

Inyokern, CA, Inyokern, RNAV (GPS) Z Rwy 2, Orig-A
 Kailua-Kona, HI, Kona Intl at Keahole, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 17, Orig-B
 Kailua-Kona, HI, Kona Intl at Keahole, RNAV (GPS) Z Rwy 35, Orig-B
 Lihue, HI, Lihue, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 35, Orig-B
 Champaign-Urbana, IL, University of Illinois-Willard, VOR/DME Rwy 22, Amdt 8
 Champaign-Urbana, IL, University of Illinois-Willard, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 22, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 9, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 13, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 31, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 27, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, RNAV (GPS) Z Rwy 27, Orig
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, ILS or LOC Rwy 9, Amdt 30
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, ILS or LOC Rwy 27, Amdt 1
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, NDB Rwy 9, Amdt 28
 Moline, IL, Quad City Intl, VOR/DME RNAV Rwy 31, Amdt 10
 Johnson, KS, Stanton County Muni, NDB Rwy 17, Amdt 1
 Frederick, MD, Frederick Muni, ILS or LOC Rwy 23, Amdt 5
 Battle Mountain, NV, Battle Mountain, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 3, Orig-A
 Ely, NV, Ely Airport-Yelland Field, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 18, Orig-B
 Albuquerque, NM, Albuquerque Intl Sunport, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 8, Orig
 Albuquerque, NM, Albuquerque Intl Sunport, VOR or TACAN Rwy 8, Amdt 20
 Deming, NM, Deming Muni, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 4, Orig
 Deming, NM, Deming Muni, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 26, Orig
 Deming, NM, Deming Muni, VOR Rwy 26, Amdt 10
 Deming, NM, Deming Muni, GPS Rwy 4, Orig-A, Cancelled
 Deming, NM, Deming Muni, GPS Rwy 26, Orig-A, Cancelled
 Portales, NM, Portales Muni, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 1, Orig
 Portales, NM, Portales Muni, NDB Rwy 1, Amdt 1
 Portales, NM, Portales Muni, GPS Rwy 1, Orig-A, Cancelled
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 7, Orig
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 18, Orig
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 25, Orig
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 36, Orig
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, NDB Rwy 36, Amdt 11
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, VOR Rwy 7, Amdt 12
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, VOR Rwy 25, Amdt 5
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, VOR Rwy 36, Amdt 6
 Findlay, OH, Findlay, GPS Rwy 18, Amdt 1A, Cancelled
 Wilmington, OH, Airborne Airpark, ILS or LOC Rwy 22R, Amdt 5, ILS Rwy 22R (CAT II), Amdt 5, ILS Rwy 22R (CAT III), Amdt 5

Quinton, VA, New Kent County, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 10, Orig-A
 Quinton, VA, New Kent County, RNAV (GPS) Rwy 28, Orig-A
 Quinton, VA, New Kent County, VOR-A, Amdt 1A

[FR Doc. 04-21008 Filed 9-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[CO-001-0076a, CO-001-0077a; FRL-7815-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; CO; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes, Lamar and Steamboat Springs

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: On August 5, 2004 EPA published a direct final rule (69 FR 47366) approving, and an accompanying proposed rule (69 FR 47399) proposing to approve a revision submitted by the State of Colorado on July 31, 2002, for the purpose of redesignating the Lamar, Colorado and Steamboat Springs, Colorado areas from nonattainment to attainment for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM₁₀) under the 1987 standards. In the direct final rule, EPA stated that if adverse comments were received by September 7, 2004, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. EPA subsequently received adverse comments. EPA will summarize and respond to the comments received based on the proposed action published on August 5, 2004 (69 FR 47399). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 69 FR 47366 is withdrawn as of September 20, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Libby Faulk, Air Quality Planning and Management Unit, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Telephone: (303) 312-6083. E-mail address: faulk.libby@epa.gov.

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by

reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Particulate Matter.

40 CFR Part 81

Air pollution control.

Dated: September 9, 2004.

Patricia D. Hull,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 04-20971 Filed 9-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R04-OAR-2004-NC-0002-200417(a); FRL-7815-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Carolina: Raleigh/Durham Area and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point Area Maintenance Plan Updates

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is approving revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR) on June 4, 2004. This SIP revision satisfies the requirement of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended in 1990 for the second 10-year updates of both the Raleigh/Durham area (Durham and Wake Counties, and a portion of Granville County) and the Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point area (Davidson, Forsyth, and Guilford Counties, and a portion of Davie County) 1-hour ozone maintenance plans.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective November 19, 2004, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by October 20, 2004. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID No. R04-OAR-2004-NC-0002, by one of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Agency Web site: <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/> RME, EPA's electronic public docket and comment

system, is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

3. E-mail: delatorre.rosymar@epa.gov

4. Fax: 404-562-9019

5. Mail: "R04-OAR-2004-NC-0002", Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

6. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: Rosymar De La Torre Colón, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division 12th floor, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to RME ID No. R04-OAR-2004-NC-0002. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through RME, regulations.gov, or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the federal regulations.gov Web site are "anonymous access" systems, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of

encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rosymar De La Torre Colón, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960, (404) 562-8965, delatorre.rosymar@epa.gov, or Matt Laurita, Air Quality Modeling and Transportation Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960, (404) 562-9044, laurita.matthew@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?

In addition to the publicly available docket materials available for inspection electronically in Regional Material in EDocket, and the hard copy available at the Regional Office, which are identified in the **ADDRESSES** section above, copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are also available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the State Air Agency, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Air Quality, 2728 Capital Boulevard, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

II. Background

The air quality maintenance plan is a requirement of the 1990 CAA for nonattainment areas that come into compliance with the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The Raleigh/Durham area (Durham and Wake Counties and a portion of Granville County) was not in compliance with the 1-hour ozone standard until air quality measurements from 1990 to 1992 showed that the area had attained the standard. The State subsequently requested that EPA redesignate these counties as attainment for the 1-hour ozone standard. Included with this request was a 10-year air quality maintenance plan covering the years 1993 through 2004. EPA found that this plan was developed in accordance with the appropriate guidelines and published approval of the plan on April 18, 1994, with an effective date of June 17, 1994 (59 FR 18300).

The Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point area (Davidson, Forsyth, and Guilford Counties and a portion of Davie County) was not in compliance with the 1-hour ozone standard until air quality measurements from 1990 to 1992 showed that the area had attained the standard. The State subsequently requested that EPA redesignate these counties as attainment for the 1-hour ozone standard. Included with this request was a 10-year air quality maintenance plan covering the years 1993 through 2004. EPA found that this plan was developed in accordance with the appropriate guidelines and published approval of the plan on September 9, 1993, with an effective date of November 8, 1993 (58 FR 47391).

III. Analysis of State's Submittal

On June 4, 2004, the NCDENR submitted revisions to North Carolina's SIP to provide a 10-year update to the maintenance plans as required by section 175A(b) of the CAA as amended in 1990. The underlying strategy of the maintenance plan is to maintain compliance with the 1-hour ozone standard by assuring that current and future emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) remain at or below attainment year emission levels. The NCDENR has developed a comprehensive emissions inventory for the new base year of 2000 for use in projecting future emissions. The choice of a new base year is allowed because the areas were still in attainment in 2000. The estimated emissions of ozone precursors (i.e., VOC and NO_x) for the Raleigh/Durham and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point

areas during the 2000 ozone season are provided in the following table.
 Projected VOC and NO_x emissions for

2004, 2007, 2010, 2012, and 2015 are also provided.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS—RALEIGH/DURHAM AREA

[Tons per day]

VOC	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Durham	Point	1.80	1.77	1.77	1.90	1.91	1.98
	Area	8.01	6.98	7.26	7.59	7.82	8.15
	On-road mobile	10.76	8.74	7.09	5.69	4.95	4.31
	Non-road mobile	5.07	4.61	4.05	3.81	3.82	3.92
Total	n/a	25.64	22.10	20.17	18.99	18.50	18.36
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	3.54	5.47	6.65	7.14	7.28
Granville*	Point	0.79	0.77	0.77	0.80	0.85	0.89
	Area	1.17	1.11	1.14	1.17	1.19	1.22
	On-road mobile	0.73	0.61	0.47	0.39	0.34	0.30
	Non-road mobile	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.24
Total	n/a	2.99	2.77	2.62	2.59	2.61	2.65
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	0.22	0.37	0.40	0.38	0.34
Wake	Point	9.04	9.16	9.48	9.90	10.15	10.54
	Area	27.52	25.72	27.46	29.37	30.63	32.59
	On-road mobile	24.95	20.36	17.13	14.59	13.03	11.76
	Non-road mobile	15.66	13.40	10.76	9.61	9.61	9.89
Total	n/a	77.17	68.64	64.83	63.47	63.42	64.78
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	8.53	12.34	13.70	13.75	12.39
Overall Total	n/a	105.81	93.52	87.63	85.04	84.53	85.79
Total Safety Margin	n/a	n/a	12.29	18.18	20.76	21.28	20.02

*Partial County.

NITROGEN OXIDES—RALEIGH/DURHAM AREA

[Tons per day]

NO _x	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Durham	Point	3.84	4.10	4.29	4.54	4.70	4.93
	Area	0.37	0.39	0.41	0.43	0.44	0.45
	On-road mobile	22.38	17.99	13.65	9.96	7.90	5.55
	Non-road mobile	9.64	9.39	9.04	8.58	8.37	8.27
Total	n/a	36.23	31.87	27.39	23.51	21.41	19.20
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	4.36	8.84	12.72	14.82	17.03
Granville*	Point	0.28	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.34	0.35
	Area	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09
	On-road mobile	2.65	1.80	1.30	0.99	0.77	0.53
	Non-road mobile	0.44	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.37
Total	n/a	3.44	2.59	2.09	1.78	1.56	1.34
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	0.85	1.35	1.66	1.88	2.10
Wake	Point	2.68	2.83	2.98	3.16	3.27	3.42
	Area	1.42	1.64	1.79	1.93	2.03	2.19
	On-road mobile	55.28	46.86	36.95	26.23	21.23	15.30
	Non-road mobile	19.05	18.39	17.54	16.44	15.93	15.58
Total	n/a	78.43	69.72	59.26	47.76	42.46	36.49
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	8.71	19.17	30.67	35.97	41.94
Overall Total	n/a	118.09	104.18	88.74	73.06	65.43	57.03

NITROGEN OXIDES—RALEIGH/DURHAM AREA—Continued

[Tons per day]

NO _x	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Total Safety Margin	n/a	n/a	13.91	29.35	45.04	52.66	61.06

*Partial County.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS—GREENSBORO/WINSTON-SALEM/HIGH POINT AREA

[Tons per day]

VOC	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Davidson	Point	17.51	17.26	17.31	17.35	17.41	17.40
	Area	8.77	7.41	7.61	7.82	7.93	8.12
	On-road mobile	8.37	6.49	5.44	4.46	3.91	3.43
	Non-road mobile	2.19	1.99	1.76	1.62	1.61	1.64
Total	n/a	36.84	33.15	32.12	31.25	30.86	30.59
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	3.69	4.72	5.59	5.98	6.25
Davie*	Point	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Area	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13
	On-road mobile	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	Non-road mobile	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Total	n/a	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
Forsyth	Point	13.58	13.34	13.42	13.98	14.34	14.84
	Area	12.48	10.88	11.34	11.82	12.13	12.58
	On-road mobile	17.00	13.77	11.38	9.37	8.14	7.08
	Non-road mobile	5.65	4.96	4.18	3.83	3.85	3.97
Total	n/a	48.71	42.95	40.32	39.00	38.46	38.47
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	5.76	8.39	9.71	10.25	10.24
Guilford	Point	23.81	24.63	25.94	27.58	28.73	30.26
	Area	20.01	17.19	17.93	18.71	19.19	19.90
	On-road mobile	25.00	20.21	16.56	13.51	11.70	10.14
	Non-road mobile	11.99	10.56	8.85	8.08	8.15	8.40
Total	n/a	80.81	72.59	69.28	67.88	67.77	68.70
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	8.22	11.53	12.93	13.04	12.11
Overall Total	n/a	166.50	148.82	141.85	138.27	137.25	137.91
Total Safety Margin	n/a	n/a	17.68	24.65	28.23	29.26	28.59

*Partial County.

NITROGEN OXIDES—GREENSBORO/WINSTON-SALEM/HIGH POINT AREA

[Tons per day]

NO _x	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Davidson	Point	14.60	10.23	7.40	7.89	8.21	8.64
	Area	0.45	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.54
	On-road mobile	16.23	12.78	9.90	7.35	5.94	4.29
	Non-road mobile	4.27	4.10	3.92	3.76	3.68	3.61
Total	n/a	35.55	27.59	21.72	19.51	18.35	17.08
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	7.96	13.83	16.04	17.20	18.47
Davie*	Point	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Area	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
	On-road mobile	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01
	Non-road mobile	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Total	n/a	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02

NITROGEN OXIDES—GREENSBORO/WINSTON-SALEM/HIGH POINT AREA—Continued

[Tons per day]

NO _x	Category	2000	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Safety Margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	0.02	0.03	0.4	0.04	
Forsyth	Point	9.33	12.40	7.86	8.17	8.37	8.64
	Area	0.48	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.56	0.58
	On-road mobile	31.50	24.18	18.42	13.67	11.06	7.99
	Non-road mobile	7.03	6.97	6.81	6.58	6.49	6.52
Total	n/a	48.34	44.06	33.62	28.97	26.48	23.73
Safety margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	4.28	14.72	19.37	21.86	24.61
Guilford	Point	2.42	2.57	2.69	2.84	2.94	3.07
	Area	0.85	0.91	0.96	1.01	1.04	1.08
	On-road mobile	44.7	34.03	25.74	18.97	15.36	11.07
	Non-road mobile	14.71	15.22	14.84	14.29	14.07	14.03
Total	n/a	62.68	52.73	44.23	37.11	33.41	29.25
Safety margin	2000 base year minus projected year total.	n/a	9.95	18.45	25.57	29.27	33.43
Overall total	n/a	146.64	124.42	99.62	85.62	78.27	70.09
Total Safety Margin	n/a	n/a	22.21	47.01	61.01	68.36	76.55

* Partial County.

This SIP revision satisfies the requirement of the CAA for the second 10-year updates for the Raleigh/Durham area and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point area 1-hour ozone maintenance plans. Changes to the current maintenance plans include revisions to the emissions inventory for both on-road and non-road mobile sources, reflecting improved methodologies contained in the MOBILE6 and NONROAD emission models. New emissions data for the year 2000 and the projected years (2004, 2007, 2010, 2012 and 2015) have been calculated.

IV. Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets

Maintenance plans and other control strategy SIPs create motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) for criteria pollutants and/or their precursors to address pollution from cars and trucks. The MVEB is the portion of the total allowable emissions that is allocated to

highway and transit vehicle use and emissions. The MVEB serves as a ceiling on emissions from an area's planned transportation system. The MVEB concept is further explained in the preamble to the November 24, 1993, Transportation Conformity Rule (58 FR 62188). The preamble also describes how to establish the MVEBs in the SIP and how to revise the MVEBs.

Under section 176(c) of the CAA, new transportation projects, such as the construction of new highways, must "conform" to (e.g., be consistent with) the part of the State's air quality plan that addresses pollution from cars and trucks. "Conformity" to the SIP means that transportation activities will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards. If a transportation plan does not "conform," most projects that would expand the capacity of

roadways cannot go forward.

Regulations at 40 CFR part 93 set forth EPA policy, criteria, and procedures for demonstrating and assuring conformity of such transportation activities to a SIP.

Specific MVEBs are defined for both VOC and NO_x for the Raleigh/Durham and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point areas in the North Carolina submittal. Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.124(d), North Carolina has elected to allocate subarea budgets for each of the counties (including partial counties) for the purpose of transportation conformity. With this allocation, each county must demonstrate conformity to the county-specific subarea budgets. Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) with planning area boundaries that cross county borders must coordinate to ensure that all applicable county-specific subarea budgets are met. The chart below provides a summary of the county-specific subarea budgets.

RALEIGH/DURHAM AREA MVEB

[Tons per day]

County	Pollutant	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Durham	VOC	9.53	8.30	6.77	5.94	5.26
	NO _x	19.61	15.29	11.35	9.09	6.49
Granville*	VOC	0.66	0.55	0.46	0.41	0.37
	NO _x	1.96	1.46	1.13	0.89	0.62
Wake	VOC	22.19	20.04	17.36	15.64	14.35
	NO _x	51.08	41.38	29.90	24.41	17.90

* Partial County.

GREENSBORO/WINSTON-SALEM/HIGH POINT AREA MVEB

[Tons per day]

County	Pollutant	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Davidson	VOC	6.49	5.77	4.73	4.38	3.94
	NO _x	12.78	10.49	7.79	6.36	4.72
Davie*	VOC	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	NO _x	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01
Forsyth	VOC	13.77	12.06	9.93	9.12	8.14
	NO _x	24.18	19.53	14.49	11.83	8.79
Guilford	VOC	20.21	17.55	14.32	13.10	11.66
	NO _x	34.03	27.28	20.11	16.44	12.18

*Partial County.

The MVEBs have been defined for each county for 2004, 2007, 2010, 2012 and 2015 in the State's submittal. The values for a given year are equal to the on-road mobile source projected level of emissions for that year plus an adjustment. The adjustments are allocations from the safety margins, which account for uncertainty in the projections. They are available because of significant reductions of VOC and NO_x that have occurred, and are

projected to occur, primarily due to mobile sources. The MVEBs are constrained in each of the budget years to assure that the total emissions (*i.e.*, all source categories) do not exceed the 2000 base year emissions. In no case are the projected total emissions from mobile sources for any year greater than the base year emissions totals for either VOC or NO_x.

Under 40 CFR 93.101, the term safety margin is the difference between the

attainment level (from all sources) and the projected level of emissions (from all sources) in the maintenance plan. The attainment level of emissions is the level of emissions during one of the years in which the area met the air quality health standard. The safety margin credit can be allocated to the transportation sector, although the total emission level must stay below the attainment level.

SAFETY MARGINS—RALEIGH/DURHAM AREA

[Tons per day]

VOC	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Safety Margin	12.29	18.18	20.76	21.28	20.02
Allocated to MVEB	2.67	4.20	3.92	3.67	3.61
Remaining Safety Margin	9.62	13.98	16.84	17.61	16.41
NO _x					
Safety Margin	13.91	29.35	45.04	52.66	61.06
Allocated to MVEB	6.00	6.23	5.20	4.49	3.63
Remaining Safety Margin	7.91	23.12	39.84	48.17	57.43

SAFETY MARGINS—GREENSBORO/WINSTON-SALEM/HIGH POINT AREA

[Tons per day]

VOC	2004	2007	2010	2012	2015
Safety Margin	17.68	24.65	28.23	29.26	28.59
Allocated to MVEB	0.00	2.00	1.64	2.85	3.09
Remaining Safety Margin	17.68	22.65	26.59	26.41	25.50
NO _x					
Safety Margin	22.21	47.01	61.01	68.36	76.55
Allocated to MVEB	0.00	3.24	2.40	2.27	2.34
Remaining Safety Margin	22.21	43.77	58.61	66.09	74.21

V. Final Action

EPA is approving the second 10-year updates for the Raleigh/Durham and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point 1-hour ozone maintenance plans. In this action EPA is approving the MVEBs for 2004, 2007, 2010, 2012, and 2015. The MVEBs for 2007, 2010, 2012, and 2015 for the Raleigh/Durham and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point areas were previously found adequate for transportation conformity purposes. This finding of adequacy was

announced in a letter to the State of North Carolina dated June 23, 2004 and was subsequently announced in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 43979, July 23, 2004). As a result of this prior adequacy determination, the MVEBs for 2007, 2010, 2012, and 2015 became available for use on August 9, 2004. As a result of today's SIP revision approval, the revised 2004 MVEBs and the MVEBs for 2007, 2010, 2012, and 2015 must be used for future transportation conformity determinations effective on

November 19, 2004. The MVEBs, based on the on-road mobile sources, are to be used by the local metropolitan planning organizations and transportation authorities to assure that transportation plans, programs, and projects are consistent with, and conform to, the long term maintenance of acceptable air quality in the Raleigh/Durham and Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point areas.

The EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the

Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective November 19, 2004, without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by October 20, 2004.

If the EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on November 19, 2004, and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General

of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 19, 2004. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 8, 2004.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

■ Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart II—North Carolina

■ 2. Section 52.1770 (e), is amended by adding two new entries at the end of the table for "10 Year Maintenance Plan Update for the Raleigh/Durham Area" and "10 Year Maintenance Plan Update for the Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point Area" to read as follows:

§ 52.1770 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

EPA APPROVED NORTH CAROLINA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Provision	State effective date	EPA approval date	Federal Register citation
* * *			
10 Year Maintenance Plan Update for the Raleigh/Durham Area	6/4/04	9/20/04	[Insert citation of publication]
10 Year Maintenance Plan Update for the Greensboro/Winston-Salem/High Point Area.	6/4/04	9/20/04	[Insert citation of publication]

[FR Doc. 04–21060 Filed 9–17–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD153–3111; FRL–7813–1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Revised Major Stationary Source Applicability for Reasonably Available Control Technology and Permitting and Revised Offset Ratios for the Washington Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. This revision pertains to changes in Maryland's regulations for new source permitting for major sources of volatile organic compound (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions and regulations requiring reasonably available control technology on major stationary sources of nitrogen oxides in the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area. The revision modifies the currently approved SIP to make the following changes applicable in the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area: modify the emissions offset ratio; lower the applicability threshold of the new source review (NSR) permit program; and, lower the applicability threshold of the NO_x reasonable available control technology (NO_x RACT) rule. Maryland made these changes in response to the reclassification of the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area to severe nonattainment. The intended effect of this action is to approve these changes to Maryland's NSR permitting program and NO_x RACT regulations for the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rule is effective on October 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for

public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room B108, Washington, DC 20460; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Cripps, (215) 814–2179, or by e-mail at cripps.christopher@epa.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On June 14, 2004, (69 FR 32928), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) for the State of Maryland. The NPR proposed approval of a SIP revision that pertains to changes in Maryland's regulations for new source permitting for major sources of VOC and NO_x emissions and NO_x RACT regulations requiring RACT on major stationary sources of NO_x emissions in the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area. The formal SIP revision was submitted by Maryland on December 1, 2003.

II. Summary of SIP Revision

On December 1, 2003, the Maryland Department of the Environment submitted a revision (MD SIP Revision Number 03–08) to the Maryland State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Washington, DC ozone nonattainment area. This revision amends the approved Maryland SIP to: revise the definition of major stationary source in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 26.11.17.01B(13); incorporate changes in the general provisions found in COMAR 26.11.17.03B(3), which require proposed new major stationary sources to obtain emission reductions, or offsets, of the same pollutant from existing sources in the area of the proposed source at a ratio of 1.3 tons of existing emissions for every 1 ton of proposed emissions; and change the threshold of applicability of Maryland's NO_x RACT regulation, COMAR 26.11.09.08 to

sources with emission of 25 or more tons per year of NO_x.

Other specific requirements of these changes to COMAR 26.11.17.01B(13), COMAR 26.11.17.03B(3) and COMAR 26.11.09.08 and the rationale for EPA's proposed action are explained in the NPR and will not be restated here. No public comments were received on the NPR.

III. Final Action

EPA is approving changes to COMAR 26.11.17.01B(13), COMAR 26.11.17.03B(3) and COMAR 26.11.09.08 submitted by the Maryland Department of the Environment on December 1, 2003 as a revision to the Maryland SIP.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. General Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4). This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the