postage-paid envelopes before the hunting season and asked to send in a wing or the tail feathers from each duck, goose, or coot (form 3-165) they harvest, or a wing from each woodcock, bandtailed pigeon, snipe, rail, or gallinule (form 3-165B) they harvest. The wings and tail feathers are used to identify the species, age, and sex of the harvested sample. Respondents are also asked to report on the envelope the date and location (state and county) of harvest for each bird. Results of this survey are combined with harvest estimates from the Waterfowl Hunter Survey and the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey to provide species-specific national harvest estimates.

The combined results of these surveys enable the Service to evaluate the effects of season length, season dates, and bag limits on the harvest of each species, and thus help determine appropriate hunting regulations.

Frequency of Collection: Annually.

Description of Respondents:
Individuals and households.

Estimated Completion Time: The reporting burden is estimated to average 2 minutes per respondent for the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program, 8 minutes per respondent for the Waterfowl Hunter Survey, 4 minutes per respondent for the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey, and 50 minutes per respondent for the Parts Collection Survey.

Number of Respondents: About 3,600,000 individuals are expected to participate in the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program. Recent Service experience indicates that about 20,500 hunters will respond to the Waterfowl Hunter Survey each year, about 11,400 hunters will respond to the Parts Collection Survey annually, and about 90,000 hunters will respond to the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey annually.

Annual Burden Hours: 138,917.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Dated: April 9, 2001.

## Rebecca A. Mullin,

Service Information Collection Officer. [FR Doc. 01–9063 Filed 4–11–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### Fish and Wildlife Service

# Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force Risk Assessment and Management Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a meeting of the Risk Assessment and Management Committee of the Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force. The meeting topics are identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

DATES: The Committee will meet from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Tuesday, May 1, 2001; from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, May 2, 2001; and will participate in a field trip from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Thursday, May 3, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Tropical Aquaculture Lab, 1408 24th Street, Southeast, Ruskin, Florida 33570, phone (813) 671–5230.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Orr, Chair, Risk Assessment and Management Committee, at (301) 734–8939 or by email at *Richard L. Orr@aphis.usda.gov* or Sharon Gross, Executive Secretary, Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force at 703–358–2308 or by e-mail at: *sharon gross@fws.gov.* 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), this notice announces a meeting of the Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force Risk Assessment and Management Committee. The Task Force was established by the Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 4701-4741). Topics to be addressed at this meeting include: a discussion on the Florida Sturgeon Culture Risk Assessment status; a review status of the Caribbean Science Center's Risk Review Process library; a discussion on the final revision of the Black Carp Risk Assessment and publication options; an update on the risk assessment for the Asian Swamp eel; a discussion on revision of the RAM risk assessment process; a discussion of the policies surrounding screening processes with emphasis on precaution and uncertainty; a discussion on the development on the National Invasive Species Council (NISA) Management Plan Action Items associated with the screening of first time introductions of aquatic organisms for the ANS Task Force; a discussion on the introduced Snakehead in Florida; and a review of

risk of fresh water snail introduction as vectors for Aniostrongyliasis.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Executive Secretary, Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force, Suite 810, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22203–1622, and the Chair of the Ballast Water and Shipping Committee at the Environmental Standards Division, Office of Operations and Environmental Standards, U.S. Coast Guard (G–MSO–4), 2100 Second Street, SW, room 1309, Washington, DC 20593–0001. Minutes for the meetings will be available at these locations for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: April 5, 2001.

### Mary G. Henry,

Acting Co-Chair, Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force, Acting Assistant Director— Fisheries and Habitat Conservation. [FR Doc. 01–9035 Filed 4–11–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Bureau of Land Management**

[NM-010-1430-EU/1430-HN; NM 101522]

Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a Proposed Land Exchange with the Pueblo of San Felipe; Albuquerque Field Office, New Mexico

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Albuquerque Field Office has completed a Draft EIS. This document describes and analyzes three alternatives for a land exchange involving public land south of County Road 252 A (formerly State Road 22) and east of the Tejon Grant and private lands of equal value, yet to be identified, within high priority acquisition areas (as identified in the Rio Puerco and the Taos Resource Management Plans [RMPs]). The alternatives are: (A) Proposed Action (exchange approximately 9,460 acres of public lands with restrictive covenants), (B) Exchange of the proposed action acreage plus an additional 1,447 acres of public lands with restrictive covenants, and (C) No Action Alternative (the exchange proposal would be rejected).

The following described public lands were analyzed for disposal through exchange to the San Felipe Pueblo by the United States:

New Mexico Principal Meridian T. 13 N., R. 6 E.,