

further the law enforcement sharing initiatives that are the basis of the RDEX system. The RDEX system is part of the Department's Law Enforcement Information Sharing Program (LEISP). The RDEX system includes this information to facilitate regional sharing initiatives which serves to further the LEISP's principal purpose of ensuring that criminal law enforcement information is available for users at all levels of government so that they can more effectively investigate, disrupt, and deter criminal activity, including terrorism, and protect the national security.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment; and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibility under the Privacy Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the system. Therefore, please submit any comments by March 12, 2007. The public, OMB, and Congress are invited to submit any comments to Mary E. Cahill, Management Analyst, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, 20530-0001 (Room 1400, National Place Building), Facsimile Number 202-307-1853.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Department is providing a report of this modification to OMB and appropriate Members of Congress.

Dated: January 25, 2007.

Lee J. Lofthus,
Assistant Attorney General for
Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DOJ-012

SYSTEM NAME:

Department of Justice Regional Data Exchange System (RDEX).

* * * * *

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals covered by this system include individuals who are referred to in potential or actual cases or matters of concern to the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP), the United States Marshals Service (USMS), the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF), the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), as well as individuals referred to in law enforcement information contributed by certain state and local law enforcement agencies that participate in the RDEX system under memoranda of understanding with the Department of

Justice. Because the system contains audit logs regarding queries, individuals who use the system to conduct such queries are also covered.

* * * * *

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The system consists of unclassified criminal law enforcement records collected and produced by the BOP, the USMS, the ATF, the DEA, the FBI, and certain state and local law enforcement agencies, including: investigative reports and witness interviews from both open and closed cases; criminal event data (e.g., characteristics of criminal activities and incidents that identify links or patterns); criminal history information (e.g., history of arrests, nature and disposition of criminal charges, sentencing, confinement, and release); and identifying information about criminal offenders (e.g., name, address, date of birth, birthplace, physical description). The system also consists of audit logs that contain information regarding queries made of the system.

* * * * *

PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM:

This system is maintained for the purpose of ensuring that Department of Justice criminal law enforcement information is available for users at all levels of government so that they can more effectively investigate, disrupt, and deter criminal activity, including terrorism, and protect the national security. RDEX furthers this purpose by consolidating certain law enforcement information from other Department of Justice systems, as well as certain state and local law enforcement information, in order that it may more readily be available for sharing with other law enforcement entities.

* * * * *

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records in this system are maintained and disposed of in accordance with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

* * * * *

SYSTEM MANAGERS AND ADDRESSES:

[Replace first paragraph with the following:]

For the RDEX system generally and for state and local information: Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20535.

[Other system managers remain the same.]

* * * * *

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Records in RDEX come directly from the criminal law enforcement files and records systems of the participating Department of Justice components (ATF, BOP, DEA, FBI, and USMS), as well as certain state and local law enforcement agencies participating in the RDEX system under memoranda of understanding with the Department of Justice.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E7-1567 Filed 1-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FB-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,747]

Aerotek Staffing Agency, Kentwood, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 11, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed by the State Agency on behalf of workers at Aerotek Staffing Agency, Kentwood, Michigan, working on-site at D-M-E Company, a subsidiary of Milacron, Inc., Charlevoix, Michigan.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active amended certification (TA-W-60,301), which expires on November 8, 2008. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of January, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-1470 Filed 1-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,719]

Avondale Mills, Inc., Townsend Plant, Graniteville, SC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 9, 2007, in response to a petition filed by a State agency representative on behalf of workers of Avondale Mills, Inc., Townsend Plant, Graniteville, South Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 23rd day of January, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-1474 Filed 1-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,409]

Davis International, Okolona, MS; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of January 2, 2007, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA).

The workers of Davis International, Okolona, Mississippi were certified eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and denied to apply for ATAA on December 5, 2006. The denial notice will be soon published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The group eligibility criteria for the ATAA program that the Department must consider under Section 246 of the Trade Act are:

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.
2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.
3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (*i.e.*, conditions within the industry are adverse).

The initial ATAA investigation revealed that no workers at the subject firm were 50 years of age or older during the relevant time period and thus criterion (1) has not been met.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner stated that he was part of the petitioning worker group and that he was also over the age of 50 during the relevant time period.

A company official was contacted to confirm the age of all the employees of the subject firm during the relevant time period. The company official did acknowledge the fact that the worker who submitted the request for reconsideration is over the age of 50 and that she made a mistake omitting him from the petitioning worker group during the initial investigation. The official further stated that this worker was the only employee over the age of 50 or older at the subject firm during the relevant time period.

When assessing eligibility for ATAA, the Department makes its determinations based on the requirements as outlined in Section 222 of the Trade Act. In particular, the Department considers the relevant employment data for the facility where the petitioning worker group was employed in order to establish whether criterion 1 has been met. For this purpose, the term "significant number" means five percent of the adversely affected workforce or 50 workers, whichever is less, or at least three workers in a firm with less than 50 adversely affected workers.

As the total number of workers 50 years of age or older was one employee during the relevant period, criterion (1) of the eligibility requirements for ATAA has not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of January, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-1469 Filed 1-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,640]

National Apparel, LLC, San Francisco, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 19, 2006 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of National Apparel, LLC, San Francisco, California.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid. The petition, filed by three workers, did not contain the signatures of the petitioners. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of January 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-1473 Filed 1-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 12, 2007.