a way that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

Summaries of Written Submissions: Persons wishing to have a summary of their position included in the report should include a summary with their written submission and should mark the summary as having been provided for that purpose. The summary should be clearly marked as "summary for inclusion in the report" at the top of the page. The summary may not exceed 500 words and should not include any confidential business information. The summary will be published as provided if it meets these requirements and is germane to the subject matter of the investigation. The Commission will list the name of the organization furnishing the summary and will include a link to the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) where the full written submission can be found.

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 15, 2022.

William Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2022-15469 Filed 7-19-22: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1195]

Certain Electronic Candle Products and Components Thereof; Notice of a **Commission Determination To Affirm** the Remand Initial Determination With **Certain Modifications and To Find No** Violation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has, on review, determined to affirm the remand initial determination ("RID") issued on December 29, 2021, finding that Complainants failed to establish the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement in the abovereferenced section 337 investigation. The Commission has determined to modify the RID as explained in the Commission opinion issued herewith.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential

documents filed in connection with this

investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 6, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by complainants L&L Candle Company LLC of Brea, California and Sotera Tschetter, Inc. of St. Paul, Minnesota (together, "Complainants"). 85 FR 19158–59 (Apr. 6, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic candle products and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,550,660; 9,366,402; 9,512,971; 9,523,471; and 10,533,718. Id. The notice of investigation named as respondents: The Gerson Company of Olathe, Kansas; Gerson International (H.K.) Ltd. of Hong Kong; Sterno Home Inc. of Coquitlam, Canada; Ningbo Huamao International Trading Co., Ltd. of Ningbo City, China; Ningbo Yinzhou Langsheng Artware Co., Ltd of Ningbo City, China; Lifetime Brands, Inc. of Garden City, New York; Scott Brothers Entertainment, Inc. of Las Vegas, Nevada; Nantong Ya Tai Candle Arts & Crafts Co., Ltd. of San Gabriel, California; NapaStyle, Inc. of Napa, California; Veraflame International, Inc. of Vancouver, Canada ("Veraflame"); MerchSource, LLC of Irvine, California; Ningbo Mascube Import Export Company of Ningbo City, China ("Ningbo Mascube"); Decorware International Inc. dba Decorware Inc. of Rancho Cucamonga, California; Shenzhen Goldenwell Smart Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, China; Shenzhen Ksperway Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, China; Ningbo Shanhuang Electric Appliance Co. of Ningbo City, China ("Ningbo Shanhuang"); Yiwu Shengda Art Co., Ltd. of Yiwu City, China ("Yiwu Shengda''); Shenzhen Tongfang Optoelectronic Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, China; TFL Candles of Shenzhen City, China; Guangdong Tongfang Lighting Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Tongfang Optoelectronic

Company of Hong Kong; and Virtual Candles Limited of Kent, United Kingdom ("Virtual Candles"). Id. at 19159. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") was also named as a party to the investigation. Id.

Of the twenty-two respondents, five were terminated based on consent orders, eight were terminated based on settlement agreements, three were terminated based on a voluntary withdrawal of the complaint due to an inability to serve, and one was terminated based on a summary determination of no importation. See Order No. 7 (May 4, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Jun. 3, 2020); Order No. 37 (Dec. 17, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 5, 2021); Order No. 12 (Jun. 15, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Jun. 20, 2020); Order No. 15 (Jul. 15, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Aug. 5, 2020); Order No. 29 (Oct. 19, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Nov. 2, 2020; Order No. 38 (Dec. 18, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 5, 2021); Order No. 39 (Dec. 18, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 5, 2021). The Commission found the following five remaining respondents in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation and for failing to show cause why they had not done so, or for failing to participate in discovery: Veraflame, Ningbo Mascube, Ningbo Shanhuang, Yiwu Shengda, and Virtual Candles ("the Defaulting Respondents"). See Order No. 14 (Jul. 8, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Aug. 3, 2020) (finding Veraflame, Ningbo Mascube, and Virtual Candles in default); Order No. 33 (Nov. 12, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Nov. 30, 2020) (finding Yiwu Shengda and Ningbo Shanhuang in default).

On November 13, 2020, Complainants moved for a summary determination of violation as to the Defaulting Respondents and for a recommendation for the issuance of a general exclusion order. On December 4, 2020, OUII filed a response that questioned whether Complainants had satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, but otherwise supported a finding of violation of section 337 and issuing a general exclusion order. On April 2, 2021, the ALI issued an initial determination ("ID"), Order No. 41, granting Complainants' motion for summary determination of violation by each of the five Defaulting Respondents. Order No. 41 (Apr. 2, 2021).

On May 19, 2021, the Commission determined on its own motion to review the ID's finding that Complainants satisfied the economic prong of the

domestic industry requirement. 86 FR 28143–46 (May 25, 2021). On August 13, 2021, the Commission vacated the findings in the ID on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement and remanded the investigation to the then Chief Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") for the issuance of a remand initial determination.

On December 29, 2021, the then Chief ALJ issued the subject RID, finding that Complainants failed to establish the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. On January 20, 2022, Complainants filed a petition for review of the RID. On January 28, 2022, OUII filed a response to Complainants' petition.

On April 1, 2022, the Commission determined to review the RID, but did not request further briefing from the parties. 87 FR 20459–60 (Apr. 7, 2022).

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the RID, the petition for review, and the response thereto, the Commission has determined to affirm the RID's finding that Complainants have failed to establish the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement in this investigation. The Commission has determined to modify the RID as explained in the Commission opinion issued herewith. Accordingly, the Commission finds that there has been no violation of section 337 as to the Defaulting Respondents.

Commissioner Schmidtlein dissents from the Commission's decision and has filed a separate opinion explaining her views.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 14, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 14, 2022.

William Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2022–15454 Filed 7–19–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0066]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; Manufacturers of Ammunition, Records and Supporting Data of Ammunition Manufactured and Disposed of

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until August 19, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Evaluate whether and, if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*,

permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension without Change of a Currently Approved Collection.
- (2) The Title of the Form/Collection: Manufacturers of Ammunition, Records and Supporting Data of Ammunition, Manufactured and Disposed of
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:

Form number: None.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Business or other for-profit. *Other:* None.

Abstract: This collection is a recordkeeping requirement for manufacturers of ammunition. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives personnel may also use these records during criminal investigations and compliance inspections to enforce the Gun Control Act.

- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 188 respondents will respond to this collection once annually, and it will take each respondent approximately 2 minutes to complete their responses.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 6.2 or 6 hours, which is equal to 188 (total respondents) * 1 (# of response per respondent) * .033 (2 minutes or the time taken to prepare each response).

If additional information is required contact: Robert Houser, Assistant Director, Policy and Planning Staff, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, Mail Stop 3.E–206, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 14, 2022.

Robert Houser.

Assistant Director, Policy and Planning Staff, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2022–15435 Filed 7–19–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P