

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order includes certain lightweight thermal paper, which is thermal paper with a basis weight of 70 grams per square meter (g/m²) (with a tolerance of ± 4.0 g/m²) or less; irrespective of dimensions;¹ with or without a base coat² on one or both sides; with thermal active coating(s)³ on one or both sides that is a mixture of the dye and the developer that react and form an image when heat is applied; with or without a top coat;⁴ and without an adhesive backing. Certain lightweight thermal paper is typically (but not exclusively) used in point-of-sale applications such as ATM receipts, credit card receipts, gas pump receipts, and retail store receipts. The merchandise subject to these orders may be classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 3703.10.60, 4811.59.20, 4811.90.8020, 4811.90.8040, 4811.90.9010, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.20, and 4823.40.00.⁵ Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Partial Rescission of the 2009–2010 Administrative Review

On March 28, 2011, petitioner withdrew its request for review of Mitsubishi. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a

review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The instant review was initiated on December 28, 2010. *See Notice of Initiation*. The petitioner's withdrawal of request for a review of Mitsubishi falls within the 90-day deadline for rescission by the Department, and no other party requested an administrative review of this particular respondent. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review with respect to Mitsubishi. *See, e.g., Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 21781 (May 11, 2009). The instant review will continue with respect to Koehler.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We have been enjoined from liquidating entries of the subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Mitsubishi. Therefore, we do not intend to issue liquidation instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for such entries entered on or after November 1, 2009, until such time as the preliminary injunction issued on March 17, 2009, is lifted.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(3)(A), and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff

Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–9110 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before May 4, 2011. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 11–019. *Applicant:* University of Wyoming, 1000 East University Avenue Laramie, WY 82072. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine animal tissues to diagnose diseases, especially those caused by viral infections. For some diseases, electron microscopy provides the most accurate and timely method of arriving at a presumptive or definitive diagnosis. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 11, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–020. *Applicant:* U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, 10300 Baltimore Ave Beltsville, MD 20705. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to identify and characterize new viruses, bacteria, fungi, parasites, and plant and animal cell structures. Standard electron microscopy

¹ Thermal paper is typically produced in jumbo rolls that are slit to the specifications of the converting equipment and then converted into finished slit rolls. Both jumbo and converted rolls (as well as LWTP in any other form, presentation, or dimension) are covered by the scope of these orders.

² A base coat, when applied, is typically made of clay and/or latex and like materials and is intended to cover the rough surface of the paper substrate and to provide insulating value.

³ A thermal active coating is typically made of sensitizer, dye, and co-reactant.

⁴ A top coat, when applied, is typically made of polyvinyl acetone, polyvinyl alcohol, and/or like materials and is intended to provide environmental protection, an improved surface for press printing, and/or wear protection for the thermal print head.

⁵ HTSUS subheading 4811.90.8000 was a classification used for LWTP until January 1, 2007. Effective that date, subheading 4811.90.8000 was replaced with 4811.90.8020 (for gift wrap, a non-subject product) and 4811.90.8040 (for "other" including LWTP). HTSUS subheading 4811.90.9000 was a classification for LWTP until July 1, 2005. Effective that date, subheading 4811.90.9000 was replaced with 4811.90.9010 (for tissue paper, a non-subject product) and 4811.90.9090 (for "other," including LWTP). *See Memorandum to the File*, dated February 9, 2011, regarding the addition of HTSUS numbers: 4811.90.8020 and 4811.90.9010, per the request of the National Import Specialist of Customs and Border Protection.

techniques will be utilized to observe the samples. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 11, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–024. *Applicant:* Mayo Clinic. 200 First St SW Rochester, MN 55905. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to evaluate tissue looking for ultrastructural indicators of disease, as well as other experiments including cell culture morphology, transplant and host tissue interactions, and implant artifacts and breakage. Techniques to be used include standard transmission electron microscopy preparative procedures as well as specialized techniques including immunoelectron microscopy, negative staining and electron tomography. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–025. *Applicant:* California State University—Long Beach, 1250 Bellflower Blvd., Long Beach, CA 90840. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Neaspec GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to study plasmonic metal-based materials as well as phonon modes of thin surfaces such as silica, silicon nitride or silicon carbide materials. Experiments will be performed using near-field microscopy measurements, coupling light with an atomic microscope tip and recording optical signals in amplitude and phase. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 30, 2011.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory Campbell,
Director, IA Subsidies Enforcement Office.
[FR Doc. 2011–9108 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–

36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before May 4, 2011. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 11–023. *Applicant:* UChicago Argonne, LLC., 9700 South Cass Ave., Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Mythen 1K Detector System. *Manufacturer:* Dectris Ltd., Switzerland. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used for resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) to study the electronic structure of highly correlated systems. This instrument is unique in that it has a small pixel pitch (50 microns); high detection efficiency, single photon counting with high dynamic range; and a small, lightweight and compact design. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 29, 2011.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory Campbell,
Acting Director, IA Subsidies Enforcement Office.

[FR Doc. 2011–9107 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 11–009. *Applicant:* University of Chicago Argonne, LLC., Lemont, IL 60439–4873. *Instrument:* Electrode Coater. *Manufacturer:* A-Pro Co., Ltd., South Korea. *Intended Use:*

See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* This instrument is unique in that it is semi-automated and suitable for a laboratory environment, and specially tailored for lithium-ion electrodes.

Docket Number: 11–010. *Applicant:* University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706. *Instrument:* Vitrobot Mark IV. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* This instrument is unique in following ways: the instrumental parameters must be computer controlled and enable storing of parameter protocols, including humidity, blotting time and pressure, and equilibration time; mitigation of errors must be derived from the handling of TEM grids including loading, application of sample, plunging, and transfer to storage by automating some of these tasks; and sample blotting must be done automatically with user controlled programmable blot times and pressures.

Docket Number: 11–011. *Applicant:* National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) at Michigan State University. *Instrument:* Differential Plunger Device. *Manufacturer:* Institut für Kernphysik-Universität zu Köln (Cologne University), Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 1120, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* The instrument is specific to the research in level lifetime measurements of rare isotopes.

Docket Number: 11–014. *Applicant:* Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907. *Instrument:* Vibration Test System-Shaker in Trunion with Matching Amplifier and Cooling Blower. *Manufacturer:* TIRA, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know