

Superintendent at Big Thicket National Preserve, Kountze, Texas.

Michael D. Snyder,

Director, Intermountain Region.

[FR Doc. 06-4709 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CB-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Proposed National Natural Landmark Designation for the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, California

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service published a document in the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2006, concerning the proposed National Natural Landmark Designation for the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, CA which will be considered for recommendation at the June National Park System Advisory Board Meeting. The document contained an incorrect date and location.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Gibbons at 360-856-5700, extension 306.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2006, in FR Doc. 06-3161, on page 16341, in the second column, correct the "SUMMARY" caption to read:

SUMMARY: The National Park Service has evaluated and determined that the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, located forty-five miles south of downtown Los Angeles, in Orange County, California appears to meet the criteria for national significance and proposes to recommend the site for designation as a National Natural Landmark. The public is invited to comment on this recommendation. The proposal will be considered by the National Park System Advisory Board at a meeting to be held on June 9, 2006 at Zion Lodge (Auditorium), Zion National Park, Springdale, Utah 84767.

Dated: April 26, 2006.

Steve Martin,

Deputy Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. E6-7722 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of the Revised National Park Service Director's Order #21, Donations and Fundraising

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) has revised existing policies and procedures that guide its acceptance of donations and its relationships to those who desire to raise private sector support to benefit parks and programs. The policies and procedures apply to all units of the national park system, and supersede and replace the policies and procedures originally issued in 1998 that were subsequently extended pending the completion of the revision. **DATES:** The document may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/policy/DOrders/DO21.html> beginning May 22, 2006.

ADDRESSES: The revised Director's Order #21 and it accompanying reference guide is available on the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/policy/DOrders/DO21.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Piltzecker at (202) 354-2150 or partnerships@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPS policies and procedures governing the role of the NPS in accepting donations and authorizing non-profit park support groups to raise funds on behalf of or for the benefit of the National Park System have previously been published in the form of Director's Order #21. The guidelines are superseded by the new Director's Order #21 (and a reference guide that has been issued concurrently). The topics included in the Director's Order include: Acceptance of donations, recognition provided to donors, authorization of fundraising (including for construction projects), cause-related corporate campaigns, and marketing exclusivity granted to Proud Partners of the National Park Foundation.

Dated: April 26, 2006.

Steve Martin,

Deputy Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. E6-7723 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-683 (Second Review)]

Fresh Garlic From China

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of

the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: May 8, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dana Lofgren (202-205-3185), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 8, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (71 FR 5374, February 1, 2006) of the subject five-year review were adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.²

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 29, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter,

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson concluded that the domestic group response was adequate and the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted a full review.