

Estimated Number of New Respondents (per year for succeeding year): 30.

Estimated Number of Existing Respondents—for updating (per year for succeeding year): 125.

Respondent Time Burden Estimates:

Estimated Time per New Response: 150 minutes (2.5 hours).

Estimated Number of Updates (per year): 1, for 125 existing respondents.

Estimated Time for Update: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total First Extension Year Burden: 4500 minutes (75 hours) (30 new respondents); 1875 minutes (31.25 hours) (125 updates).

Estimated Total Subsequent Year Annual Burden: 4500 minutes (75 hours) (30 new respondents); 1875 minutes (31.25 hours) (125 updates).

*Respondent Cost Burden Estimates (at \$150. per hour *):* No capital or start-up costs.

Estimated Cost per Respondent (first extension year): \$375 (new respondents); \$38 (updates).

Estimated Cost per Respondent (subsequent year): \$375 (new respondents); \$38 (updates).

Estimated Total First Extension Year Burden: \$11,250 (new respondents); \$4,750 (updates).

Estimated Total Subsequent Year Annual Burden: \$11,250 (new respondents); \$4,750 (updates).

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information and transmitting information.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 5601–5609.

Dated the 22nd day of August 2005.

Christopher L. Helms,

Executive Director, Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation, and Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–16985 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-FN-P

* The actual cost estimate for this information collection may be less because this hourly rate is what is paid for services as a neutral and necessarily is set by the neutral to factor in time spent in the administration of the neutral's business and overhead costs. Indeed, fully burdened labor rates for "professional specialty and technical" occupations from Bureau of Labor Statistics tables indicate that an hourly rate as low as \$36 may be appropriate.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research (DMR) #1203.

Dates & Times: September 12, 2005, 1–5 p.m.; September 13, 2005, 8 a.m.–8 p.m.; September 14, 2005, 8 a.m.–3:30 p.m.

Place: Synchrotron Radiation Center, 3731 Schneider Drive, Stoughton, WI 53589.

Type of Meeting: Partially closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Guebre X. Tessema, Program Director, National Facilities Programs, Division of Materials Research, room 1080, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, telephone (703) 292–4935.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning a renewal proposal submitted to NSF for financial support for the Synchrotron Radiation Center at Wisconsin.

Agenda

Monday, September 12 (at PSL Conference Room)

- 1 p.m.–2 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
- 2 p.m.–6 p.m. Open—Tour of SRC, with User Presentations Welcome; Introduction; Discussion

Tuesday, September 13

8:30 a.m.–12:10 p.m. Open—Overview of Programs

12:10 p.m.–1 p.m. Closed—Executive Session

1 p.m.–5 p.m. Open—Review; User Research; Safety; Education and Outreach; Beamlines and Instrumentation; Plans for the Future; Budget

5 p.m.–6:30 p.m. Closed—Executive Session

Wednesday, September 14

8:30 a.m.–1:30 p.m. Closed—Meeting with Institutional Representatives; Review and Prepare Site Visit Report

Reason for Closing: The work being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt

under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: August 23, 2005.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–17039 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40–08838]

Notice of Withdrawal of License Amendment Request From the Department of the Army, U.S. Army Garrison, Rock Island Arsenal, Rock Island, IL

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of license amendment request by the Department of the Army (Army or licensee) for its Jefferson Proving Ground (JPG) site.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom McLaughlin, Project Manager, Decommissioning Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; Telephone: (301) 415–5869; fax number: (301) 415–5398; e-mail: tgm@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On September 22, 2003, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Staff received a request from the Army for a license amendment that would create a 5-year renewable possession-only license (5-year POLA) for its JPG site. On October 28, 2003, the NRC Staff published a Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for the Jefferson Proving Ground Site and Opportunity for a Hearing in the **Federal Register**. On May 25, 2005, the Army submitted a superseding license amendment request for an alternate schedule (alternate schedule request) for decommissioning JPG. On June 16, 2005, the Staff accepted the alternate schedule request for review. On June 27, 2005, the Staff published A Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for an Alternate Decommissioning Schedule and Opportunity to Request a Hearing in the **Federal Register**. On July 19, 2005, the Army formally withdrew its request for a 5-year POLA for JPG. Thus, the Staff has discontinued its review of the 5-year POLA.

II. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the withdrawal letter for the 5-year POLA and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agency wide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are: ML032731017 for the September 2003 request from the Army; ML032930189 for the NRC **Federal Register** notice for this action; ML051520319 for the Army's May 2005 request for an alternate decommissioning schedule; ML051640102 for the Staff's June 2005 acceptance of the Army's request for review; ML051660038 for the June 2005 NRC **Federal Register** notice; and ML052130480 for the July 2005 withdrawal letter from the Army. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, located in O-1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of August, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Daniel M. Gillen,

Deputy Director Division of Waste Management and Environment, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. E5-4685 Filed 8-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Progress Energy-Florida,) for operation of the Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) Nuclear Generating Plant located in Citrus County, FL.

The proposed amendment would allow the licensee to utilize a probabilistic methodology to determine the contribution to main steamline break (MSLB) leakage rates for the once-through steam generator (OTSG) from the tube end crack (TEC) alternate repair criteria (ARC) described in CR-3 Improved Technical Specification (ITS) 5.6.2.10.2.f. This amendment revision involves a change to ITS 5.6.2.10.2.f to incorporate the basis of the proposed probabilistic methodology and the method and technical justification for projecting the TEC leakage that may develop during the next operating cycle following each inservice inspection of the CR-3 OTSGs. This notice supercedes the previous notice dated March 15, 2005 (70 FR 12746).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

This LAR [license amendment request] proposes to change the method to determine the projected MSLB leakage rates for TEC. Potential leakage from OTSG tubes, including leakage contribution from TEC, is bounded by the MSLB evaluation presented in the CR-3 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and testing performed during the development of Topical Report BAW-2346P, Revision 0. The inspection required by the ARC will continue to be performed as required by CR-3 ITS 5.6.2.10. This inspection provides continuous monitoring of tubes with TEC indications remaining in service, and ensures that degradation of new tubes containing TEC indications is detected. The proposed change

in method to determine MSLB leakage rates for TEC and the addition of a method to project the TEC leakage that may develop during the next operating cycle do not change any accident initiators.

2. Does not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

This LAR proposes to change the method to determine the projected MSLB leakage rates for TEC and the addition of a method to project the TEC leakage that may develop during the next operating cycle. The changes introduce no new failure modes or accident scenarios. The proposed changes do not change the assumptions made in Topical Report BAW-2346P, Revision 0, which demonstrated structural and leakage integrity for all normal operating and accident conditions for CR-3. The addition of a method to project the TEC leakage provides an additional means to monitor the initiation of TEC. The design and operational characteristics of the OTSGs are not impacted by the use of a probabilistic methodology to determine MSLB leakage rates.

3. Does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

This LAR proposes to change the method to determine the projected MSLB leakage rates for TEC and the addition of a method to project the TEC leakage that may develop during the next operating cycle. The resulting leakage estimates will be lower than the estimates from the old method. However, the estimates from the proposed method will be more realistic and do not impact the acceptance criteria. The methodology relies on the same accident analyses described in Topical Report BAW-2346P, Revision 0, and License Amendment Request #249, Revision 0, and utilizes the same leakage test data and leakage limit. The CR-3 FSAR analyzed accident scenarios are not affected by the change and remain bounding. The limits established in CR-3 ITS 3.4.12 and 5.6.2.10.2.f have not been changed. The addition of a method to project the TEC leakage that may develop during the next operating cycle provides an additional means to monitor the initiation of TEC. Therefore, the proposed change does not reduce the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendment before expiration of the 60-

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. 290, issued to Florida Power Corporation (the licensee, also doing business as