

Stanley Ranger Station; HC 64, Box 9900; Stanley, Idaho, 83278. Faxes should be sent to 208-774-3003 and e-mails to lstoeffler@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed project and scope of analysis should be directed to Seth Phalen, Team Leader, at the above address, or phone at (202) 774-3000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The analysis area is located in the White Cloud Mountain range in Custer County, south of Clayton, ID and is administered by the Sawtooth National Recreation Area (SNRA) of the Sawtooth National Forest. The two allotments are located in portions of Townships 7 and 8 North and Ranges 15, 16, and 17 East, Boise Meridian. The proposed action will be in compliance with Sawtooth National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan of 1987), as amended, which provides overall guidance for management of this area and Public Law 92-400, the Organic Act for the Sawtooth National Recreation Area.

Purpose and Need for Action

Existing conditions on the allotments do not meet the desired future conditions identified in the Forest Plan. The AMPs were written prior to the listing of threatened chinook salmon, steelhead trout, bull trout, Canada lynx and Utes' Ladies Tresses and do not reflect their needs. Ten years of monitoring the project area has shown consistent overuse of riparian vegetation. The purpose and need for the proposed action is to prepare new AMPs to meet the present Forest Service policy and direction, Forest Plan direction, standards and guidelines, and to protect recently listed species.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action would authorize grazing on the allotments but at reduced stocking rates and would reduce the area available for grazing within the two allotments. AMPs would be revised to reflect these changes. An adaptive management strategy, which would allow for flexibility during the implementation for grazing strategy, would allow permittees to respond to changing conditions and unexpected results. Permitted numbers and seasons would be modified as necessary to meet standards, based on monitoring results of the previous season.

Possible Alternatives

Possible alternatives include: Alt. 1—continued grazing as currently permitted (No action); Alt. 2—reduced stocking rate and permitted area; Alt.

3—reduced stocking rate with the current permitted area; Alt. 4—current stock rate and permitted area under a deferred grazing system (applies to the Lower East Fork Allotment only), and Alt. 5—No grazing (grazing phased out).

Responsible Official

The responsible official is the Area Ranger of the Sawtooth National Recreation Area, Sawtooth National Forest.

Nature of the Decision To Be Made

The responsible official will decide if domestic livestock grazing can occur within the analysis area, and if so, where within the analysis area and at what intensity (timing and duration). The responsible official will also decide what structural range improvements (fences, water troughs, *etc.*), if any, are needed.

Scoping Process

Public notices have been placed in local newspapers.

Preliminary Issues

The following preliminary issues have been identified. (1) Livestock grazing may affect water quality and habitat for listed fish. (2) Livestock grazing may affect riparian and upland vegetation. (3) Livestock grazing may affect wildlife habitat. (4) Livestock grazing may affect the recreation experiences of visitors. (5) Changes in the current grazing numbers may affect the permittees.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Environmental Review

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date of Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Services believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016,

1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues raised by the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: August 16, 2002.

Ruth Monahan,

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 02-21402 Filed 8-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Resource Advisory Meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463) and under the secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-393) the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests' Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) for Fresno County will meet on September 17, 2002, 6:30-9:15 p.m. The Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee will meet at the Forest Supervisor's office Clovis, CA. The purpose of the meeting is for the Resource Advisory Committee to receive project proposals for recommendations to the Forest Supervisor for expenditure of Fresno County Title II funds.

DATES: The Fresno RAC meeting will be held on September 17, 2002. The meeting will be held from 6:30 p.m. to 9:15 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Fresno County RAC meeting will be held at the Sierra National Forest Supervisor's office, 1600 Tollhouse Road, Clovis, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Exline, USDA, Sierra National Forest, 1600 Tollhouse Road, Clovis, CA 93611; (559) 297-0706 ext. 4804; e-mail skeexline@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda items to be covered include; (1) Review and approve the July 16, 2002 meeting notes; (2) Consideration of Title II project proposals from the public and from the RAC members; (3) Confirm the date, location and agenda of the next meeting; (4) Public comment. The meeting is open to the public. Public input opportunity will be provided and individuals will have the opportunity to address the Committee at that time.

Dated: August 15, 2002.

Ray Porter,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 02-21353 Filed 8-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee; Salmon-Challis National Forest; Butte, Custer, and Lemhi Counties, ID

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA Forest Service.

ACTION: Notice of meeting of the Resource Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: The Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee will meet at 5 p.m., September 4, 2002 at the Lost River Ranger District Office, Mackay, Idaho. The 15-member committee will evaluate proposed resource projects for recommendation to the Salmon-Challis National Forest, using funds made available through Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-determination Act. The meeting is open to the public and time will be scheduled for public comments.

The Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee was established by the Secretary of Agriculture under Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 to work collaboratively with the Salmon-Challis National Forest to

provide advice and recommendations consistent with the purposes of the Act.

George P. Matejko,

Forest Supervisor, Salmon-Challis National Forest, Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 02-21403 Filed 8-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1009 or (202) 482-4406, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On February 26, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's

Republic of China, covering the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 8780. The preliminary results are currently due no later than October 3, 2002.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because of the time needed to consider certain factual issues in the case. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than January 31, 2003. *See* Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 16, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 02-21460 Filed 8-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of partially closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award will meet Thursday, September 19, 2002. The Judges Panel is composed of nine members prominent in the field of quality management and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce. The purpose of this meeting is to review the consensus process, select applicants for site visits, determine possible conflict of interest for site visited companies, review feedback to first stage applicants, discuss feedback checklist for Judges, begin stage III of the judging process, a debriefing on the State and Local