of Augmentation to the Division 4 Water Court.

34. Bridger Valley Water Conservancy District, Lyman Project, Wyoming: The district has requested that their Meeks Cabin repayment contract be amended from two 25 year contacts to one 40 year contract.

35. Glen, Michael D., and Tambra Spencer; Mancos Project; Colorado: The parties have requested a new carriage contract to replace existing contract No. 02-WC-40-8290. Existing carriage contract is for 1 cfs of nonproject water to be carried through Mancos Project facilities. The new contract will add 2 cfs to the existing quantity for a total of 3 cfs.

Discontinued Contract Actions

31. Strawberry High Line Canal Company, Strawberry Valley Project, Utah: The company has requested a contract for carriage of nonproject water in project canals.

32. Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District, Metropolitan WD of Salt Lake and Sandy, and others; Provo River Project; Utah: The entities have requested contracts for storage of nonproject water in Deer Creek Reservoir.

Completed Contract Actions

18. The Grand Valley Water Users Association, Reclamation, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Construction and O&M of a fish passage and fish screen facilities at the Grand Valley Diversion Dam and Government Highline Canal Facilities to facilitate recovery of endangered fish species in the Colorado River Basin (October 30, 2000, 114 Stat. 1602, Pub. L. 106-392). Contract was executed June 3, 2008.

Warren-Vosburg Ditch Company, Animas-La Plata Project, Colorado and New Mexico: Contract for payment of O&M costs associated with the Warren-Vosburg ditch. Contract was executed

March 26, 2008.

Great Plains Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 36900, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana 59101, telephone 406-247-7752.

New Contract Actions

43. Helena Sand & Gravel; Helena Valley Unit, P-SMBP; Montana: Request for a long-term water service contract for M&I purposes for up to 1,000 acre-feet of water per year.

44. City of Cheyenne, Kendrick Project, Wyoming: The City of Cheyenne has requested an amendment to its water storage contract to increase the storage entitlement to 15,700 acre-feet of storage space in Seminoe Reservoir.

45. Central Nebraska Public Power and ID; Glendo Unit, P-SMBP; Nebraska: Request to amend current water service contract.

Modified Contract Actions

8. Savage ID, P-SMBP, Montana: The district is currently seeking title transfer. The contract is subject to renewal pending outcome of the title transfer process. The existing interim contract expired in May 2008. A 5-year interim contract was offered to district on June 28, 2008.

14. Northern Integrated Supply Project, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Consideration of a new longterm contract with approximately 15 regional water suppliers and the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District for the Northern Integrated Supply Project.

28. Glendo Unit, P–SMBP, Nebraska: Contract renewal for long-term water service contracts with Bridgeport, Enterprise, and Mitchell IDs.

Completed Contract Actions

5. Highland-Hanover ID; Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP; Wyoming: Execute long-term water service contract. Contract was executed June 13, 2008.

6. Upper Bluff ID; Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP; Wyoming: Execute long-term water service contract. Contract was executed June 13, 2008.

38. Treeline Springs, LLC., Canyon Ferry Unit, Montana: Request for water service contract for up to 620 acre-feet of water per year for replacement of water for senior water rights. Contract was executed June 19, 2008.

Dated: October 22, 2008.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Policy and Program Services, Denver

[FR Doc. E8-27614 Filed 11-19-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-451 and 731-TA-1126-1127 (Final)]

Certain Lightweight Thermal Paper from China and Germany; **Determinations**

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and

1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports from China of certain lightweight thermal paper, which may be classified in subheadings 4811.90.80, 4811.90.90, 3703.10.60, 4811.59.20, 4820.10.20, and 4823.40.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) and to be subsidized by the Government of China. The Commission further determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act, that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports from Germany of certain lightweight thermal paper that have been found by Commerce to be sold in the United States at LTFV.2 In addition, the Commission determines that it would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective September 19, 2007, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by Appleton Papers, Inc., Appleton, WI. The final phase of these investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of certain lightweight thermal paper from China and Germany were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)) and that imports of certain lightweight thermal paper from China were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal **Register** of June 16, 2008 (73 FR 34038). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 2, 2008, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on November 17, 2008. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Shara L. Aranoff, Vice Chairman Daniel R. Pearson, and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with regard to imports from Germany.

Publication 4043 (November 2008), entitled *Certain Lightweight Thermal Paper from China and Germany: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–451 and 731–TA–1126–1127 (Final).*

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 17, 2008.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E8–27626 Filed 11–19–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-753, 754, and 756 (Second Review)]

Cut-To-Length Carbon Steel Plate From China, Russia, and Ukraine

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty order on cut-to-length carbon steel plate from China and the suspended investigations on cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Russia and Ukraine.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on cut-to-length carbon steel plate from China and/or the termination of the suspended investigations on cut-tolength carbon steel plate from Russia and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: November 4, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 4, 2008, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (73 FR 45071, August 1, 2008) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Russia and Ukraine were adequate and decided to conduct full reviews with respect to the suspended investigations concerning cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Russia and Ukraine. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group response with respect to China was inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct a full review concerning the antidumping duty order on cut-to-length carbon steel plate from China to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews with respect to the suspended investigations concerning cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Russia and Ukraine. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 17, 2008.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E8–27591 Filed 11–19–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration [OMB Number 1117–0012]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Application

for Registration (DEA Form 225); Application for Registration Renewal (DEA Form 225a); Affidavit for Chain Renewal (DEA Form 225b)

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 73 FR 53278 on September 15, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 22, 2008. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.