

5, 2004, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Trend Micro Incorporated of Cupertino, California. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on May 24 and June 1, 2004. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1–22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,623,600. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket imaging system (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rett Snotherly, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2599.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2003).

#### Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 1, 2004, *Ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of

section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof, or products containing same, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,623,600, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Trend Micro Incorporated, 10101 North De Anza Boulevard, Cupertino, California 94015.

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Fortinet, Inc., 920 Stewart Drive, Sunnyvale, California 94085.

(3) Rett Snotherly, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such response will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting the response to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist

order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: June 3, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 04–12925 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–54,609]

#### Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc., Wood Coatings Division, High Point, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 29, 2004 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc., Wood Coatings Division, High Point, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of May 2004.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04–12882 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–54,860]

#### American Express, Field Accounting; Phoenix, AZ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 6, 2004 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at American Express, Field Accounting, Phoenix, Arizona.

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of May 2004.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04–12880 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P