

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Project No. 1971 Idaho]

Idaho Power Company; Notice of Extension of Time

November 17, 2000.

On November 14, 2000, Idaho Power Company filed a request for additional time to respond to the Request for Additional Studies and Information (fish passage studies) filed on October 30, 2000, by the Nez Perce Tribe, American Rivers, Idaho Rivers United, and Trout Unlimited. Idaho Power Company stated that more time is needed to identify the nature and extent of any disagreement over the scope, content, and timing of ongoing studies and the issues raised by the study request.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that an extension of time for the filing of a response is granted to and including December 14, 2000.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-29950 Filed 11-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP01-30-000]

ONEOK Midstream Pipeline, Inc., Oktex Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

November 17, 2000.

On November 13, 2000, ONEOK Midstream Pipeline, Inc. (ONEOK Midstream) and Oktex Pipeline Company (Oktex), both at 100 West Fifth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 and referred to together as Applicants, jointly filed in Docket No. CP01-30-000 and application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Commission's Rules and Regulations for permission to permit ONEOK Midstream to abandon all of its facilities by sale to Oktex and for Oktex to acquire the facilities from ONEOK Midstream, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may be viewed at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Applicants indicate that ONEOK Midstream will be merged into Oktex, an interstate affiliate. Applicants

propose that ONEOK Midstream be permitted to abandon by sale to Oktex all of its facilities and for Oktex to acquire those facilities. It is stated that the facilities include 27 miles of 16-inch pipeline in Garfield County, Oklahoma which extend from the tailgate of the Rodman Plant to interconnections with Williams Pipeline Central, Inc., ONEOK Gas Transportation, LLC, Transok, LLC and Reliant Interstate Gas Transmission Company. Applicants indicate that Oktex has agreed to assume all service obligations and operational and economic responsibilities for the subject facilities, and will adopt the firm and interruptible transportation rates of ONEOK Midstream for service along the Rodman line. In addition, it is indicated that Oktex will operate the facilities as part of its interstate system.

Questions regarding the details of this proposed project should be directed to C. Burnett Dunn of Gable & Gotwals, at (918)-595-4816.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before November 27, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest. Also, non-party commenters

will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-29948 Filed 11-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. RP00-395-000]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Technical Conference

November 17, 2000.

On June 17, 2000, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) made a filing to comply with Order No. 637. Several parties have protested various aspects of Panhandle's filing. Take notice that a technical conference to discuss the various issues raised by Panhandle's filing will be held for two days, on Tuesday, January 9, 2001, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Wednesday, January 10, 2001, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426. Persons protesting aspects of Panhandle's filing should be prepared to answer questions and discuss alternatives.

All interested persons are permitted to attend. To assist Staff, Attendees are requested to e-mail Horatio.Cipkus@ferc.fed.us stating your name, the name of the entity you represent, the names of the persons who will be accompanying you, and a telephone number where you can be reached.

The issues to be discussed will include, but are not limited to:

A. Penalties

1. Penalty levels under non-extreme conditions
 2. Reasons for scheduling variances
 3. Information for shippers on scheduling variances
 4. Trading of scheduling variances
 5. SCT scheduling variances
 6. Crediting of penalty revenues
- B. Flexible Point Rights
1. Approval process for original requests for service
 2. Approval process for release transactions with request for alternative primary points
 3. Five-day waiting period
 4. Effect of alternate primary points on original primary points
 5. Termination of alternate points
 6. Default provision for nominated quantities in excess of CD in overlapping segments
 7. Point rights within 100-mile segments but outside the primary path
- C. Imbalance Services
1. Information provided to shippers on a daily basis
 2. Cost of imbalance management services
 3. Imbalance netting and trading—operational impact areas
 4. Delivery variance service
 5. Third-party imbalance management services
- D. OFOs
1. When an OFO will be used
 2. Panhandle's powers under an OFO
 3. Amount of notice
- E. Segmentation
1. Areas in which segmentation is not operationally feasible
- F. Discount Provisions
1. Extension of discounts to other points
- The above schedule may be changed as circumstances warrant.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-29952 Filed 11-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 4678-019, 4679-022]

Power Authority of the State of New York; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

November 17, 2000.

A final environmental assessment (FEA) is available for public review. The FEA was prepared for New York Power Authority's (licensee) application to operate the Crescent and Vischer Ferry Hydroelectric Projects in a run-of-river mode.

In summary, the FEA examines the environmental impacts of three alternatives for operating the Crescent and Vischer Ferry Projects: (1) licensee's proposed action: run-of-river operation;

(2) licensee's initial proposed action: limited ponding; and (3) no-action. These alternatives are described in detail in the FEA.

The FEA recommends that the licensee operate the projects in a run-of-river mode in accordance with the licensee's proposed action alternative. The FEA concludes that implementation of this alternative would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

This FEA was written by staff in the Office of Energy Projects (OEP). Copies of the FEA can be obtained by contacting the Commission's Public Reference Room at (202) 208-1371.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-29996 Filed 11-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License

November 17, 2000.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent to File An Application for a New License.

b. *Project no.:* 289.

c. *Date filed:* November 3, 2000.

d. *Submitted by:* Louisville Gas and Electric Company—current licensee.

e. *Name of project:* Ohio Falls Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the Ohio River in the City of Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. The project is located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' McAlpine Locks and Dam.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act.

h. *Licensee contact:* Bill Bosta, Director, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, 220 West Main Street, P.O. Box 32010, Louisville, KY 40232 (502) 627-2359.

i. *FERC contact:* John Costello, john.costello@ferc.fed.us, (202) 219-2914.

j. *Effective date of current license:* September 1, 1981.

k. *Expiration date of current license:* November 10, 2005.

l. *Description of the project:* The project consists of the following existing facilities: (1) A powerhouse containing 8 generating units having a total installed capacity of 80,320 kW, located at the U.S. Corps of Engineers' McAlpine Locks and Dam; (2) a 632-foot-long, 26-foot-high concrete headworks section built integrally with

the powerhouse; (3) a 0.9-mile-long, 69-kV transmission line; (4) an access road; (5) one half-mile-long railroad tracks; and (6) other appurtenances.

m. Each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by November 10, 2003.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-29949 Filed 11-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License

November 17, 2000.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License.

b. *Project No.:* 7387.

c. *Date filed:* October 23, 2000.

d. *Submitted by:* Erie Boulevard Hydro, LP—current licensee.

e. *Name of project:* Piercefield Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the Raquette River near the towns of Piercefield and Altamont, in St. Lawrence and Franklin Counties, New York. The project does not occupy federal lands.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act.

h. *Licensee contact:* Jerry L. Sabattis, Erie Boulevard Hydropower, LP, 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201, Liverpool, NY 13088 (315) 413-2787.

i. *FERC contact:* Charles T. Raabe, charles.raabe@ferc.fed.us, (202) 219-2811.

j. *Effective date of current license:* November 1, 1955.

k. *Expiration date of current license:* October 31, 2005.

l. *Description of the project:* The project consists of the following existing facilities: (a) A dam in five sections comprising: (1) A 360-foot-long, 10-foot-high earthen dike along the right bank (north bank); (2) a 62.5-foot-long concrete sluice structure; (3) a 70-foot-long, 20-foot-high earthen dike having a concrete core wall; (4) a 118-foot-long stanchion type stop log spillway; and (5) a 294-foot-long, 22-foot-high concrete spillway with a crest elevation of 1,540.0 feet USGS surmounted by 2-foot-high flashboards; (b) a 140-foot-long, 45-foot-wide, 17-foot-deep concrete masonry forebay structure; (c) a reservoir having a surface area of 370