This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov/.

#### Week of October 30, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of October 30, 2017.

# Week of November 6, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 6, 2017.

## Week of November 13, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 13, 2017.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Denise McGovern at 301–415–0681 or via email at <code>Denise.McGovern@nrc.gov</code>.

\* \* \* \* \*

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html.

\* \* \* \* \*

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Kimberly Meyer, NRC Disability Program Manager, at 301-287-0739, by videophone at 240-428-3217, or by email at Kimberly.Meyer-Chambers@ nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Members of the public may request to receive this information electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or email

Brenda.Akstulewicz@nrc.gov or Patricia.Jimenez@nrc.gov.

Dated: October 4, 2017.

#### Denise L. McGovern,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2017–21755 Filed 10–4–17; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-81797; File No. SR-ICC-2017-012]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Credit LLC; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to ICC's Liquidity Risk Management Framework and ICC's Stress Testing Framework

October 2, 2017.

#### I. Introduction

On August 22, 2017, ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change (SR-ICC-2017-012) to amend the ICC Liquidity Risk Management Framework and the ICC Stress Testing Framework. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on August 31, 2017.3 The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposed change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

# II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

In connection with clearing Single Name ("SN") credit default swaps ("CDS") referencing ICC Clearing Participants ("CPs"), ICC has proposed changes to its Stress Testing Framework and Liquidity Risk Management Framework, which ICC believes will enhance its stress testing and liquidity stress testing practices. The proposed rule change would expand the stress test scenarios that ICC considers to be extreme but plausible by incorporating additional losses related to the expected loss given default of all names not explicitly assumed to enter a state of default in a CP's portfolio.4 The proposed change would similarly amend the stress scenarios described in ICC's Liquidity Risk Management Framework, which ICC stated is necessary to ensure consistency across its documents.<sup>5</sup> The proposed change would also incorporate an enhanced analysis of profits and losses ("P/L") arising out of General Wrong-Way Risk ("GWWR") generated by SNs in the

Banking and Sovereign sectors.<sup>6</sup> Further, the proposed change would clarify ICC's current view that certain GWWR and contagion stress scenarios are extreme, but not plausible, and that such scenarios would be reviewed for informational purposes only.<sup>7</sup>

The proposed change would enhance ICC's guaranty fund sizing process by adding a new sensitivity analysis. This new analysis would contemplate the default of three CP SNs and two non-CP SNs. This analysis would be in addition to the current sizing approach, which contemplates the default of two CP SNs and three non-CP SNs. While not immediately requiring the collection of additional resources, ICC stated that the proposed change could provide a potential remedy where deficiencies are identified in ICC's current sizing methodology.<sup>8</sup>

ICC also proposes to add an interest rate sensitivity analysis in order to comply with CFTC Regulation 17 CFR 39.36. The proposed interest rate sensitivity analysis would shock the Euro and USD interest rate curves up and down to see which scenario would lead to further erosion of ICC's guaranty fund. ICC stated that this analysis would have no impact on its guaranty fund sizing methodology.<sup>9</sup>

The proposed change also includes amendments to ICC's approach to Specific Wrong-Way Risk ("SWWR") P/L to expand the SWWR P/L to incorporate losses arising in connection with defaulting CP specific exposures, and also adds a description of ICC's current client stress testing practices. ICC stated that these changes were proposed for consistency with specific CFTC regulations.<sup>10</sup>

# III. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act <sup>11</sup> directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization.

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) <sup>12</sup> of the Act requires, *inter alia*, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency, or for which it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 81486 (August 25, 2017), 82 FR 41454 (August 31, 2017) (SR-ICC-2017-012) ("Notice").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Notice, 82 FR at 41455.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Notice, 82 FR at 41455–56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Notice, 82 FR at 41455.

<sup>7</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Id. <sup>9</sup> Id.

<sup>10</sup> Id

<sup>11 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

<sup>12 15</sup> U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

responsible. Rule 17Ad–22(b)(3) <sup>13</sup> requires, *inter alia*, that a registered clearing agency acting as a central counterparty for security-based swaps shall establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to maintain sufficient financial resources to withstand, at a minimum, a default by the two participant families to which it has the largest exposures in extreme but plausible market conditions, in its capacity as a central counterparty for security-based swaps.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, which enhances ICC's Stress Testing Framework and Liquidity Risk Management Framework, is consistent with section 17A 14 of the Act and Rule 17Ad-22 15 thereunder. As noted above, in response to the clearing of SN CDS referencing CPs, the proposed change would expand the range of stress tests that ICC considers to be extreme but plausible. The Commission has reviewed the Notice and ICC's rules, policies, and procedures, and believes that the expanded range of extreme but plausible scenarios, supplemented by the information that will be provided by certain additional GWWR and contagion stress scenarios considered to be extreme but implausible, enhance ICC's processes for estimating the amount of financial resources ICC should collect.

Additionally, while adoption of the sensitivity analyses described above will not immediately require ICC to collect additional financial resources, it will provide ICC with additional risk management information. Further, ICC stated that at least in some cases, one of the newly added analyses could provide a potential remedy where deficiencies are identified in ICC's current sizing methodology.<sup>16</sup> Consequently, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is reasonably designed to ensure that ICC maintains sufficient financial resources in accordance with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(b)(3) and will thereby enhance ICC's ability to safeguard the securities and funds of CPs in the event of participant defaults. As a result, the Commission finds that the proposed change is consistent with the requirements of section 17A of the Act and the relevant provisions of Rule 17Ad-22.

## **IV. Conclusion**

*It is therefore ordered* pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act that the

proposed rule change (SR–ICC–2017–012) be, and hereby is, approved.<sup>17</sup>

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{18}$ 

## Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–21540 Filed 10–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-81791; File No. SR-NYSE-2017-50]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Price List To Permit Affiliated Member Organizations That Are Supplemental Liquidity Providers

October 2, 2017.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) 1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") <sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on September 25, 2017, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to permit affiliated member organizations that are Supplemental Liquidity Providers ("SLPs") on the Exchange to obtain the most favorable rate when (1) at least one affiliate satisfies the quoting requirements for SLPs in assigned securities, and (2) the combined SLPs' aggregate volumes satisfy the adding liquidity volume requirements for SLP tiered and nontiered rates. The Exchange proposes to implement the proposed changes on September 25, 2017.4 The proposed rule

change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to permit affiliated member organizations that are SLPs on the Exchange to obtain the most favorable rate when (1) at least one affiliate satisfies the quoting requirements for SLPs in assigned securities, and (2) the combined SLPs' aggregate volumes satisfy the adding liquidity volume requirements for SLP tiered and nontiered rates.

The proposed changes would be applicable to all SLP transactions, regardless of price of the security.

The Exchange proposes to implement these changes to its Price List effective September 25, 2017.

#### Proposed Rule Change

SLPs are eligible for certain credits when adding liquidity to the Exchange. The amount of the credit is currently determined by the "tier" for which the SLP qualifies, which is based on the SLP's level of quoting and ADV of liquidity added by the SLP in assigned securities.

Currently, SLP Tier 3 provides that when adding liquidity to the NYSE in securities with a share price of \$1.00 or more, an SLP is eligible for a credit of \$0.0023 per share traded if the SLP (1) meets the 10% average or more quoting requirement in an assigned security pursuant to Rule 107B and (2) adds liquidity for all assigned SLP securities

<sup>13 17</sup> CFR 240.17Ad-22(b)(3).

<sup>14 15</sup> U.S.C. 78q-1.

<sup>15 17</sup> CFR 240.17Ad-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Notice, 82 FR at 41455.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78cff.

<sup>18 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Exchange originally filed to amend the Price List on August 31, 2017 (SR–NYSE–2017–46),

withdrew such filing on September 13, 2017, and refiled the same day (SR–NYSE–2017–48). SR–NYSE–48 [sic] was subsequently withdrawn and replaced by this filing.