

and did not change the staff's original no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 4, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket Nos. 50-259 Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Limestone County, Alabama

Date of application for amendment: October 12, 2004, as supplemented by letters dated September 7 and November 1, 2006.

Brief description of amendment: To remove License Condition 2.C(4).

Date of issuance: November 28, 2006.

Effective date: As of date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment No.: 265

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-33: Amendment revised the Renewed Operating License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 15, 2006 (71 FR 46937). The supplements dated September 7 and November 1, 2006, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 28, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket Nos. 50-327 and 50-328, Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Hamilton County, Tennessee

Date of application for amendments: May 25, 2006, as supplemented by letter dated September 1, 2006.

Brief description of amendments: The requested changes provide a revision to the design and licensing basis for the containment sump debris transport analysis as described in the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). The current transport analysis for SQN is a two-dimensional physical transport model, and Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is requesting to update the analysis to a three-dimensional computational fluid dynamics transport model. The results of the reanalysis will be used to size the flow area of the advanced design containment sump strainers which will replace the original sump intake structure.

Date of issuance: November 7, 2006.

Effective date: Implementation of the amendment is the incorporation into the next UFSAR update made in accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(e), of the changes to the description of the facility as described in TVA's application dated May 25, 2006, as supplemented by letter dated September 1, 2006, and evaluated in the staff's Safety Evaluation attached to this amendment.

Amendment Nos.: 313 and 302.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-77 and DPR-79: Amendments revised the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: June 20, 2006 (71 FR 35460). The supplemental letter dated September 1, 2006, provided clarifying information that was within the scope of the initial notice and did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 7, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Union Electric Company, Docket No. 50-483, Callaway Plant, Unit 1, Callaway County, Missouri

Date of application for amendment: September 20, 2006, as supplemented by letter dated November 20, 2006.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised (1) the definition of the Pressure and Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) in Technical Specification (TS) 1.1, "Definitions," and (2) TS 5.6.6, "Reactor Coolant System (RCS) PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE LIMITS REPORT (PTLR)."

Date of issuance: December 5, 2006.

Effective date: As of its date of issuance, and shall be implemented within 90 days of the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 177.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-30: The amendment revised the Operating License and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 6, 2006 (71 FR 59136).

The supplemental letter dated November 20, 2006, provided additional clarifying information, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 5, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Previously Published Notices of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The following notices were previously published as separate individual notices. The notice content was the same as above. They were published as individual notices either because time did not allow the Commission to wait for this biweekly notice or because the action involved exigent circumstances. They are repeated here because the biweekly notice lists all amendments issued or proposed to be issued involving no significant hazards consideration.

For details, see the individual notice in the **Federal Register** on the day and page cited. This notice does not extend the notice period of the original notice.

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket No. 50-259, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Limestone County, Alabama

Date of application for amendments: November 9, 2006 (TS-458).

Description of amendments request: The proposed amendment would delete the Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement to verify the position of a low pressure coolant injection crossie valve.

Date of publication of individual notice in the Federal Register: November 20, 2006 (71 FR 67166).

Expiration date of individual notice: December 20, 2006 (Public comments) and January 19, 2007 (Hearing requests).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of December 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Catherine Haney,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E6-21346 Filed 12-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 206(3)-2; SEC File No. 270-216; OMB Control No. 3235-0243.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Rule 206(3)-2, (17 CFR 275.206(3)-2) which is entitled "Agency Cross Transactions for Advisory Clients," permits investment advisers to comply with section 206(3) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the "Act") (15 U.S.C. 80b-6(3)) by obtaining a client's blanket consent to enter into agency cross transactions (i.e., a transaction in which an adviser acts as a broker to both the advisory client and the opposite party to the transaction). Rule 206(3)-2 applies to all registered investment advisers. In relying on the rule, investment advisers must provide certain disclosures to their clients. Advisory clients can use the disclosures to monitor agency cross transactions that affect their advisory account. The Commission also uses the information required by Rule 206(3)-2 in connection with its investment adviser inspection program to ensure that advisers are in compliance with the rule. Without the information collected under the rule, advisory clients would not have information necessary for monitoring their adviser's handling of their accounts and the Commission would be less efficient and effective in its inspection program.

The information requirements of the rule consist of the following: (1) Prior to obtaining the client's consent, appropriate disclosure must be made to the client as to the practice of, and the conflicts of interest involved in, agency cross transactions; (2) at or before the completion of any such transaction, the client must be furnished with a written confirmation containing specified information and offering to furnish upon request certain additional information; and (3) at least annually, the client must be furnished with a written statement or summary as to the total number of transactions during the period covered by the consent and the total amount of commissions received by the adviser or its affiliated broker-dealer attributable to such transactions.

The Commission estimates that approximately 693 respondents use the rule annually, necessitating about 32 responses per respondent each year, for a total of 22,176 responses. Each response requires an estimated 0.5 hours, for a total of 11,088 hours. The estimated average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the

Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or representative survey or study of the cost of Commission rules and forms.

This collection of information is found at (17 CFR 275.206(3)-2) and is necessary in order for the investment adviser to obtain the benefits of Rule 206(3)-2. The collection of information requirements under the rule is mandatory. Information subject to the disclosure requirements of Rule 206(3)-2 does not require submission to the Commission; and, accordingly, the disclosure pursuant to the rule is not kept confidential.

Commission-registered investment advisers are required to maintain and preserve certain information required under Rule 206(3)-2 for five (5) years. The long-term retention of these records is necessary for the Commission's inspection program to ascertain compliance with the Advisers Act.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

General comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (1) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or e-mail to: David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA, 22312; or send an e-mail to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: December 11, 2006.

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-21587 Filed 12-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 30e-2; SEC File No. 270-437; OMB Control No. 3235-0494.

Notice is hereby given that, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Section 30(e) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-29(e)) (the "Investment Company Act" or "Act") and Rule 30e-2¹ thereunder (17 CFR 270.30e-2) require registered unit investment trusts ("UITs") that invest substantially all of their assets in securities of a management investment company² ("fund") to send to shareholders at least semi-annually a report containing certain financial statements and other information. Specifically, Rule 30e-2 requires that the report contain the financial statements and other information that Rule 30e-1 under the Act (17 CFR 270.30e-1) requires to be included in the report of the underlying fund for the same fiscal period. Rule 30e-1 requires that the underlying fund's report contain, among other things, the financial statements and other information that is required to be included in such report by the fund's registration form.

The purpose of this requirement is to apprise current shareholders of the operational and financial condition of the UIT. Absent the requirement to disclose all material information in reports, investors would be unable to obtain accurate information upon which to base investment decisions and consumer confidence in the securities industry might be adversely affected. Requiring the submission of these reports to the Commission permits us to verify compliance with securities law requirements. In addition, Rule 30e-2 permits, under certain conditions, delivery of a single shareholder report to investors who share an address ("householding"). Specifically, Rule 30e-2 permits householding of annual and semi-annual reports by UITs to satisfy the delivery requirements of Rule 30e-2 if, in addition to the other conditions set forth in the rule, the UIT

¹ Rule 30e-2 was originally adopted as Rule 30d-2, but was redesignated as Rule 30e-2 effective February 15, 2001. See Role of Independent Directors of Investment Companies, Investment Company Act Release No. 24816 (Jan. 2, 2001) (66 FR 3734 (Jan. 16, 2001)).

² Management investment companies are defined in Section 4(3) of the Investment Company Act as any investment company other than a face-amount certificate company or a unit investment trust, as those terms are defined in Sections 4(1) and 4(2) of the Investment Company Act. See 15 U.S.C. 80a-4.