appellants will need to complete only a few (minimum, two) of the forms in the package.

In addition, the MSPB invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of MSPB's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of MSPB's estimate of burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Dated: August 28, 2002.

Shannon McCarthy,

Deputy Clerk of the Board.

[FR Doc. 02-22460 Filed 9-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7400-01-P

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY

International Watch Advisory Committee Meeting/Teleconference

Time and Date: 12 p.m., EDT, September 26, 2002.

Place: National Council on Disability, 1331 F Street, NW., Suite 850, Washington, DC.

Agency: National Council on Disability (NCD).

Status: All parts of these meetings will be open to the public. Those interested in participating in either the meeting or the conference call should contact the appropriate staff member listed below. Due to limited resources, only a few telephone lines will be available for the conference call.

Agenda: Roll call, announcements, overview of accomplishments, planning for FY 2003, reports, new business, adjournment.

Contact Person for More Information: Joan Durocher, Attorney/Advisor and Designated Federal Official, National Council on Disability, 1331 F Street NW., Suite 850, Washington, DC 20004; 202–272–2004 (voice), 202–272–2074 (TTY), 202–272–2022 (fax), jdurocher@ncd.gov (e-mail).

International Watch Advisory
Committee Mission: The purpose of
NCD's International Watch is to share
information on international disability
issues and to advise NCD's International
Team on developing policy proposals
that will advocate for a foreign policy
that is consistent with the values and

goals of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dated: August 28, 2002.

Ethel D. Briggs,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 02-22405 Filed 9-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-MA-P

NATIONAL WOMEN'S BUSINESS COUNCIL

Public Meeting

In accordance with 15 U.S.C—7106(b) the National Women's Business Council (NWBC) announces a forthcoming meeting. The meeting will cover action items worked on by NWBC and future projects, including, but not limited to procurement, access to capital and training. The meeting will be held September 17, 2002 at the U.S. Small Business Administration located at 409 3rd Street, SW., Washington, DC in the Eisenhower Conference Room—A, 2nd Floor from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Anyone wishing to make an oral presentation to the Board must contact Gilda Presley, in writing by letter or fax no later than September 12, 2002 in order to be included on the agenda. For further information, please write or call Gilda Presley, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., Washington, DC 20416. Telephone number (202) 205–3850, Fax number (202) 205–6825.

Kimberly Mace,

Committee Management Specialist.
[FR Doc. 02–22650 Filed 8–30–02; 3:10 pm]
BILLING CODE 6820–AB–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 9, Public Records.
- 3. The form number if applicable: N/A.
- 4. How often the collection is required: On occasion.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Individuals requesting access to records under the Freedom of Information or Privacy Acts, or to records that are already publicly available in the NRC's Public Document Room.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 11,272.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 11,272.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 2,832.
- 9. An indication of whether section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: N/A.
- 10. Abstract: 10 CFR part 9 establishes information collection requirements for individuals making requests for records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) or Privacy Act (PA). It also contains requests to waive or reduce fees for searching for and reproducing records in response to FOIA requests; and requests for expedited processing of requests. The information required from the public is necessary to identify the records they are requesting; to justify requests for waivers or reductions in searching or copying fees; or to justify expedited processing.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by October 4, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0043), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of August, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Beth St. Mary**,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–22492 Filed 9–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–313, 368, 416, 003, 247, 286, 333, 293, 458, 271, and 382]

Entergy Operations, Inc., Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station; Indian Point Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3; James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; River Bend Station; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of exemptions from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 20, section 20.1003 for Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–51; Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-6 and NPF-29; Provisional Operating License No. DPR-5; and Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-26, DPR-64, DPR-59, DPR-35, NPF-47, DPR-28, and NPF-38; issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensees), for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station; Indian Point Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3; James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; River Bend Station; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3, located in Pope County, Arkansas; Claiborne County, Mississippi; Westchester County, New York; Oswego County, New York; Plymouth County, Massachusetts; West Felciana Parish, Louisiana; Windham County, Vermont; and Saint Charles Parish, Louisiana. (The operating authority of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-5 for Indian Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, was revoked by Commission Order dated June 19, 1980). Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would provide an exemption from the 10 CFR 20.1003 definition of total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), which is the sum of the deep-dose equivalent (for external exposures) and the committed effective dose equivalent (for internal exposures). The proposed exemption would change the definition of TEDE to mean the sum of the effective dose equivalent or the deep-dose equivalent (for external exposures) and the committed effective dose equivalent (for internal exposures).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated July 20, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated June 13, 2002.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because the current method of calculating TEDE, under certain conditions, can significantly overestimate the dose received.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that revising the methodology for calculating the dose received by individuals will not have any environmental impacts.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact.

Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The

environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in: the Final Environmental Statement (FES) related to the operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1, dated February 1973, and the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement regarding Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1 (NUREG-1437, Supplement 3), dated April 2001; the FES related to the operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2, dated June 1977; the FES related to the operation of Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, dated September 1981; previous reviews of Indian Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, or the Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement on decommissioning of nuclear facilities, dated August 1988; the FES related to the operation of Indian Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, dated September 1972; the FES related to the operation of Indian Point Nuclear Station, Unit 3, dated February 1975; the FES related to the operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, dated March 1973; the FES related to the operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, dated May 1972; the FES related to the operation of the River Bend Station, dated January 1985; the FES related to the operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant, dated July 1972; and the FES related to the operation of the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3, dated January 1985.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On August 14, 2002, the staff consulted with the Arkansas State official, Bernie Bevill of the Arkansas Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On August 16, 2002, the staff consulted with the Mississippi State official, Silas Anderson, of the Mississippi Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On August 13, 2002, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Alyse Peterson of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On August 28, 2002, the staff consulted with the Massachusetts State official, James Muckerheide of the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On August 13, 2002, the staff consulted with the Louisiana State official, Nan Calhoun of the Louisiana Department of