

first installment is due, the participant receives a second loan equal to \$1,245, with that March loan to be repaid in 20 quarterly installments of \$78 each. On June 30, 2005, when the second installment is due on the January loan and the first installment is due on the March loan, the participant receives a third loan equal to \$1,323 (which is the sum of the \$1,245 installment and the \$78 installment then due), with that June loan to be repaid in 20 quarterly installments of \$82 each. On September 30, 2005, when the third installment is due on the January loan, the second installment is due on the March loan, and the first installment is due on the June loan, the participant receives a fourth loan equal to \$1,405 (which is the sum of the \$1,245 installment, the \$78 installment and the \$82 installment then due), with that September loan to be repaid in 20 quarterly installments of \$88 each. On December 31, 2005, when the fourth installment is due on the January loan, the third installment is due on the March loan, the second installment is due on the June loan, and the first installment is due on the September loan, the participant receives a fifth loan equal to \$1,493 (which is the sum of the \$1,245 installment, the \$78 installment, the \$82 installment, and the \$88 installment then due), with that December loan to be repaid in 20 quarterly installments of \$93 each.

(ii) Under paragraph (a)(3) of this Q&A-20, the participant has deemed distributions on June 30, 2005 equal to \$1,323 (which is the amount of the June loan), on September 30, 2005 equal to \$1,405 (which is the amount of the September loan), and on December 31, 2005 equal to \$1,493 (which is the amount of the December loan) because on each of these dates the participant had previously received two loans from the plan during the year.

\* \* \* \* \*

A-22: \* \* \*

(d) *Effective date for Q&A-19(b)(2) and Q&A-20.* Paragraph (b)(2) of Q&A-19 and Q&A-20 of this section apply to loans made on or after the first January 1 that is at least 6 months after publication of final regulations in the **Federal Register**, except that paragraph (b)(2) of Q&A-19 of this section does not apply to loans, whenever made, under an insurance contract that is in effect before the date that is 12 months after publication of final regulations in the **Federal Register** under which the insurance carrier is required to offer loans to contractholders that are not secured (other than being secured by the participant's or beneficiary's benefit under the contract).

**Robert E. Wenzel,**

*Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue.*  
[FR Doc. 00-18816 Filed 7-28-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-U**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6840-8]

### Virginia: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed Rule.

**SUMMARY:** Virginia has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposes to grant final authorization to Virginia. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the changes by an immediate final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the immediate final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. Unless we get written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the immediate final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. If we get comments that oppose this action, we will withdraw the immediate final rule and it will not take effect. We will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for comment. If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Send your written comments by August 30, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Joanne Cassidy, Mailcode 3WC21, RCRA State Programs Branch, U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone number: (215) 814-3381. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by Virginia during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region III, Library, 2nd Floor, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone number: (215) 814-5254; or Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219, Phone number: (804) 698-4213; or Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, West Central Regional Office, 3019 Peters Creek Road, Roanoke, Virginia 24019, Phone number: (540) 562-6700.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joanne Cassidy at the above address and phone number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 17, 2000.

**Bradley M. Campbell,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 00-19115 Filed 7-28-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 21 and 74

[MM Docket 97-217; FCC 00-244]

### MDS and ITFS Two-Way Transmissions

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** Previously, the Commission adopted a series of legal and technical rule changes to enhance the ability of Multipoint Distribution Service ("MDS") and Instructional Television Fixed Service ("ITFS") licensees to provide non-video services, including transmission of high speed computer data applications such as Internet access. We later expanded the streamlined application processing system to cover all major modifications of ITFS facilities, modified certain rules related to interference issues, modified certain other rules related to the obligations of ITFS licensees and clarified certain other rules. The FCC is taking two actions. The first action, a rule, which is published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, modifies rules related to ITFS leases, modifies some technical rules and clarifies other rules. The second action, which is described in detail below, is the proposed rulemaking. The proposed rulemaking is limited to addressing the issue of possible Gaussian noise interference that can occur in certain limited circumstances.

**DATES:** Comments due on or before August 21, 2000. Reply comments are due on or before August 31, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dave Roberts (202) 418-1600, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order on Further Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* ("Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking"), MM Docket, 97-217, FCC 00-244, adopted July 7,