timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because the respondent did not report entered value, we calculated importerspecific per-unit duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importerspecific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.5 To determine whether an importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rate is de minimis, we calculated an estimated entered value.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>6</sup>

Consistent with Commerce's clarification of its assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Bothwell for which it did not know the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>7</sup>

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of forged steel fittings from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Bothwell will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but

covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the companyspecific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 116.17 percent, the allothers rate established in the LTFV investigation.8 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 20, 2021.

#### Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Discussion of the Issue Comment: Whether Commerce Should Request Additional Information From Bothwell

V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-28070 Filed 12-23-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance,

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On December 8, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in Canadian Solar International Limited et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 17-00173, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s fourth remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with the final results of the 2014-2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China and that Commerce is amending those final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to the following companies: (1) The collapsed entity comprising Canadian Solar International Limited; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc.; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang), Inc.; CSI Cells Co., Ltd.; CSI-GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd.; and CSI Solar Power (China) Inc. (collectively, Canadian Solar); (2)

the collapsed entity comprising Yingli

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Order, 83 FR at 48281.

Energy (China) Company Limited; Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd.; Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; and Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd. (collectively, Yingli); and (3) Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd. DATES: Applicable December 18, 2021. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV. Enforcement and Compliance. International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2769.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On June 27, 2017, Commerce published the final results of the 2014—2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China. In the *Final Results*, Commerce selected Thailand as the primary surrogate country and relied on Thai import data to value nitrogen that was used in manufacturing solar cells.<sup>1</sup>

After correcting a ministerial error in the *Final Results* (*i.e.*, Commerce inadvertently omitted certain U.S. indirect selling expenses from its calculations), on August 25, 2017, Commerce published the *Amended Final Results*.<sup>2</sup>

Respondents, Canadian Solar, Trina,<sup>3</sup> Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd., and Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd. (Ningbo Qixin), and domestic interested party, SolarWorld Americas, Inc., challenged Commerce's *Amended Final Results* (CIT case numbers 17–00173, 17–00187, 17–00193, and 17–00200). Yingli sought to intervene in CIT case number 17–00197. The CIT

consolidated case numbers 17-00173, 17-00187, 17-00193, 17-00197, and 17-00200 into case number 17-00173 in September 2017. On April 16, 2019, the CIT sustained Commerce's Amended Final Results with respect to: (1) The surrogates that it selected to value aluminum frames, nitrogen, polysilicon ingots and blocks, and financial ratios; (2) its decision to include import values with zero import quantities in its surrogate value calculations; and (3) its decision to deny Trina an offset for debt restructuring income. However, the CIT remanded the Amended Final Results to Commerce to reconsider, or further explain: (1) The surrogate that it selected to value solar module glass; (2) its application of an adverse inference in selecting partial facts available for use in calculating Canadian Solar's dumping margin; and (3) its decision to reject Ningbo Qixin's separate rate application.4

În its first remand redetermination, issued in July 2019, Commerce: (1) Under respectful protest, valued solar module glass using Bulgarian import data, rather than Thai import data; (2) further explained its determination to rely on facts available with an adverse inference in calculating Canadian Solar's dumping margin; and (3) continued to deny Ningbo Qixin a separate rate after reopening the record to permit Ningbo Qixin to establish that it made a shipment of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR (which it failed to establish).<sup>5</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's redetermination with respect to the value of solar module glass, and its denial of Ningbo Qixin's request for a separate rate, but remanded to Commerce its partial adverse facts available determination with respect to Canadian Solar for a second time.<sup>6</sup>

In its second remand redetermination, issued in February 2020, Commerce reexamined its partial adverse facts available determination with respect to Canadian Solar and, under respectful protest, determined not to apply an adverse inference when selecting from among the facts available in calculating a dumping margin for Canadian Solar.<sup>7</sup>

The CIT sustained Commerce's second redetermination.<sup>8</sup>

In June 2020, in SolarWorld, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) vacated the CIT's judgement sustaining Commerce's use of Thai import data to value nitrogen in the 2013–2014 AD administrative review of solar cells from China and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with the Court's opinion.9 Subsequently, the CIT held that SolarWorld constitutes an intervening change in controlling law, and thus, it vacated its earlier judgment sustaining Commerce's valuation of nitrogen in the 2014-2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China. 10 The CIT also remanded the nitrogen issue in the 2014-2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China to Commerce for it to adequately explain why the Thai surrogate value for nitrogen was not aberrational or adopt an alternative surrogate value for nitrogen.

In its third remand redetermination, issued in January 2021, Commerce continued to value nitrogen using Thai import data. Specifically, in its third remand redetermination Commerce explained why it did not find the average unit value (AUV) of Thai imports of nitrogen during the period of review (POR) to be aberrational, clarified its practice for evaluating whether an AUV from a surrogate country is aberrational, and addressed the discrepancies between U.S. POR exports of nitrogen to Thailand and Thai POR imports of nitrogen from the United States. 11 The CIT remanded the case to Commerce for a fourth time, ordering Commerce to reconsider, or further explain, its use of Thai import data to value nitrogen. 12

In its final remand redetermination, issued in September 2021, under respectful protest, Commerce used Mexican import data, rather than Thai import data, to value nitrogen. <sup>13</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination. <sup>14</sup>

See Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2014–2015, 82 FR 29033 (June 27, 2017) (Final Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014– 2015, 82 FR 40560 (August 25, 2017) (Amended Final Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We used "Trina" to refer to the following companies that we treated as a single entity: Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science and Technology Co., Ltd.; Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd.; Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd.; Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; and Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; Ltd.;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Canadian Solar Int'l Ltd. et al. v. United States, 378 F. Supp. 3d 1292 (CIT 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Results of Remand Redetermination, Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States, Court No. 17–00173, Slip Op. 19–47 (Court of International Trade April 16, 2019), dated July 15, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Canadian Solar Int'l Ltd. et al. v. United States, 415 F. Supp. 3d 1326 (CIT 2019).

See Canadian Solar International Limited, et al.
 v. United States, Court No. 17–00173, Slip Op. 19–
 152 (Court of International Trade December 3, 2019)
 Final Results of Second Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order, dated February 10, 2020.

 $<sup>^8\,</sup>See$  Canadian Solar Int'l Ltd. et al. v. United States, 448 F. Supp. 3d 1333 (CIT 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See SolarWorld Americas, Inc. et al. v. United States, 962 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (SolarWorld).

 $<sup>^{10}\,</sup>See$  Canadian Solar Int'l Ltd. et al. v. United States, 471 F. Supp. 3d 1379 (CIT 2020).

See Canadian Solar International Limited, et al.
 United States, Court No. 17–00173, Slip Op. 20–
 134 (CIT September 14, 2020), dated January 12, 2021

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  See Canadian Solar Int'l Limited et al. v. United States, 532 F. Supp. 3d 1273 (CIT 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 17–00173 (CIT July 28, 2021), dated September 27, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Canadian Solar International Limited et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 17–00173, Slip Op. 21–166 (CIT Dec. 8, 2021).

#### **Timken Notice**

In its decision in Timken,15 as clarified by Diamond Sawblades, 16 the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with Commerce's determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's December 8, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's Amended Final Results. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of Timken.

#### **Amended Final Results**

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* and *Amended Final Results* with respect to Canadian Solar, Yingli and Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd. as follows:

Exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Canadian Solar International Limited; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc.; Canadian Solar Man- ufacturing (Luoyang), Inc.; CSI Cells Co., Ltd.; CSI- GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd.; CSI Solar Power (China) Inc Yingli Energy (China) Com- pany Limited; Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Yingli New En- ergy Resources Co., Ltd.; Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Baoding Jiasheng Photo- voltaic Technology Co., Ltd.; Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Re- sources Co., Ltd.; Hainan Yingli New Energy Re- sources Co., Ltd.; Shenzhen Yingli New En-	0.00
ergy Resources Co., Ltd Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd	0.00 0.00

### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because Canadian Solar, Yingli, and Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd. all have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, final

results covering these companies have been published in a subsequent administrative review of the AD order on solar cells from China, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) in connection with this notice. Thus, this notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate of these companies.

# **Liquidation of Suspended Entries**

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined, by orders of the CIT, from liquidating entries of subject merchandise that was entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015 and produced and/or exported by the collapsed entity comprising Canadian Solar International Limited; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc.; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang), Inc.; CSI Cells Co., Ltd.; CSI–GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd.; and CSI Solar Power (China) Inc., or exported by any of the following entities: (1) the collapsed entity comprising Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited; Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd.; Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; and Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (2) Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd.; (3) Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd.; (4) Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.; (5) ERA Solar Co., Ltd.; (6) ET Solar Energy Limited; (7) Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; (8) Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd.; (9) JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd.; (10) Jiawei Solarchina (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.; (11) Jiawei Solarchina Co., Ltd.; (12) JingAo Solar Co., Ltd.; (13) Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd.; (14) Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd.; (15) Risen Energy Co., Ltd.; (16) Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd.; (17) Shenzhen Sungold Solar Co., Ltd.; (18) Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd.; (19) Star Power International Limited; (20) Systemes Versilis, Inc.; (21) Taizhou BD Trade Co., Ltd.; (22) tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.; (23) Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd.; (24) Wuxi Tianran Photovoltaic Co., Ltd.; (25) Zhejiang Era Solar Technology Co., Ltd.; and (26) Zhejiang Sunflower Light Energy Science & Technology Limited Liability Company. These entries will

remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of injunctions during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on any unliquidated entries described in the preceding paragraph, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis or the importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate is not zero or de minimis. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importerspecific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.17

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 20, 2021.

#### Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021–28071 Filed 12–23–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-570-971]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Rescind Review, in Part; 2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of multilayered wood flooring (wood flooring) from the People's Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable December 27, 2021.

 $<sup>^{15}\,</sup>See$  Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades).

<sup>17</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).