

Allottees must be able to obtain delivery of their allocations. A letter of commitment from each allottee's serving utility or transmission provider confirming that the allottee will receive the benefit of Western's Proposed Power Allocation must be received by May 11, 2009. If a copy of the letter is not received by the date above, Western may redistribute the allottee's portion of the resource pool to those allottees that remain. Western may extend this deadline for receiving a letter of commitment if a written request to do so is received by Western by the deadline.

The proposed allocations shown in the table above are based on the LAP marketable resource currently available. If the LAP marketable resource is adjusted in the future, all allocations may be adjusted accordingly.

### III. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520, Western received approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to collect the Applicant Profile Data under control number 1910–5136, which was used to develop this Proposed Power Allocation.

### IV. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

Western completed an environmental impact statement on the Program, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The Record of Decision was published in the **Federal Register**, 60 FR 53181, October 12, 1995. Western will comply with any additional NEPA requirements for this resource pool.

Dated: March 20, 2009.

**Timothy J. Meeks,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. E9–6882 Filed 3–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–8591–8]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed

to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

### Draft EISs

*EIS No. 20080528, ERP No. D–USN–L10006–00*, Northwest Training Range Complex (NWTRC), To Support and Conduct Current, Emerging, and Future Training and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Activities, WA, OR and CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to marine resources. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080533, ERP No. D–AFS–K65351–CA*, Plumas National Forest Public Motorized Travel Management, Implementation, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the scope of the travel management planning process, and potential impacts from the designation of the Sly Creek open area and associated routes to soil and water resources. The Final EIS should address seasonal closures, monitoring, and enforcement commitments. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20090028, ERP No. D–NPS–F65073–IN*, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, Draft White-Tailed Deer Management Plan, Implementation, Lake, Porter, LaPorte Counties, IN.

*Summary:* The preferred alternative provides a balanced combination of methods to manage deer and natural resources. EPA does not object to this project. Rating LO.

### Final EISs

*EIS No. 20080347, ERP No. F–COE–D30005–MD*, Atlantic Coast of Maryland Shoreline Protection Project, Proposed Dredging of Several New Offshore Shoals to Provide Sand for Borrow Sources from 2010 to 2044, Ocean City, Worcester County, MD.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed action.

*EIS No. 20080378, ERP No. F–COE–D39028–00*, Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island Ecosystem Restoration Integrated Feasibility Study, Using Uncontaminated Dredged Material from the Upper Chesapeake Bay Approach Channels to the Port of Baltimore to Restore and Protect Island Habitat in the Middle Portion of Chesapeake Bay, Dorchester County, MD.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed project.

*EIS No. 20080509, ERP No. F–IBR–J39037–ND*, Northwest Area Water Supply Project, To Construct a Biota Water Treatment Plant, Lake Sakakawea, Missouri River Basin to Hudson Bay Basin, Divide, Williams, Burke, Renville, Bottineau, Pierce, McHenry, Ward, Mountrail and McLean Counties, ND.

*Summary:* EPA noted that the preferred alternative incorporates earlier EPA recommendations regarding UV treatment technology and the need for a template for the adaptive management plan. EPA made additional comments regarding the water quality analysis.

*EIS No. 20090036, ERP No. F–AFS–F65069–MN*, Glacier Project, To Maintain and Promote Native Vegetation, Communities that are Diverse, Productive, Healthy, Implementation, Superior National Forest, Kawishiwi Ranger District, St. Louis and Lake Counties, MN.

*Summary:* The Final EIS addressed EPA's earlier question regarding the projected amount of suitable habitat for the Northern goshawk; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed project.

Dated: March 24, 2009.

**Ken Mittelholtz,**

Environmental Protection Specialist, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–6886 Filed 3–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–8591–7]

### Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed 03/16/2009 through 03/20/2009 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 20090079, Revised Draft EIS, AFS, MT*, Cabin Gulch Vegetation Treatment Project, Restore Fire-Adapted Ecosystems, Existing and Desired Conditions, Townsend Ranger District, Helena National Forest, Broadwater County, MT, Comment Period Ends: 05/11/2009, Contact: David Carroll 406–495–3716.

*EIS No. 20090080, Final EIS, AFS, CO*, Long Draw Reservoir Project, Re-Issue a Special-Use-Authorization to Water Supply and Storage to Allow the Continued Use of Long Draw Reservoir and Dam, Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests and