

timely requests for review from Dixon Ticonderoga Company (the petitioner) and Aloha Pencil, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i) and section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce published the initiation of this administrative review with respect to 39 companies.⁵

On February 7, 2025, Aloha Pencil timely submitted its Standing Questionnaire Response.⁶ On February 14, 2025, Commerce issued a supplemental questionnaire to Aloha Pencil regarding its standing, to which it timely responded on March 3, 2025.⁷ On February 19, 2025, China First Pencil Co., Ltd. (China First) submitted comments on Aloha Pencil's Standing Questionnaire Response.⁸ On March 13, 2025, China First submitted comments on Aloha Pencil's Supplemental Standing Questionnaire Response.⁹ On March 20, 2025, Aloha Pencil submitted rebuttal comments.¹⁰

On March 25, 2025, based on the information on the record, Commerce determined that Aloha Pencil was not a *bona fide* producer, manufacturer, or wholesaler of a domestic like product during the POR.¹¹ As a result, Commerce declined to find that Aloha Pencil is a domestic interested party and stated that it was: (1) treating Aloha Pencil's review request as void; and (2) preliminarily rescinding this administrative review with respect to any company for which Aloha Pencil was the sole requestor.¹² Consequently, because Aloha Pencil's request for review of 34 companies was void, and it was the sole party requesting a review of these companies, only five companies remained under review: (1) Centraline Stationery & Gift Co. Limited; (2) Ningbo Homey Union Co., Ltd.; (3)

Shandong Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd.; (4) Tianjin Tonghe Stationery Co. Ltd.; and (5) Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd.¹³

On March 26, 2025, Commerce released the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data to all interested parties under an administrative protective order and requested comments regarding the data and respondent selection.¹⁴ We received no comments from interested parties on the CBP data.

On April 3, 2025, Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind this review in full because there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise from the five remaining companies under review and invited comments from interested parties.¹⁵ We received no comments from interested parties on our intent to rescind.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.¹⁶ Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the review period.¹⁷ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct CBP to liquidate at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the review period.¹⁸ As explained above, because Commerce declined to find that Aloha Pencil is a domestic interested party, its request for review of 34 companies is void, and there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise in the CBP data for the five companies remaining companies under review during the POR. Accordingly, in

the absence of suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR, we are hereby rescinding this administrative review, in its entirety, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Assessment

Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this rescission notice in the **Federal Register**.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 2, 2025.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2025-07963 Filed 5-6-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-192]

Erythritol From People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable May 7, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Smith and Hannah Lee, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement

⁵ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 8187, 8190 (January 27, 2025) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁶ See Aloha Pencil's Letter, "Standing Questionnaire Response," dated February 7, 2025 (Standing Questionnaire Response).

⁷ See Commerce's Letter, "Supplemental Questionnaire," dated February 14, 2025; see also Aloha Pencil's Letter, "Standing Questionnaire Response," dated March 3, 2025 (Supplemental Standing Questionnaire Response).

⁸ See China First's Letter, "Comments on Aloha's Response to the Standing Questionnaire," dated February 19, 2025.

⁹ See China First's Letter, "Comments on Aloha's Supplemental Response To the Standing Questionnaire and Request That The Review Be Rescinded as to China First Pencil Co., Ltd.," dated March 13, 2025 (Deficiency Comments on Supplemental Standing Response).

¹⁰ See Aloha Pencil's Letter, "Reply to Comments on Aloha Pencil Standing Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated March 20, 2025.

¹¹ See Memorandum, "Aloha Pencil Company's Standing to Request Review," dated March 25, 2025 (Standing Determination).

¹² *Id.*

¹³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated December 26, 2024. See also *Initiation Notice*, 90 FR at 8190.

¹⁴ See Memorandum, "Release of Customs Entry Data," dated March 26, 2025.

¹⁵ See Memorandum, "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review," dated April 3, 2025.

¹⁶ See, e.g., *Diocetyl Terephthalate from the Republic of Korea: Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2021-2022*, 88 FR 24758 (April 24, 2023); see also *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020-2021*, 88 FR 4157 (January 24, 2023); and *Lightweight Thermal Paper from Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2022-2023*, 89 FR 18373 (March 13, 2024).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 and (202) 482-1216, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 2, 2025, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated a less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of imports of erythritol from the People's Republic of China.¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than May 22, 2025.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in an LTFV investigation within 140 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On April 22, 2025, the petitioner² submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary determination in the LTFV investigation.³ The petitioner stated that it requested postponement “to allow for the collection and analysis of all necessary information for determining the most accurate possible antidumping duty rate.”⁴

For the reasons stated above and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, Commerce, in

¹ See *Erythritol from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 90 FR 1957 (January 10, 2025).

² The petitioner is Cargill, Incorporated.

³ See Petitioner's Letter, “Erythritol from the People's Republic of China: Request to Postpone Antidumping Preliminary Determination,” dated April 22, 2025.

⁴ *Id.*

accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination by 50 days (*i.e.*, 190 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated). As a result, Commerce will issue its preliminary determination no later than July 11, 2025. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: May 1, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025-07945 Filed 5-6-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-883]

Lattice Boom Crawler Cranes From Japan: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable April 30, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Schauer, Office I, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On April 10, 2025, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) received an antidumping duty (AD) petition concerning imports of lattice boom crawler cranes from Japan, filed in proper form on behalf of The Manitowoc Company, Inc. (the petitioner), a domestic producer of lattice boom crawler cranes.¹

Between April 15 and 23, 2025, Commerce requested supplemental information pertaining to certain aspects of the Petition in supplemental

¹ See Petitioner's Letter, “Antidumping Petition,” dated April 10, 2025 (Petition).

questionnaires.² On April 18 and 24, 2025, the petitioner filed timely responses to these requests for additional information.³

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioner alleges that imports of lattice boom crawler cranes from Japan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that imports of such products are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the lattice boom crawler cranes industry in the United States. Consistent with section 732(b)(1) of the Act, the Petition was accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioner supporting its allegations.

Commerce finds that the petitioner filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry, because the petitioner is an interested party, as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act. Commerce also finds that the petitioner demonstrated sufficient industry support for the initiation of the requested LTFV investigation.⁴

Period of Investigation (POI)

Because the Petition was filed on April 10, 2025, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1), the period of investigation (POI) for the Japan LTFV investigation is April 1, 2024, through March 31, 2025.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are lattice boom crawler cranes from Japan. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, see the appendix to this notice.

Comments on the Scope of the Investigation

Between April 15 and 28, 2025, Commerce requested information and clarification from the petitioner regarding the proposed scope to ensure that the scope language in the Petition is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is

² See Commerce's Letters, “Supplemental Questions,” dated April 15, 2025 (First Supplemental Questionnaire); and “Third Supplemental Questions,” dated April 23, 2025 (Third Supplemental Questionnaire); see also Memoranda, “Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner,” dated April 22, 2025 (April 22 Memorandum).

³ See Petitioner's Letters, “Response to Antidumping Duty Petition Supplemental Questionnaire,” dated April 18, 2025 (First Petition Supplement); see also “Response to Second and Third Antidumping Duty Petition Supplemental Questionnaires,” dated April 24, 2025 (Second Petition Supplement).

⁴ See section on “Determination of Industry Support for the Petition,” *infra*.