

Id. The CIT affirmed the Department's remand determination. *CIT Decision II*.

Although the Department complied with the CIT's instructions, the Department and Maui Pineapple Co., Ltd. (petitioner) appealed the CIT's decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) only with respect to the issue of the allocation of raw material costs of pineapple. In a decision issued on July 28, 1999, the CAFC reversed the CIT finding that the Department wrongly allocated raw material costs of pineapple and held that the Department's allocation methodologies were reasonable and supported by substantial evidence. *See CAFC Decision*, 187 F.3d at 1369–70. Because the remaining issues in *CIT Decision II* were not appealed, including the timing period used to calculate Dole's weighted average margin calculation, those portions of the *CIT Decision II* not pertaining to the Department's fruit cost allocation methodology remain undisturbed by the *CAFC Decision*. As there are now final and conclusive court decisions with respect to the litigation pertaining to this proceeding, we are hereby amending our amended final determination. As described above, any outstanding entries are no longer subject to an injunction. We will instruct BCBP to liquidate any outstanding entries subject to the cash deposit rate established by this revised final determination.

Amendment To Final Determinations

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516(f), we are now amending the amended final determination of sales at less than fair value to reflect a revised weighted average margin for Dole. The revised weighted-average margin for Dole in the antidumping determination on canned pineapple from Thailand (A-549–813) for the period January 1, 1994 through June 30, 1994 is 1.25 percent. Accordingly, the Department will determine and the BCBP will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by the review of the period listed above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the BCBP within 15 days of the publication of this notice.

Dated: July 8, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–17744 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570–803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Bars/Wedges

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin at (202) 482–3936, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period February 1, 2001 through January 31, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 67 FR 14696 (March 27, 2003). The deadline for the preliminary results of review for the order on bars/wedges was extended on October 22, 2002. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 64869 (October 22, 2002). The preliminary results were published on March 6, 2003. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Order on Bars and Wedges*, 68 FR 10690 (March 6, 2003).

Extension Of Time Limits For Final Results Of Review:

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete its final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. However, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to

extend the deadline for completion of the final results to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results. As a result of the complex issues involved in this review, it is not practicable to complete this review by July 7, 2003, and we are extending the time limit for one month, to August 7, 2003. *See Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary*, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. This notice is published in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: July 7, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary/Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03–17743 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357–812]

Honey From Argentina; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 2001–2002 new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. This new shipper review covers one exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 11, 2001 through November 30, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angela Strom at (202) 482–2704 or Donna Kinsella at (202) 482–0194, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 6, 2003, in response to a request from Nutrin S.A., the exporter, and Nutrin Corporation, its affiliated U.S. company (collectively, “Nutrin”), we published a notice of initiation of