Application Details

1. Applicant: April Surgent, Port Townsend, WA. Permit Application: 2014–017.

Activity for Which Permit is Requested

ASPA Entry and Take (salvage); The applicant is an artist funded by the National Science Foundation's Antarctic Artist & Writer's program. The applicant is seeking a permit to be able to enter ASPA 149 Cape Shirreff to take photos, video and sketch as artwork itself and to inspire future artwork. If approved, the applicant would be accompanied in by experienced field staff who is familiar with the environmental sensitivities of the Area and would ensure that the applicant acts in accordance with the management plan for the Area.

The applicant also seeks permission to salvage shed bird feathers and broken pieces of eggshell from Adelie, chinstrap and Gentoo penguins and southern fulmar, Wilson's storm petrel, south polar skua, southern black, southern black backed gull, and Antarctic tern. The salvaged feathers and eggshell pieces would be incorporated into pieces of artwork that would be publicly displayed. Feathers and eggshells would be collected in the Cape Shirreff ASPA as well as in the general vicinity of Palmer Station (ASMA 7). All materials collected would be salvaged; the applicant would not interact with live animals or viable eggs to collect the materials.

None of the activities described above would disturb native birds and mammals.

Location

ASPA 149 Cape Shireff and ASMA 7 Southwest Anvers Island (Palmer Station).

Dates

October 23, 2013 to December 23, 2013.

Nadene G. Kennedy,

Polar Coordination Specialist, Division of Polar Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013-22275 Filed 9-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meetings; National Science Board

The National Science Board, pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1862n–5), and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice of the

scheduling of a teleconference meeting of the Executive Committee National Science Board.

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, September 12, 2013 from 10:00–11:00 a.m.

SUBJECT MATTER: Discussion of legislative matters.

STATUS: Closed.

This meeting will be held by teleconference originating at the National Science Board Office, National Science Foundation, 4201Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230.

Please refer to the National Science Board Web site www.nsf.gov/nsb for additional information, or contact Peter Arzberger, (703) 292–8000 or parzberg@nsf.gov. Meeting information and schedule updates (time, place, subject matter or status of meeting) may be found at http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices/.

Ann Bushmiller,

NSB Senior Legal Counsel. [FR Doc. 2013–22444 Filed 9–11–13; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-35710; License No. 21-32316-01; EA-13-025; NRC-2013-0208]

Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., South Haven, Michigan; Confirmatory Order Modifying License

Ι

Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., (Dr. Bastow or the licensee) is the holder of Materials License No. 21–32316–01 issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) pursuant to part 30 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) on April 20, 2001, and renewed on December 7, 2011. The license authorizes the operation of Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., at his place of business (Cardiology II, P.C.) (facility) in accordance with conditions specified therein. The facility is located on the licensee's site in South Haven, Michigan.

This Confirmatory Order is the result of an agreement reached during an alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mediation session conducted on July 1, 2013.

\mathbf{II}

On February 28, and April 3, 2012, the NRC conducted a special inspection at the Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., facility in South Haven, Michigan, with continued in-office review through May 24, 2012. The details of the inspection were documented in NRC Inspection

Report No. 03035710/2012001(DNMS) issued on December 19, 2012. During the inspection, several unresolved items were identified that required further NRC review. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) began an investigation on April 2, 2012 into several of the issues. OI completed its investigation on January 31, 2013.

During the inspection and investigation, the NRC determined that Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., was in apparent violation of NRC requirements by: (1) Failing to perform weekly contamination surveys; (2) failing to perform storage area surveys; (3) failing to conduct a survey instrument calibration; (4) failing to monitor the external surfaces of labeled packages for radioactive contamination; (5) failing to conduct dose calibrator linearity tests that were calibrated with nationally recognized standards; (6) failing to conduct a formal annual review of the radiation safety program; (7) failing to ensure that records were complete and accurate; (8) failing to issue a whole body radiation exposure measuring device to an individual who was occupationally exposed to ionizing photon radiation on a regular basis and failing to issue a finger radiation exposure measuring device to an individual who handled radioactive material on a regular basis; (9) failing to read film badges on a monthly basis, and the named Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) failing to evaluate the results; (10) failing to leak test sealed sources at 6month intervals; (11) failing to conduct a semi-annual physical inventory of all sealed sources in its possession; (12) failing to perform daily surveys; (13) failing to assay wipes for removable contamination using a procedure sufficiently sensitive to detect 2000 disintegrations per minute (dpm); and (14) the named RSO failing to ensure that radiation safety activities were being performed in accordance with licensee-approved procedures and regulatory requirements.

On July 1, 2013, Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., and the NRC met in an ADR session mediated by a professional mediator, arranged through Cornell University's Institute on Conflict Resolution. ADR is a process in which a neutral mediator with no decision-making authority assists the parties in reaching an agreement on resolving any differences regarding the dispute. This confirmatory order is issued pursuant to the agreement reached during the ADR process.

Ш

In response to the NRC's offer, Bradley D. Bastow, D. O., requested use