–30 MHz	320	320
30 MHz–70 MHz	80	80
70 MHz–100 MHz .....	70	70
100 MHz–200 MHz .....	140	140
200 MHz–400 MHz .....	140	140
400 MHz–700 MHz .....	400	400
700 MHz–1 GHz	690	400
1 GHz–2 GHz ...	2400	80
2 GHz–4 GHz ...	5120	350
4 GHz–6 GHz ...	13700	570
6 GHz–8 GHz ...	130	80
8 GHz–12 GHz	4900	200
12 GHz–18 GHz	1300	560
18 GHz–40 GHz	1300	30

**TABLE 2.—ROTORCRAFT (CRITICAL  
DISPLAY FUNCTIONS)**

Field strength volts/meter

Frequency	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz	30	30
100 kHz–500 kHz .....	40	30
500 kHz–2 MHz	30	30
2 MHz–30 MHz	190	190
30 MHz–70 MHz	20	20
70 MHz–100 MHz .....	20	20
100 MHz–200 MHz .....	30	30
200 MHz–400 MHz .....	30	30
400 MHz–700 MHz .....	80	80
700 MHz–1 GHz	690	240
1 GHz–2 GHz ...	970	70
2 GHz–4 GHz ...	1570	350
4 GHz–6 GHz ...	7200	300
6 GHz–8 GHz ...	130	80
8 GHz–12 GHz	2100	80
12 GHz–18 GHz	500	330
18 GHz–40 GHz	780	20

#### Applicability

As previously discussed, this special condition is applicable to Sikorsky Model S-92 helicopters. Should Sikorsky apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, the special condition would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

#### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model series of helicopters. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the helicopters.

The substance of this special condition has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the helicopters, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting this special condition upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 29

Aircraft, Air transportation, Aviation safety, Rotorcraft, Safety.

**Authority:** The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows: 42 U.S.C. 7572; 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40105, 40113, 44701–44702, 44704, 44709, 44711, 44713, 44715, 45303.

#### The Special Condition

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special condition is issued as part of the type certification basis for Sikorsky Model S-92 helicopters.

#### *Protection for Electrical and Electronic Systems From High-Intensity Radiated Fields*

Each system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capabilities of these critical functions are not adversely affected when the helicopter is exposed to high-intensity radiated fields external to the helicopter.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on November 13, 2000.

**Michelle M. Owsley,**

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate,  
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-30304 Filed 11-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**