

BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 550 subpart A and related forms/NTLs	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Annual burden hours
		Non-hour cost burdens		
197(c)	Submit confidentiality agreement	1	1	1
Subtotal			137 responses	1,269
Recordkeeping				
135; 136	During reviews, make records available as requested by inspectors	2	7 reviews	14
Subtotal			7 responses	14
Citation 30 CFR 550 subpart K and related forms	Well surveys and classifying reservoirs	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Annual burden hours
1153	Conduct static bottomhole pressure survey; submit Form BOEM–0140 (Bottomhole Pressure Survey Report).	19 GOM 70 Pacific 0 Alaska	330 surveys 70 surveys 0	6,270 4,900 0
1153(d)	Submit justification, information, and Form BOEM–0140, to request a departure from requirement to run a static bottomhole pressure survey.	9	120 survey departures	1,080
1154; 1167	Submit request and supporting information to reclassify reservoir	8	5 requests	40
1155; 1165(b); 1166; 1167.	Submit Form BOEM–0127 (Sensitive Reservoir Information Report) and supporting information/revisions (within 45 days after the beginning of production, discovering that the reservoir is sensitive, the reservoir is classified as sensitive, or when reservoir parameters are revised. SRIs must be submitted annually). AK Region: submit BOEM–0127 and request an MER for each producing sensitive reservoir.	8 GOM 40 Pacific 2 Alaska	700 forms 39 forms 1 form	5,600 1,560 2
1153–1167	Request general departure or alternative compliance not specifically covered elsewhere in regulatory requirements.	10 GOM 1 Pacific 0 Alaska	10 departures 169 departures 0	100 169 0
1165	Submit proposed plan for enhanced recovery operations to BSEE	Burden covered under BSEE 30 CFR 250 (1014–0019).		0
Subtotal			1,444 responses	19,721
TOTAL BURDEN			5,621 Responses	27,849
			\$165,492 Non-Hour Cost Burdens	

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Signed:

Peter Meffert,

Acting Chief, Office of Regulations.

[FR Doc. 2022–16386 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1287]

Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Electronic Devices, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 30) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket system (“EDIS”) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 7, 2021, based on a complaint filed by NXP Semiconductors N.V. of Eindhoven, Netherlands, and NXP USA, Inc. of Austin, Texas

(collectively, “Complainants”). 86 FR 69289–90 (Dec. 7, 2021). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, from the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits, chipsets, and electronic devices, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,729,214 (“the ‘214 patent”); 10,904,058 (“the ‘058 patent”); 8,482,136 (“the ‘136 patent”); 7,593,202; and 8,558,591. *Id.* at 69289. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation names the following respondents: MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; MediaTek USA Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “MediaTek”); Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; Belkin International, Inc. of Playa Vista, California; and Linksys USA, Inc. of Irvine, California (all collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 69290. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to the ‘136 patent and certain claims of the ‘214 and ‘058 patents. Order No. 20 (May 31, 2022); *unreviewed by* Notice (June 21, 2022).

On July 12, 2022, Complainants and Respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement between the Complainants and MediaTek that resolves all disputes between Complainants and Respondents. No opposition to the motion was filed.

On July 13, 2022, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 30), granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on settlement. The ID finds that the motion for termination satisfies Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)) and that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission voted to approve this determination on July 25, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determinations is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 26, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–16365 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1218 (Rescission)]

Certain Variable Speed Wind Turbine Generators and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination To Institute a Rescission Proceeding; Rescission of Two Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Rescission Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to grant a petition to rescind two cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) issued in the underlying investigation. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 8, 2020, based on a complaint filed on behalf of General Electric Company of Boston, Massachusetts (“GE”). 85 FR 55492–93 (Sept. 8, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as supplemented and amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain variable speed wind turbine generators and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1,

3, 6, 7, 12, 15–16, 21–24, 29, 30, and 33–38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,921,985 (“the ‘985 patent”) and claims 1 and 2 of the U.S. Patent No. 7,629,705 (“the ‘705 patent”). *Id.* at 55493; Order No. 10 (Dec. 2, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 22, 2020). The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy Inc. of Orlando, Florida (“SGRE Inc.”); Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy A/S of Brande, Denmark (“SGRE A/S”); and Gamesa Electric, S.A.U. of Zamudio, Spain (“Gamesa”) (collectively, “SGRE”). 85 FR 55493. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party to the investigation. *Id.*

On January 18, 2022, the Commission determined that GE showed a violation of section 337 by SGRE with respect to claims 29, 30, 33–35, and 37 of the ‘985 patent, but did not show a violation with respect to claims 1, 6, and 12 of the ‘985 patent or any claim of the ‘705 patent. 87 FR 3586–87 (Jan. 24, 2022). The Commission further found that GE showed that SGRE’s full-converter wind turbine products with early versions of software infringe claims 29, 30, 33–35, and 37 of the ‘985 patent, but did not show that SGRE’s full-converter wind turbine products with later versions of software or SGRE’s doubly-fed induction generator (“DFIG”) wind turbine products infringe those claims. The Commission issued a limited exclusion order (“LEO”) and three CDOs against the three SGRE entities, but specified that those remedial orders did not cover: (1) DFIG wind turbine products; (2) full-converter wind turbine products with late versions of software; (3) wind turbine products that were subject to a license agreement between GE and SGRE’s predecessor; and (4) wind turbine products that use GE Convertteam power conversion units. Additionally, the Commission found that the remedial orders should have an exemption for the service and repair of existing wind turbine generators based on the public interest factors.

On March 25, 2022, the Commission issued a corrected Commission opinion. 87 FR 18396 (Mar. 30, 2022). The corrections clarified which component contains the relevant software for determining whether a full-converter wind turbine product infringes.

On June 24, 2022, GE filed a petition to rescind the CDOs against SGRE A/S and Gamesa. On July 6, 2022, SGRE A/S and Gamesa filed a response indicating that they do not oppose the rescission of the CDOs issued against them.

Having reviewed GE’s petition seeking to rescind the CDOs and SGRE