

## PART 588—WESTERN BALKANS STABILIZATION REGULATIONS

■ 52. The authority citation for part 588 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); 50 U.S.C. 1601–1651, 1701–1706; 22 U.S.C. 287c; Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); E.O. 13219, 66 FR 34777, 3 CFR, 2001 Comp., p. 778; E.O. 13304, 68 FR 32315, 3 CFR, 2004 Comp., p. 229; E.O. 14033, 86 FR 43905, 3 CFR, 2022 Comp., p. 591.

### Subpart G—Penalties and Findings of Violation

#### § 588.701 [Amended]

■ 53. In § 588.701, in paragraph (a)(2), remove “\$356,579” and add in its place “\$368,136”.

## PART 589—UKRAINE-/RUSSIA- RELATED SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

■ 54. The authority citation for part 589 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 22 U.S.C. 8901–8910, 8921–8930; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); 50 U.S.C. 1601–1651, 1701–1706; Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); Pub. L. 115–44, 131 Stat. 886 (codified in scattered sections of 22 U.S.C.); E.O. 13660, 79 FR 13493, 3 CFR, 2014 Comp., p. 226; E.O. 13661, 79 FR 15535, 3 CFR, 2014 Comp., p. 229; E.O. 13662, 79 FR 16169, 3 CFR, 2014 Comp., p. 233; E.O. 13685, 79 FR 77357, 3 CFR, 2014 Comp., p. 313.

### Subpart G—Penalties and Findings of Violation

#### § 589.701 [Amended]

■ 55. In § 589.701, in paragraph (a)(2), remove “\$356,579” and add in its place “\$368,136”.

## PART 590—TRANSNATIONAL CRIMINAL ORGANIZATIONS SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

■ 56. The authority citation for part 590 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); 50 U.S.C. 1601–1651, 1701–1706; Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); E.O. 13581, 76 FR 44757, 3 CFR, 2011 Comp., p. 260; E.O. 13863, 84 FR 10255, 3 CFR, 2019 Comp., p. 267.

### Subpart G—Penalties and Findings of Violation

#### § 590.701 [Amended]

■ 57. In § 590.701, in paragraph (a)(2), remove “\$356,579” and add in its place “\$368,136”.

## PART 592—ROUGH DIAMONDS CONTROL REGULATIONS

■ 58. The authority citation for part 592 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 3901–3913; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); E.O. 13312, 68 FR 45151, 3 CFR, 2003 Comp., p. 246.

### Subpart F—Penalties

#### § 592.601 [Amended]

■ 59. In § 592.601, in paragraph (a)(2), remove “\$16,108” and add in its place “\$16,630”.

## PART 594—GLOBAL TERRORISM SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

■ 60. The authority citation for part 594 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 22 U.S.C. 287c; 22 U.S.C. 9404–9411; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); 50 U.S.C. 1601–1651, 1701–1706; Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); Pub. L. 114–102, 129 Stat. 2205, as amended (50 U.S.C. 1701 note); Pub. L. 115–348, 132 Stat. 5055 (50 U.S.C. 1701 note); E.O. 13224, 66 FR 49079, 3 CFR, 2001 Comp., p. 786; E.O. 13268, 67 FR 44751, 3 CFR 2002 Comp., p. 240; E.O. 13284, 68 FR 4075, 3 CFR, 2003 Comp., p. 161; E.O. 13372, 70 FR 8499, 3 CFR, 2006 Comp., p. 159; E.O. 13886, 84 FR 48041, 3 CFR, 2019 Comp., p. 356.

### Subpart G—Penalties

#### § 594.701 [Amended]

■ 61. In § 594.701, in paragraph (a)(2), remove “\$356,579” and add in its place “\$368,136”.

## PART 597—FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

■ 62. The authority citation for part 597 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 8 U.S.C. 1189; 18 U.S.C. 2339B; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note).

### Subpart G—Penalties

#### § 597.701 [Amended]

■ 63. In § 597.701, in paragraph (b)(3), remove “\$94,127” and add in its place “\$97,178”.

## PART 598—FOREIGN NARCOTICS KINGPIN SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

■ 64. The authority citation for part 598 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 21 U.S.C. 1901–1908; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended (28 U.S.C. 2461 note).

## Subpart G—Penalties

#### § 598.701 [Amended]

■ 65. In § 598.701, in paragraph (a)(4), remove “\$1,771,754” and add in its place “\$1,829,177”.

**Bradley T. Smith,**

*Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.*

[FR Doc. 2024–00594 Filed 1–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–AL–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### 32 CFR Part 269

[Docket ID: DOD–2016–OS–0045]

RIN 0790–AL72

### Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment

**AGENCY:** Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD is issuing this final rule to adjust each of its statutory civil monetary penalties (CMP) to account for inflation. The Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 (the 2015 Act), requires the head of each agency to adjust for inflation its CMP levels in effect as of November 2, 2015, under a revised methodology that was effective for 2016 and for each year thereafter.

**DATES:** This rule is effective January 15, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dzenana Dzanic, 703–508–9277.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background Information

The Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, Public Law 101–410, codified at 28 U.S.C. 2461, note, as amended, requires agencies to annually adjust the level of CMPs for inflation to improve their effectiveness and maintain their deterrent effect. Section 2461 requires that not later than July 1, 2016, and not later than January 15 of every year thereafter, the head of each agency must adjust each CMP within its jurisdiction by the inflation adjustment set forth therein. The inflation adjustment is determined by increasing the maximum CMP or the range of minimum and maximum CMPs, as applicable, for each CMP by the cost-

of-living adjustment, rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1. The cost-of-living adjustment is the percentage (if any) for each CMP by which the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the month of October preceding the date of the adjustment exceeds the CPI for the month of October in the previous calendar year.

The initial catch up adjustments for inflation to the DoD's CMPs were published as an interim final rule in the **Federal Register** on May 26, 2016 (81 FR 33389–33391) and became effective on that date. The interim final rule was published as a final rule without change on September 12, 2016 (81 FR 62629–62631), effective that date. The revised methodology for agencies for 2017 and each year thereafter provides for the improvement of the effectiveness of CMPs to maintain their deterrent effect. The DoD is adjusting the level of all civil monetary penalties under its jurisdiction by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) directed cost-of-living adjustment multiplier for 2024 of 1.03241 prescribed in OMB Memorandum M–24–07, “Implementation of Penalty Inflation Adjustments for 2024, Pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015.” The DoD's 2024 adjustments for inflation to CMPs apply only to those CMPs, including those whose associated violation predated such adjustment, which are assessed by the DoD after the effective date of the new CMP level.

#### Statement of Authority and Costs and Benefits

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)B, there is good cause to issue this rule without prior public notice or opportunity for public comment because it would be impracticable and unnecessary. The Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 (Section 2461) requires agencies, effective 2017, to make annual adjustments for inflation to CMPs notwithstanding 5 U.S.C. 553. Additionally, the methodology used, effective 2017, for adjusting CMPs for inflation is established in statute, with no discretion provided to agencies regarding the substance of the adjustments for inflation to CMPs. The DoD is charged only with performing ministerial computations to determine the dollar amount of adjustments for inflation to CMPs. Accordingly, prior public notice and an opportunity to comment are not required for this rule. For the same reasons, there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to waive the 30-day delay in effective date.

Further, there are no significant costs associated with the regulatory revisions that would impose any mandates on the DoD, Federal, State or local governments, or the private sector. Accordingly, prior public notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required for this rule. The benefit of this rule is the DoD anticipates that civil monetary penalty collections may increase in the future due to new penalty authorities and other changes in this rule. However, it is difficult to accurately predict the extent of any increase, if any, due to a variety of factors, such as budget and staff resources, the number and quality of civil penalty referrals or leads, and the length of time needed to investigate and resolve a case.

#### Regulatory Procedures

Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” and Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review”

Executive Order 14094, “Modernizing Regulatory Review,” supplements and reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing contemporary regulatory review established in Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993 (Regulatory Planning and Review), and Executive Order 13563 of January 18, 2011 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review). In accordance with paragraph (b) of Executive Order 14094, section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 is amended to read as follows: “Significant regulatory action” means any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$200 million or more (adjusted every 3 years by the Administrator of OIRA for changes in gross domestic product); or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, territorial, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
- (4) Raise legal or policy issues for which centralized review would meaningfully further the President's priorities or the principles set forth in this Executive order, as specifically authorized in a timely manner by the Administrator of OIRA in each case.

This rule has been designated “not significant,” under the amended section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866.

*Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 804(2)*

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. A major rule may take effect no earlier than 60 calendar days after Congress receives the rule report or the rule is published in the **Federal Register**, whichever is later. This rule is not a major rule, as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. Chapter 25)*

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1532) requires agencies to assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule the mandates of which require spending in any year of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. This rule will not mandate any requirements for State, local, or tribal governments, nor will it affect private sector costs.

*Public Law 96–354, “Regulatory Flexibility Act” (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6)*

The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) certified that this rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601) because it would not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, does not require DoD to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis.

*Public Law 96–511, “Paperwork Reduction Act” (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)*

The Paperwork Reduction Act was enacted to minimize the paperwork burden for individuals; small businesses; educational and nonprofit institutions; Federal contractors; State, local and tribal governments; and other persons resulting from the collection of information by or for the Federal government. The Act requires agencies obtain approval from the OMB before using identical questions to collect information from ten or more persons. This rule does not impose reporting or recordkeeping requirements on the public.

*Executive Order 13132, “Federalism”*

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency

must meet when it promulgates a rule that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on State and local governments, preempts State law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. This final rule will not have a substantial effect on State and local governments.

*Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments”*

It has been determined that this rule will not have a substantial effect on Indian tribal governments. This rule does not impose substantial direct

compliance costs on one or more Indian tribes, preempt tribal law, or effect the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 269

Administrative practice and procedure, Penalties.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 269 is amended as follows.

#### PART 269—CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY INFLATION ADJUSTMENT

■ 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 269 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 28 U.S.C. 2461 note.

■ 2. In § 269.4, revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

#### § 269.4 Cost of living adjustments of civil monetary penalties.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Inflation adjustment.* Maximum civil monetary penalties within the jurisdiction of the Department are adjusted for inflation as follows:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (d)

United States Code	Civil monetary penalty description	Maximum penalty amount as of 2023 (\$)	New adjusted maximum penalty amount (\$)
National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2005, 10 U.S.C. 113, note.	Unauthorized Activities Directed at or Possession of Sunken Military Craft.	\$156,108	\$161,168
10 U.S.C. 1094(c)(1) .....	Unlawful Provision of Health Care .....	13,707	14,152
10 U.S.C. 1102(k) .....	Wrongful Disclosure—Medical Records:		
	First Offense .....	8,106	8,368
	Subsequent Offense .....	54,036	55,788
10 U.S.C. 2674(c)(2) .....	Violation of the Pentagon Reservation Operation and Parking of Motor Vehicles Rules and Regulations.	2,234	2,306
31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1) .....	Violation Involving False Claim .....	13,508	13,946
31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(2) .....	Violation Involving False Statement .....	13,508	13,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(1).	False claims .....	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(1).	Claims submitted with a false certification of physician license	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(2).	Claims presented by excluded party .....	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(2); (b)(2)(ii).	Employing or contracting with an excluded individual .....	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(1).	Pattern of claims for medically unnecessary services/supplies	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(2).	Ordering or prescribing while excluded .....	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(5).	Known retention of an overpayment .....	24,163	24,946
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(4).	Making or using a false record or statement that is material to a false or fraudulent claim.	120,816	124,731
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(6).	Failure to grant timely access to OIG for audits, investigations, evaluations, or other statutory functions of OIG.	36,245	37,420
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.210(a)(3).	Making false statements, omissions, misrepresentations in an enrollment application.	120,816	124,731
42 U.S.C. 1320a–7a(a); 32 CFR 200.310(a).	Unlawfully offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for the referral of business in violation of 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act.	120,816	124,731

Dated: January 9, 2024.

**Aaron T. Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2024–00647 Filed 1–11–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6001–FR–P**