

proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 7.23 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.<sup>10</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: November 4, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available
  - Comment 2: Use of Constructed Value To Calculate Normal Value
  - Comment 3: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available
  - Comment 4: Selection of the Adverse Facts Available Rate
  - Comment 5: Voluntary Respondent Request for Paras
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–24579 Filed 11–9–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–900]

#### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Results

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 27, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s second remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period from November 1, 2014, through October 31, 2015. Commerce is notifying the public that it is amending the final results of review with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Bosun Tools Co., Ltd. (Bosun) and the 22 non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.

**DATES:** Applicable November 6, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0410.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On June 12, 2017, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2014–2015 AD administrative review of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China. Commerce calculated a rate of 6.91 for Bosun and assigned that rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>1</sup>

The Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition (the petitioner) appealed Commerce's *Final Results*. On October 23, 2018, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce to further clarify or reconsider Commerce's conclusion that Bosun acted to the best of its ability in responding to Commerce's requests for information.<sup>2</sup>

In its first remand redetermination, issued in April 2019, Commerce concluded that Bosun failed to cooperate to the best of its ability and applied a rate based entirely on adverse facts available (AFA) to Bosun; Commerce also assigned that rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>3</sup> The CIT sustained the first remand redetermination, but later remanded for a second time for further proceedings in conformity with the opinion of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which ruled that Commerce needed to determine whether there was any basis to disregard the Bosun-supplied origin information for certain sales to unaffiliated U.S. customers during the period of review.<sup>4</sup>

In its second remand redetermination, issued in July 2021, Commerce found that AFA was appropriate to apply to only certain of Bosun's sales to U.S. customers. Accordingly, Commerce recalculated Bosun's margin and assigned Bosun's rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>5</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 82 FR 26912 (June 12, 2017) (*Final Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146 (CIT October 23, 2018).

<sup>3</sup> See *Final Remand Redetermination, Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146, dated April 17, 2019, available at <https://access.trade.gov/resources/remands/18-146.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167 (CIT March 25, 2021) (referencing *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coal. v. United States*, 986 F.3d 1351 (CAFC 2021)).

<sup>5</sup> See *Final Remand Redetermination, Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Appeal No. 20–1478, dated July 13, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 21–150 (CIT October 27, 2021).

<sup>10</sup> See *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29170, 29171 (June 21, 2019).

**Amended Final Results**

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its

*Final Results* with respect to Bosun and the 22 non-selected respondents that received a separate rate as follows:

Company	Amended final margin (percent)
Bosun Tools Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Chengdu Huifeng Diamond Tools Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Danyang Hantronic Import & Export Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Danyang Huachang Diamond Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Danyang Like Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Danyang NYCL Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Danyang Weiwang Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Guilin Tebon Superhard Material Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Hangzhou Deer King Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Hangzhou Kingburg Import & Export Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Huzhou Gu's Import & Export Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Jiangsu Inter-China Group Corporation .....	15.91
Jiangsu Youhe Tool Manufacturer Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Qingyuan Shangtai Diamond Tools Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Quanzhou Zhongzhi Diamond Tool Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Rizhao Hein Saw Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Saint-Gobain Abrasives (Shanghai) Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Shanghai Jingquan Industrial Trade Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Sino Tools Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Weihai Xiangguang Mechanical Industrial Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Wuhan Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Xiamen ZL Diamond Technology Co., Ltd .....	15.91
Zhejiang Wanli Tools Group Co., Ltd .....	15.91

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because all the exporters listed above have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice does not affect the current cash deposit rate.

**Liquidation of Suspended Entries**

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from issuing instructions to liquidate entries that were exported by Bosun Tools Co., Ltd., and imported by or sold to (as indicated on the commercial invoice or Customs documentation) Bosun Tools, Inc. or Bosun Tools Inc., or exported by the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate, and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period November 1, 2014, through October 31, 2015. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, is upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by Bosun Tools

Co., Ltd., and imported by or sold to (as indicated on the commercial invoice or Customs documentation) Bosun Tools, Inc. or Bosun Tools Inc., or exported by the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,<sup>7</sup> we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 4, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2021-24578 Filed 11-9-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Planning, Protection or Restoration**

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection, request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment preceding submission of the collection to OMB.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before January 10, 2022.

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).