

**APPENDIX TO NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL OF AMERICAN PYROTECHNICS ASSOCIATION (APA) EXEMPTION
FROM THE 14-HOUR HOS RULE DURING 2015 AND 2016 INDEPENDENCE DAY CELEBRATIONS FOR 50 MOTOR CARRIERS—Continued**

Motor carrier	Street address	City, state, zip code	DOT No.
27 Martin & Ware Inc. dba Pyro City Maine & Central Maine Pyrotechnics.	P.O. Box 322	Hallowell, ME 04347	734974
28 Melrose Pyrotechnics, Inc	1 Kingsbury Industrial Park	Kingsbury, IN 46345	434586
29 Precocious Pyrotechnics, Inc	4420–278th Ave NW	Belgrade, MN 56312	435931
30 Pyro Engineering Inc., dba/Bay Fireworks	400 Broadhollow Rd. Ste #3	Farminedale, NY 11735	530262
31 Pyro Shows Inc	P.O. Box 1776	LaFollette, TN 37766	456818
32 Pyro Spectacluars, Inc	3196 N Locust Ave	Rialto, CA 92376	029329
33 Pyro Spectaculars North, Inc	5301 Lang Avenue	McClellan, CA 95652	1671438
34 Pyrotechnic Display, Inc	8450 W. St. Francis Rd	Frankfort, IL 60423	1929883
35 Pyrotecnico (S. Vitale Pyrotechnic Industries, Inc.)	302 Wilson Rd	New Castle, PA 16105	526749
36 Pyrotecnico, LLC	60 West Ct	Mandeville, LA 70471	548303
37 Pyrotecnico FX	6965 Speedway Blvd. Suite 115.	Las Vegas, NV 89115	1610728
38 Rainbow Fireworks, Inc	76 Plum Ave	Inman, KS 67546	1139643
39 RES Specialty Pyrotechnics	21595 286th St	Belle Plaine, MN 56011	523981
40 Rozzi's Famous Fireworks, Inc	11605 North Lebanon Rd	Loveland, OH 45140	0483686
41 Skyworks, Ltd	13513 W. Carrier Rd	Carrier, OK 73727	1421047
42 Spielbauer Fireworks Co, Inc	220 Roselawn Blvd	Green Bay, WI 54301	046479
43 Starfire Corporation	682 Cole Road	Carrolltown, PA 15722	554645
44 Vermont Fireworks Co., Inc./Northstar Fireworks Co., Inc.	2235 Vermont Route 14 South	East Montpelier, VT 05651	310632
45 Western Display Fireworks, Ltd	10946 S. New Era Rd	Canby, OR 97013	498941
46 Western Enterprises, Inc	P.O. Box 160	Carrier, OK 73727	203517
47 Western Fireworks, Inc	14592 Ottaway Road NE	Aurora, OR 97002	838585
48 Wolverine Fireworks Display, Inc	205 W Seidlers	Kawkawlin, MI	376857
49 Young Explosives Corp.	P.O. Box 18653	Rochester, NY 14618	450304
50 Zambelli Fireworks MFG, Co., Inc	P.O. Box 1463	New Castle, PA 16103	033167

**APPENDIX TO NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL OF AMERICAN PYROTECHNICS ASSOCIATION EXEMPTION FROM THE
14-HOUR HOS RULE DURING 2015 AND 2016 INDEPENDENCE DAY CELEBRATIONS FOR 5 MOTOR CARRIERS NOT
PREVIOUSLY EXEMPTED**

Motor carrier	Street address	City, state, zip code	DOT No.
1 Pyro Shows of Texas, Inc	6601 9 Mile Azle Rd	Fort Worth, TX 76135	2432196
2 Sorgi American Fireworks Michigan, LLC.	935 Wales Ridge Rd	Wales, MI 48027	2475727
3 Spirit of 76	6401 West Hwy 40	Columbia, MO 65202	2138948
4 USA Halloween Planet Inc. dba USA Fireworks.	7800 Record Street, Suite A	Indianapolis, IN 46226	725457
5 Arthur Rozzi Pyrotechnics	6607 Red Hawk Ct	Maineville, OH 45039	2008107

[FR Doc. 2015–07906 Filed 4–6–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2014–0300]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of final disposition.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to exempt 51 individuals from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs). They are unable to meet the

vision requirement in one eye for various reasons. The exemptions will enable these individuals to operate commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) in interstate commerce without meeting the prescribed vision requirement in one eye. The Agency has concluded that granting these exemptions will provide a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these CMV drivers.

DATES: The exemptions were granted February 18, 2015. The exemptions expire on February 18, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles A. Horan, III, Director, Carrier, Driver and Vehicle Safety Standards, (202) 366–4001, fmcsamedical@dot.gov, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room

W64–224, Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, contact Docket Services, telephone (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Electronic Access

You may see all the comments online through the Federal Document Management System (FDMS) at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and/or Room W12–140 on the ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m.

and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy Act: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

II. Background

On January 16, 2015, FMCSA published a notice of receipt of exemption applications from certain individuals, and requested comments from the public (80 FR 2473). That notice listed 51 applicants' case histories. The 51 individuals applied for exemptions from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), for drivers who operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption for a 2-year period if it finds "such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved absent such exemption." The statute also allows the Agency to renew exemptions at the end of the 2-year period. Accordingly, FMCSA has evaluated the 51 applications on their merits and made a determination to grant exemptions to each of them.

III. Vision and Driving Experience of the Applicants

The vision requirement in the FMCSRs provides:

A person is physically qualified to drive a commercial motor vehicle if that person has distant visual acuity of at least 20/40 (Snellen) in each eye without corrective lenses or visual acuity separately corrected to 20/40 (Snellen) or better with corrective lenses, distant binocular acuity of at least 20/40 (Snellen) in both eyes with or without corrective lenses, field of vision of at least 70° in the horizontal meridian in each eye, and the ability to recognize the colors of traffic signals and devices showing red, green, and amber (49 CFR 391.41(b)(10)).

FMCSA recognizes that some drivers do not meet the vision requirement but have adapted their driving to accommodate their vision limitation and demonstrated their ability to drive safely. The 51 exemption applicants listed in this notice are in this category. They are unable to meet the vision requirement in one eye for various reasons, including refractive amblyopia, amblyopia, corneal scar, macular scar, advanced cataract, esotropia, aphakia,

atypical macular degeneration, prosthetic eye, glaucoma, enucleation, strabismic amblyopia, central retinal vein occlusion, complete loss of vision, optic nerve hypoplasia, retinal detachment, macular hole, decreased vision, loss of central field, myopic macular degeneration, exotropia, ischemic optic neuropathy, high myopia, retinal vascular occlusion, full thickness macular hole, ophthalmic artery calcium embolus, optic nerve damage, and dense cataract. In most cases, their eye conditions were not recently developed. Thirty of the applicants were either born with their vision impairments or have had them since childhood.

The 21 individuals that sustained their vision conditions as adults have had it for a range of four to 56 years.

Although each applicant has one eye which does not meet the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), each has at least 20/40 corrected vision in the other eye, and in a doctor's opinion, has sufficient vision to perform all the tasks necessary to operate a CMV. Doctors' opinions are supported by the applicants' possession of valid commercial driver's licenses (CDLs) or non-CDLs to operate CMVs. Before issuing CDLs, States subject drivers to knowledge and skills tests designed to evaluate their qualifications to operate a CMV.

All of these applicants satisfied the testing requirements for their State of residence. By meeting State licensing requirements, the applicants demonstrated their ability to operate a CMV, with their limited vision, to the satisfaction of the State.

While possessing a valid CDL or non-CDL, these 51 drivers have been authorized to drive a CMV in intrastate commerce, even though their vision disqualified them from driving in interstate commerce. They have driven CMVs with their limited vision in careers ranging from two to 50 years. In the past three years, five of the drivers were involved in crashes and six were convicted of moving violations in a CMV.

The qualifications, experience, and medical condition of each applicant were stated and discussed in detail in the January 16, 2015 notice (80 FR 2473).

IV. Basis for Exemption Determination

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) if the exemption is likely to achieve an equivalent or greater level of safety than would be achieved without the exemption. Without the

exemption, applicants will continue to be restricted to intrastate driving. With the exemption, applicants can drive in interstate commerce. Thus, our analysis focuses on whether an equal or greater level of safety is likely to be achieved by permitting each of these drivers to drive in interstate commerce as opposed to restricting him or her to driving in intrastate commerce.

To evaluate the effect of these exemptions on safety, FMCSA considered the medical reports about the applicants' vision as well as their driving records and experience with the vision deficiency.

To qualify for an exemption from the vision requirement, FMCSA requires a person to present verifiable evidence that he/she has driven a commercial vehicle safely with the vision deficiency for the past 3 years. Recent driving performance is especially important in evaluating future safety, according to several research studies designed to correlate past and future driving performance. Results of these studies support the principle that the best predictor of future performance by a driver is his/her past record of crashes and traffic violations. Copies of the studies may be found at Docket Number FMCSA-1998-3637.

FMCSA believes it can properly apply the principle to monocular drivers, because data from the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) former waiver study program clearly demonstrate the driving performance of experienced monocular drivers in the program is better than that of all CMV drivers collectively (See 61 FR 13338, 13345, March 26, 1996). The fact that experienced monocular drivers demonstrated safe driving records in the waiver program supports a conclusion that other monocular drivers, meeting the same qualifying conditions as those required by the waiver program, are also likely to have adapted to their vision deficiency and will continue to operate safely.

The first major research correlating past and future performance was done in England by Greenwood and Yule in 1920. Subsequent studies, building on that model, concluded that crash rates for the same individual exposed to certain risks for two different time periods vary only slightly (See Bates and Neyman, University of California Publications in Statistics, April 1952). Other studies demonstrated theories of predicting crash proneness from crash history coupled with other factors. These factors—such as age, sex, geographic location, mileage driven and conviction history—are used every day by insurance companies and motor

vehicle bureaus to predict the probability of an individual experiencing future crashes (See Weber, Donald C., "Accident Rate Potential: An Application of Multiple Regression Analysis of a Poisson Process," Journal of American Statistical Association, June 1971). A 1964 California Driver Record Study prepared by the California Department of Motor Vehicles concluded that the best overall crash predictor for both concurrent and nonconcurrent events is the number of single convictions. This study used 3 consecutive years of data, comparing the experiences of drivers in the first 2 years with their experiences in the final year.

Applying principles from these studies to the past 3-year record of the 51 applicants, five of the drivers were involved in crashes, and six were convicted of moving violations in a CMV. All the applicants achieved a record of safety while driving with their vision impairment, demonstrating the likelihood that they have adapted their driving skills to accommodate their condition. As the applicants' ample driving histories with their vision deficiencies are good predictors of future performance, FMCSA concludes their ability to drive safely can be projected into the future.

We believe that the applicants' intrastate driving experience and history provide an adequate basis for predicting their ability to drive safely in interstate commerce. Intrastate driving, like interstate operations, involves substantial driving on highways on the interstate system and on other roads built to interstate standards. Moreover, driving in congested urban areas exposes the driver to more pedestrian and vehicular traffic than exists on interstate highways. Faster reaction to traffic and traffic signals is generally required because distances between them are more compact. These conditions tax visual capacity and driver response just as intensely as interstate driving conditions. The veteran drivers in this proceeding have operated CMVs safely under those conditions for at least 3 years, most for much longer. Their experience and driving records lead us to believe that each applicant is capable of operating in interstate commerce as safely as he/she has been performing in intrastate commerce. Consequently, FMCSA finds that exempting these applicants from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption. For this reason, the Agency is granting the exemptions for the 2-year period allowed by 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315 to the 51 applicants

listed in the notice of January 16, 2015 (80 FR 2473).

We recognize that the vision of an applicant may change and affect his/her ability to operate a CMV as safely as in the past. As a condition of the exemption, therefore, FMCSA will impose requirements on the 51 individuals consistent with the grandfathering provisions applied to drivers who participated in the Agency's vision waiver program.

Those requirements are found at 49 CFR 391.64(b) and include the following: (1) That each individual be physically examined every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) and (b) by a medical examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provide a copy of the ophthalmologist's or optometrist's report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver's qualification file, or keep a copy in his/her driver's qualification file if he/she is self-employed. The driver must have a copy of the certification when driving, for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official.

V. Discussion of Comments

FMCSA received three comments in this proceeding. The comments are discussed below.

Letitia Robinson, David Wang, and Eliezer Lebron are all in favor of granting Vantha Yeam an exemption from the Federal vision standard.

IV. Conclusion

Based upon its evaluation of the 51 exemption applications, FMCSA exempts the following drivers from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), subject to the requirements cited above (49 CFR 391.64(b)):

David C. Berger (PA)
Phillip J. Boes (MN)
Ronald Bostick (SC)
Raymond L. Bradshaw (TX)
Ricky D. Cain (NM)
Jeffrey L. Coachman (NY)
Dewayne L. Cunningham (IL)
Robert W. Cushing (NH)
Joel K. Cutchin (VA)
Keith Dionisi (MI)
Wolfgang K. Faulkingham (ME)
John D. Fortino Jr. (NY)
Ricky J. Franklin (OR)
James P. Gapinski (MN)

Harley D. Gray (IL)
David N. Groff (PA)
Robert J. Hansen (MN)
Adrian Haro (CO)
Kevin L. Himes (CO)
Ervin A. James, Jr. (NC)
Jeffrey G. Kalla (NV)
Jackie Lee (FL)
Joseph J. Lewis (WA)
Keith A. Looney, Jr. (AR)
Van C. Mac (IL)
Michael P. McCabe (MI)
Chris D. McCance (IL)
Michael W. McCann (VA)
O'Dell M. McKnight (SC)
Anthony R. Melton (SC)
Preston S. Nehring (FL)
Dennis J. Oie (MN)
Orlan R. Ott (IA)
Rodney W. Phelps (KY)
Leonardo Polonski (MA)
Don C. Powell, Jr. (NY)
Luis A. Ramos (FL)
Kevin C. Rich (NC)
Ronald D. Schwab (MN)
Gary W. Shelton, Jr. (FL)
Gerardo Silva (IL)
James A. Spittal (OR)
Paul J. Stewart (CO)
David A. Stinelli (PA)
Ingrid V. Taylor (MI)
Roger A. Thein, Jr. (WI)
Russell E. Ward (NH)
Bobby M. Warren (KY)
Steven E. Williams (GA)
Rex A. Wright (IL)
Vantha Yeam (PA)

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, each exemption will be valid for 2 years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

If the exemption is still effective at the end of the 2-year period, the person may apply to FMCSA for a renewal under procedures in effect at that time.

Issued on: April 1, 2015.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2015-07905 Filed 4-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE CODE 4910-EX-P