Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$13,053, includes \$0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Dated: July 2, 2003.

Doreen Sterling,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 03-18613 Filed 7-21-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7534-4]

State of Wisconsin Prohibition on Marine Discharges of Vessel Sewage Into Waters of Lake Superior; Receipt of Petition and Tentative Determination

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of tentative

determination.

SUMMARY: Today's notice announces that, by letter dated June 24, 2003, the United States Environmental Protection Agency has tentatively determined that there is a reasonable availability of adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels on the waters of Lake Superior under the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin.

DATES: Comments and views regarding this petition and EPA's tentative determination may be filed on or before August 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Irvin J. Dzikowski, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Water Division WN–16J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A petition to the Regional Administrator, EPA, dated February 28, 2003, was received from the Secretary, State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, requesting a determination pursuant to section 312(f)(3) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1322(f)(3) and 40 CFR 140.4(a) that there is a reasonable availability of adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels on the waters of Lake Superior under the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin. There are 13 waterfront facilities that operate sanitary waste pumpout facilities and or dump stations in the proposed Wisconsin Waters Lake Superior No Discharge Area. In addition to the sanitary waste pumpout facilities, numerous shoreline restroom facilities are available at public boat launches, docks and parks. Commercial vessels use the facilities at

the Port of Duluth Superior. On May 18, 2003, the State supplemented its petition with a "Greater Protection and Enhancement Certification." Once the EPA determines that adequate facilities are available, the State of Wisconsin has the authority pursuant to section 312(f)(3) and 40 CFR 140.4(a), to completely prohibit the discharge from all vessels of any sewage, whether treated or not, into the waters of Lake Superior under its jurisdiction. The EPA hereby makes a tentative affirmative determination that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available in the Lake Superior area under the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin. A final determination on this matter will be made by the Regional Administrator following the 30 day period for public comment.

Dated: June 24, 2003.

Thomas V. Skinner,

Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 03-18614 Filed 7-21-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

July 8, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated

collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before August 21, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-XXXX. Title: Remedial Measures for Failure to Construct Digital Television Stations (DTV Policy Statement).

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection. Respondents: Business and other forprofit entities; not-for-profit institutions; and individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 400. Estimated Time per Response: 0.5 to 1.0 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements.

Total Annual Burden: 210 hours. Total Annual Costs: \$76,000.

Needs and Uses: On April 16, 2003, the FCC released a Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration, In the Matter of Remedial Steps for Failure to Comply with Digital Television Construction Schedule, MM Docket No. 02-113, FCC 03-77, ("R&O"), in which the Commission has adopted a series of remedial measures for stations that fail to construct their digital television (DTV) facilities in a timely fashion and fail to justify an extension of their DTV construction deadline. Stations will be subject to periodic reporting requirements. Under the first step, the Commission will deny the request for an unqualified extension and admonish the station for its failure to comply with its DTV construction obligation. The station must submit a report within thirty days outlining the steps it intends to take to complete construction and the approximate date that it expects to reach each of these construction milestones. Sixty days after its initial report, the station must submit a report detailing its progress on meeting its proposed construction milestones and justifying any delays it has encountered. Under the second step in the approach, if the

station has not come into compliance with the DTV construction rule within a six-month period, then, absent extraordinary and compelling circumstances, the Commission will issue a Notice of Apparent Liability for forfeiture to the licensee and require that the station report every thirty days on its proposed construction milestones and its efforts to meet those milestones. The R&O followed the release of an Order/Notice of Proposed Rulemaking adopted May 16, 2002, MM Docket No. 02–113, FCC 02–150, 67 FR 38459, June 4, 2002.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–18511 Filed 7–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Petition P2-03]

Petition of Sinotrans Container Lines Co., Ltd. (SINOLINES) for a Limited Exemption From Section 9(c) of the Shipping Act of 1984; Notice of Filing

Notice is hereby given that Sinotrans Container Lines Co., Ltd. (SINOLINES) ("Petitioner") has petitioned, pursuant to Section 16 of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. section 1715; and 46 CFR 502.69, for a limited exemption from the tariff publishing requirements of section 9 of the 1984 Act, 46 U.S.C. app section 1708(c). Petitioner seeks an exemption so that it can lawfully reduce rates to meet or exceed the tariff rates of other ocean common carriers on one day's notice.

In order for the Commission to make a thorough evaluation of the Petition, interested persons are requested to submit views or arguments in reply to the Petition no later than August 8, 2003. Replies shall consist of an original and 15 copies, be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573-0001, and be served on Petitioner's counsel; Robert B. Yoshitomi and Christopher J. Jackson, Nixon Peabody LLP, 2040 Main Street, Suite 850, Irvine, CA 92614. It is also requested that a copy of the reply be submitted in electronic form (WordPerfect, Word, or ASCII) on diskette, or e-mailed to secretary@fmc.gov. Copies of the petition are available at the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, 800 N. Capitol Street, NW., Room 1046. A copy may also be obtained by sending a request to secretary@fmc.gov or by calling (202) 523-5725. Parties

participating in this proceeding may elect to receive service of the Commission's issuances in this proceeding through email in lieu of service by U.S. mail. A party opting for electronic service shall advise the Office of the Secretary in writing and provide an email address where service can be made. Such requests may be directed to secretary@fmc.gov.

By the Commission.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–18576 Filed 7–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL RETIREMENT THRIFT INVESTMENT BOARD

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

TIME AND DATE: 3 p.m. (EDT); correction July 24, 2003; correction.

PLACE: 4th Floor, Conference Room, 1250 H Street, NW., Washington, DC.

SUMMARY: The Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board published a notice in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, July 17, 2003, concerning upcoming Board member meeting.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of Thursday, July 17, 2003, Vol. 68, No. 137, page 42473, in the third column, change the time and date caption to read: 3 p.m. (EDT), July 24, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas J. Trabucco, Director, Office of External Affairs, (202) 942–1640.

Dated: July 17, 2003.

Elizabeth S. Woodruff,

Secretary to the Board, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.

[FR Doc. 03–18657 Filed 7–17–03; 4:54 am]

BILLING CODE 6760-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Proposed Airborne Exposure Limits for Chemical Warfare Agents H, HD, and HT (Sulfur Mustard)

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice of proposed worker and general population airborne exposure

limits (AELs) for chemical warfare agents H, HD, and HT (sulfur mustard) to protect the health and safety of workers and the public during treatment, transport, or disposal of these agents.

Purpose: CDC presents results of its review of AELs for the chemical warfare agents H, HD and HT (collectively referred to as sulfur mustard, bis(2chloroethyl)sulfide, CAS 505-60-2).1 All three compounds are chemically and toxicologically related and therefore will be treated here as a single compound represented by HD. Before finalizing these proposals, CDC requests comments from the public, all interested parties, environmental and health regulators, the Department of Defense (DOD), and other organizations involved in handling or demilitarizing chemical warfare agents. More specifically, CDC seeks scientifically and professionally defensible data or information that would be helpful in this evaluation of the AELs for sulfur mustard.

Preamble: This proposal updates the sulfur mustard AELs recommended by CDC in 1988. In preparing this proposal, CDC found some evolution of the methods used to derive AELs and some additional toxicity data available for consideration. Even though no empirical evidence indicated that the existing AELs for mustard are not protective of health, CDC believed the new methods and information should be examined for potential impacts on the exposure criteria. Considerations and logic used to arrive at the proposed AELs may be requested from the contact listed at the end of this announcement.

When reviewing the methods used to derive AELs, CDC found that the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) traditional "reference concentration" (RfC) method (based on no observed adverse effect level/lowest observed adverse effect level [NOEL/ LOAEL] values) and the newer categorical regression, or "CatReg" method, are both undergoing internal review that could result in future variation in the way they are applied and the numeric values ultimately derived. Accordingly, CDC decided that both methods should be examined to help define a range of potential values for the proposed AELs. This announcement summarizes CDC's

¹ HD is distilled sulfur mustard that has been purified by washing and vacuum distillation, whereas Levinstein mustard (H) contains about 30 percent sulfur impurities and has stronger vesicant action. HT consists of 60 percent HD and 40 percent T (related vesicant with lower freezing point and much lower volatility), with reportedly similar characteristics to HD. T is not expected to constitute an airborne vapor hazard.