

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

#### 44 CFR Part 64

[Docket ID FEMA-2015-0001; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-8405]

#### Suspension of Community Eligibility

##### Correction

In rule document 2015-26449 beginning on page 63130 in the issue of Monday, October 19, 2015, make the following correction:

##### § 64.6 [Corrected]

On page 63131, in the table, in the first column, in the Region VII entry “Kansas: 23 Hanover, City of, Washington County” should read “Kansas: Hanover, City of, Washington County”.

[FR Doc. C1-2015-26449 Filed 10-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 14-226; FCC 15-118]

#### Broadcast Licensee-Conducted Contests

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (“Commission”) amends the portion of its rules known as the “Contest Rule” to permit broadcast licensees to comply with their obligation to disclose material contest terms either by broadcasting those terms or by making them available in writing on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. In particular, the Commission amends the Contest Rule to allow licensees to satisfy their disclosure obligation by posting material contest terms on the station’s Web site, the licensee’s Web site, or, if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is readily accessible to the public. The Commission also adopts requirements that define the disclosure obligation in cases where a licensee has chosen to meet its obligation through an Internet Web site.

**DATES:** This rule contains information collection requirements that have not been approved by OMB. The

Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing the effective date.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Raelynn Remy, [Raelynn.Remy@fcc.gov](mailto:Raelynn.Remy@fcc.gov), or Raphael Sznajder, [Raphael.Sznajder@fcc.gov](mailto:Raphael.Sznajder@fcc.gov), Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2120.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission’s *Report and Order* (“*Order*”), MB Docket No. 14-226, FCC 15-118, which was adopted and released on September 17, 2015. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFS at <http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs/>. Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat. Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), by sending an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or calling the Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

#### Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

This document contains new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA).<sup>1</sup> The requirements will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies will be invited to comment on the new or modified information collection requirements contained in this proceeding. In addition, we note that pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, we previously sought specific comment on how the Commission might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

#### I. Introduction

1. Our “Contest Rule,” Section 73.1216 of our rules, requires broadcast licensees to disclose on air the material terms of contests that they broadcast. In this *Order*, we update that rule to permit broadcast licensees to comply with their obligation to disclose material contest

terms either by broadcasting those terms or by making them available in writing on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. In particular, we amend the Contest Rule to allow licensees to satisfy their disclosure obligation by posting material contest terms on the station’s Web site, the licensee’s Web site, or, if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is readily accessible to the public. Commenters in this proceeding uniformly support updating the Contest Rule, which has remained unchanged since its adoption by the Commission almost forty years ago.

2. We also adopt, with some modifications, requirements proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that define the disclosure obligation in cases where a licensee has chosen to meet its obligation through an Internet Web site. Specifically, we revise the Contest Rule to specify that in such cases a licensee: (i) Must broadcast the relevant Web site address periodically with information sufficient for a consumer easily to find material contest terms online; (ii) must establish a link or tab to material contest terms on the Web site’s home page; (iii) must maintain contest terms online for a period of at least thirty days after the contest has ended; and (iv) must announce on air that the material terms of a contest have changed since the contest was first announced, where that is the case, and direct participants to the Web site to review the changes. As discussed below, the announcements of any change in contest terms must be made within 24 hours of the change and periodically thereafter. Finally, we require that licensees ensure that any material terms disclosed on a Web site conform in all substantive respects to those mentioned over the air.

3. The actions we take in this *Order* to update the Contest Rule advance the public interest by affording broadcasters more flexibility in the manner of their compliance with Section 73.1216 while giving consumers improved access to important contest information. Through this *Order*, we take another step to modernize our rules to reflect how Americans access and consume information in the 21st century. At the same time, we affirm the core principles of the Contest Rule. Regardless of the medium of disclosure, broadcasters must provide complete, accurate, and timely information about the contests they conduct, ensure that such information is not false, misleading, or deceptive, and conduct their contests substantially as announced or advertised.

<sup>1</sup> The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13, 109 Stat. 163 (1995) (codified in Chapter 35 of title 44 U.S.C.).

## II. Background

4. Radio and television broadcast stations often conduct contests as a means of entertainment, promoting station support, and deepening audience engagement.<sup>2</sup> Almost forty years ago, in 1976, the Commission adopted the Contest Rule to address concerns about the way in which broadcast stations were conducting contests.<sup>3</sup> Although under the existing rule, a licensee may use non-broadcast methods to disclose material contest terms, it cannot substitute such methods for the required broadcast disclosure and be deemed compliant with the rule.<sup>4</sup>

5. In January 2012, Entercom Communications Corp. (“Entercom” or “Petitioner”) filed an unopposed Petition for Rulemaking asking the Commission to update the disclosure requirements of Section 73.1216.<sup>5</sup> Petitioner principally sought an amendment to Section 73.1216 that would allow broadcasters to satisfy their obligation to disclose material contest terms either through broadcast announcements or by making such terms available in written form on an Internet Web site. In November 2014, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”) seeking comment on a number of possible revisions to the Contest Rule. Commenters responding to the NPRM, largely broadcasters, support updating the Contest Rule but advocate some modifications to the Commission’s proposed revisions.

<sup>2</sup> See NPRM, 79 FR 75773.

<sup>3</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216; *Amendment of Part 73 of the Commission’s Rules Relating to Licensee-Conducted Contests*, Report and Order, 60 F.C.C.2d 1072 (1976). See also *Public Notice Concerning Failure of Broadcast Licensees to Conduct Contests Fairly*, 45 F.C.C.2d 1056 (1974) (identifying contest practices that raise questions about a broadcast licensee’s responsibility to the public, such as: (1) Disseminating false or misleading information regarding the amount or nature of prizes; (2) failing to control the contest to assure a fair opportunity for contestants to win the announced prizes; (3) urging participation in a contest, or urging persons to stay tuned to the station in order to win, at times when it is not possible to win prizes; (4) failing to award prizes, or failing to award them within a reasonable time; (5) failing to set forth fully and accurately the rules and conditions for contests; (6) changing the rules or conditions of a contest without advising the public or doing so promptly; and (7) using arbitrary or inconsistently applied standards in judging entries).

<sup>4</sup> 47 CFR 73.1216, Note 2 (“The material terms should be disclosed periodically by announcements broadcast on the station conducting the contest. . . . In addition to the required broadcast announcements, disclosure of the material terms may be made in a non-broadcast manner.”).

<sup>5</sup> See Petition for Rulemaking filed by Entercom Communications Corp., CGB Docket No. RM–11684 (filed Jan. 20, 2012) (“*Petition for Rulemaking*”).

## III. Discussion

### A. Satisfying the Obligation To Disclose Material Contest Terms through an Internet Web site

6. As advocated by all of the commenters, we amend the Contest Rule to allow broadcast licensees to meet their obligation to disclose material contest terms either by broadcasting the material terms or by making those terms available in written form on a readily accessible public Internet Web site. We agree with parties who assert that, given the ubiquitous nature of the Internet and current consumer expectations about how to obtain information, broadcast disclosure of material contest terms no longer reflects the best means of conveying such information to the public in all cases. For example, although on-air disclosure may be preferable in certain circumstances, *e.g.*, simple contests and cases in which stations lack Web sites, we believe that broadcasters should be given flexibility to meet their disclosure obligation either through broadcast announcements or the Internet, and we will defer to broadcasters’ discretion in selecting between those means of disclosure. As explained below, we find that revising the Contest Rule to permit reliance on online disclosure will provide benefits to both consumers and broadcasters, and that such benefits outweigh any associated costs.

7. Based on the record, we conclude that allowing broadcasters to meet their obligation to disclose material contest terms through the Internet in lieu of broadcasting the terms will benefit consumers by improving their access to important contest information, to the extent that our action results in greater use of online disclosure. Because the current rule requires that licensees disclose material contest terms via broadcast announcements periodically, audience members interested in a contest may not hear or see contest disclosures if they are not tuned into the broadcast at the time the announcement is aired. Moreover, even in cases where prospective contestants hear or see a contest disclosure, the length or complexity of contest terms or the speed at which licensees communicate those terms may render it difficult for many to comprehend or recall the information conveyed. For these reasons, we agree with parties who assert that broadcasters’ online posting of material terms will allow consumers to obtain “on demand” access to those terms and to review them at their convenience, thereby increasing the likelihood that contest terms will be understood and remembered.

8. We find that this revision to the Contest Rule is consistent with consumer expectations about how to obtain contest information. As many parties note, the public today accesses information in ways that are dramatically different from how they did when the Contest Rule was adopted. The Internet has become a fundamental part of consumers’ daily lives and now represents the medium used most by the public to obtain information instantaneously. Given that Americans today are accustomed to using the Internet to obtain a broad range of information, we agree with parties who assert that consumers reasonably expect to obtain information about licensee-conducted contests through the Internet. Indeed, as some parties note, broadcasters already use the Internet to post contest-related information, and consumers often enter and participate in contests via the Internet. Amending the Contest Rule to permit reliance on online disclosure of material contest terms thus brings the rule into alignment with current consumer expectations.

9. As noted, permitting reliance on online disclosure of contest terms also will benefit broadcasters by affording them greater flexibility in the manner of their compliance with Section 73.1216 and by freeing up air time for other programming. Because many broadcasters already have dedicated Web sites where they can post complete contest information that the public can access “on demand,” and because we are not requiring broadcasters to use online posting if they prefer to broadcast contest terms over the air, we agree with parties who assert that the benefits of this rule change outweigh any associated costs.

### B. Requirements Governing Online Disclosure of Material Contest Terms

10. Although this rule revision is intended, in part, to give broadcasters more flexibility in how they satisfy their obligation to disclose material contest terms, we find that the public interest will be served by establishing specific requirements that define the disclosure obligation in cases where a broadcaster chooses to meet that obligation through an Internet Web site. In particular, we believe that these requirements, which are comparable to those that apply to on-air disclosures,<sup>6</sup> will provide guidance to licensees and facilitate useful access to contest information by the public. We discuss each requirement, in turn, below.

<sup>6</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216, Notes 1 through 3.

11. *“Publicly Accessible” Web site.* We require that any Internet Web site relied on by a broadcaster to disclose material contest terms be “publicly accessible.” We interpret the term “publicly accessible” to mean that the Internet Web site is designed to be accessible to the public 24/7, for free, and without any registration requirement.<sup>7</sup> This may include either the station’s Web site, the licensee’s Web site, or, if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is readily accessible to the public. Commenters generally agree that consumers should have access to material contest terms disclosed on a Web site without any fee or registration, and we believe that adopting these requirements will facilitate widespread and unfettered access to contest terms by broadcast audiences. Some parties assert that broadcasters should not be required to make available material contest terms on a 24/7 basis because factors beyond their control, such as system outages, power failures, and hacked Web sites could prevent them from ensuring 24/7 access. Thus, they express concern that they could be exposed to liability for violation of the Contest Rule even where they have made a good faith effort to ensure public accessibility. Because we require that any Web site used to disclose material contest terms be *designed* to be accessible to the public on a 24/7 basis, we believe the rule we adopt accounts for factors beyond the control of the licensee.

12. *Broadcast Identification of Web site Address.* We also amend the Contest Rule to require that a licensee broadcast the address of an Internet Web site on which it relies to disclose material contest terms with information sufficient for a consumer to find those terms easily. Although we proposed in the *NPRM* to require licensees that choose to satisfy their disclosure obligation through the Internet to broadcast the “complete, direct Web site address” where contest terms are posted,<sup>8</sup> we decline to adopt this requirement. We agree with commenters that a literal interpretation of such a requirement could be unduly burdensome to broadcasters and confusing to the public. Some parties contend, for example, that a rule requiring identification of the “complete, direct” Web site address could be interpreted to require a mechanical recitation of a web address as it appears on an Internet browser (e.g., “http:colon-backslash, etc.”), and

that such a rule is less helpful to consumers than one that allows broadcasters to identify the relevant address through simple instructions or natural language (e.g., “for contest rules go to kxyz.com and then click on the contest tab”). In addition, Joint Commenters assert that Web site addresses and their subdirectories may change while contests are ongoing, and thus requiring identification of a “complete, direct” address, including local host names and subdirectories, would be unnecessarily onerous to broadcasters and could be confusing to consumers. We require that broadcasters identify the Web site in language that enables a typical consumer easily to locate the Web site’s home page online, such as in the example provided above (“for contest rules go to kxyz.com and then click on the contest tab”). As with all elements of contest-related announcements, the burden is on the broadcaster to inform the public, not on the public to discern the message.

13. Consistent with broadcasters’ existing obligation to broadcast contest rule disclosures “periodically,” we conclude further that licensees must broadcast the Web site address where contest terms are posted “periodically” during the period of the contest. Although we proposed in the *NPRM* to require licensees to broadcast the Web site address “each time the station mentions or advertises the contest,”<sup>9</sup> we decline to adopt this requirement, which parties uniformly oppose. For example, some commenters argue that such a requirement could create unnecessary aural clutter and disrupt the listener experience. Parties also assert that, given the number of contests that are conducted simultaneously and the multitude and variety of contest references, requiring licensees to identify the relevant Web site address each time a contest is mentioned will reduce the amount of air time that can be utilized for other programming. Some parties contend further that the burdens imposed by such a requirement could cause stations to reduce the number of contest mentions or not to adopt online disclosure. For these reasons, we are persuaded that the potential drawbacks of requiring broadcast identification of the Web site address where contest terms are posted each time a contest is mentioned outweigh any associated benefits.

14. We decline at this time to adopt a more prescriptive requirement governing the frequency of broadcast identification of the Web site address where contest terms are posted as some

parties have suggested.<sup>10</sup> We conclude that requiring on-air identification of the Web site address a specified number of times daily, e.g., an average of three times per day, would not serve the public interest because such a rule could lead broadcasters to identify the Web site address the specified minimum number of times irrespective of how often a contest is mentioned. Similarly, we decline to require broadcast identification of the Web site address only when a station substantially highlights or discusses a contest, as proposed by Hubbard and NSBA, as this approach would make the Contest Rule more challenging to enforce by requiring the Commission to assess in a particular case whether a contest has been “substantially” highlighted or discussed.<sup>11</sup> On balance, we find that the public interest would be better served by providing licensees with flexibility to determine the frequency with which they broadcast the Web site address where contest terms are made available to the public. As noted, the requirement we adopt is harmonious with licensees’ existing obligation to broadcast contest disclosures “periodically”<sup>12</sup> and the discretion long afforded licensees in this area.<sup>13</sup> If we find that licensees are failing to broadcast the Web site address with adequate frequency, we will revisit this issue in the future.

15. *Internet Link to Contest Terms.* As proposed in the *NPRM*, we also amend

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., iHeartMedia Comments at 13; Joint Parties Comments at 7 (suggesting that the Commission could require licensees to broadcast the Web site address an average of at least three times per day, excluding the hours of 12 to 6 a.m.). See also Hubbard Comments at 4; NSBA Comments at 5 (suggesting that the Commission could require licensees to broadcast the Web site address when they substantially highlight or discuss a contest, i.e., not during passing references). We note that some of these suggestions were proffered as an alternative to our proposal in the *NPRM* to require licensees to broadcast the relevant Web site address *each time* a contest is mentioned, and that some of these parties advocate principally for the requirement adopted herein (i.e., periodic broadcast identification of the Web site address). Nevertheless, we set forth above our reasons for declining to adopt those alternatives.

<sup>11</sup> Given the potential number of spontaneous, unscripted contest promotions, e.g., by on-air radio personalities, we also believe that adopting this proposal could result in a high number of Contest Rule violations. See, e.g., Entercom Comments at 9; iHeartMedia Comments at 12; NSBA Comments at 5.

<sup>12</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216, Note 2 (directing, among other things, disclosure of material contest terms be made “periodically by announcements broadcast on the station conducting the contest, but need not be enumerated each time an announcement promoting the contest is broadcast”).

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* (stating that “[i]n general, the time and manner of disclosure of the material terms of a contest are within the licensee’s discretion,” and that “[d]isclosure of material terms in a reasonable number of announcements is sufficient”).

<sup>7</sup> See *NPRM*, 79 FR 75773, 75775.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

the Contest Rule to require that licensees establish a link or tab on the home page of an Internet Web site used to disclose material contest terms, that takes consumers to contest information.<sup>14</sup> That link or tab must be conspicuously located on the Web site home page and must be labeled in a way that makes clear its relation to contest information. We disagree with commenters' assertions that the Commission need not adopt any rules to facilitate access to contest information because broadcasters have a natural incentive to make such information readily accessible and consumers can utilize Internet search engines to locate contest information quickly. Even if many consumers are able to locate contest terms absent any guidance, we believe that requiring broadcasters to establish a conspicuous link or tab on the Web site home page that takes users to contest terms will facilitate ready access to those terms by the public. As noted, the record reflects that many broadcasters already make available a link or tab to contest information on their Web site home page, which suggests that compliance with such a requirement is not unduly burdensome. Although some parties assert that licensees are in the best position to determine where contest information should be posted on a Web site, the rule we adopt requiring a link or tab to contest terms on a Web site home page does not dictate the location where material terms must be disclosed. To the contrary, the rule preserves the ability of broadcasters to maintain contest terms on a dedicated Web page, so long as that Web page is accessible by a link or tab on the home page that meets the requirements above.

**16. Duration of Online Disclosure Obligation.** We also require licensees that choose to disclose material contest terms via an Internet Web site to maintain such terms on the Web site for at least thirty days after the contest has concluded (*i.e.*, thirty days after a winner has been selected and the station has notified the winner personally or publicly announced the winner by broadcast announcement or over the Internet site where it disclosed the contest rules). We note that under the existing rule, a licensee's obligation to disclose material terms "arises at the time the audience is first told how to enter or participate and continues thereafter;" however, the rule is silent

on when this obligation ends.<sup>15</sup> In the *NPRM*, we sought comment on how long a licensee should be required to maintain contest information on an Internet Web site.<sup>16</sup> Although no commenter proposed the thirty-day period we adopt herein, we believe this time period is reasonable because it strikes an appropriate balance between the public's interest in accessing material terms after a contest has ended and the interest of broadcasters in keeping their Web sites up-to-date.<sup>17</sup> We disagree with parties who assert that the Commission should refrain from specifying the duration that material contest terms must remain available online, or should require broadcasters to maintain online disclosures only until a contest winner has been selected. We believe that requiring broadcasters to maintain contest terms online for a reasonable period of time after a contest winner has been selected is necessary to ensure that contest information is readily available not only to potential contest participants, but also to actual contestants or others who wish to consult or confirm the rules after the contest has ended.<sup>18</sup> To address concerns that maintaining contest rules online after a contest has ended could create confusion about whether a contest is ongoing, licensees should timely label expired contest terms to make clear that a contest has ended, including the date that a winner was selected.<sup>19</sup>

**17. Changes to Material Contest Terms.** The Contest Rule prohibits false, misleading or deceptive contest descriptions and requires broadcasters to conduct their contests substantially as announced.<sup>20</sup> Accordingly, we do not expect broadcasters to regularly change the material terms of a contest after the contest has commenced. Nevertheless,

we recognize that, on rare occasions, limited changes to a contest's terms may be necessary to address changes in circumstances beyond the anticipation or control of the broadcaster. We therefore adopt our proposal to require that, in cases where a licensee chooses to satisfy its disclosure obligation through the Internet, if the material terms of a contest are changed after the contest is first announced, the licensee must announce on air that the contest rules have been changed and direct participants to the Web site to review the changes.<sup>21</sup> With the exception of NPR, commenters support this proposal. As suggested by some parties, we require licensees to make such announcements on air within 24 hours of the change in material terms on the Web site,<sup>22</sup> and periodically thereafter, until the contest has concluded.<sup>23</sup> We are not persuaded by NPR's speculative assertion that requiring broadcasters to announce changes to material contest terms over the air could lead to public confusion about whether contest terms posted on a Web site are accurate.<sup>24</sup> We believe that stations can address this concern by labeling contest terms with information that indicates, for example, the date that the terms were last updated. We believe that requiring on-air announcements of changes in material contest terms is necessary to address the potential that some broadcasters will use their ability to disclose terms online as a means of changing contest rules in a way that is misleading or deceptive to the public. We emphasize that a broadcaster that effectuates a change in terms that unfairly or deceptively alters the

<sup>21</sup> See *NPRM*, 79 FR 75775 (also seeking comment on the appropriate frequency and duration of this requirement).

<sup>22</sup> See *Entercom Comments* at 11; *iHeartMedia Comments* at 14 (advocating a requirement that licensees announce changes to material contest terms within 24 hours of the change). We expect licensees to broadcast forthwith announcements of the changes in material terms that they have posted on a Web site, and to not wait 24 hours before doing so.

<sup>23</sup> Although a few parties have suggested that licensees be required to announce on air that contest terms have changed three times daily, see *Entercom Comments* at 11; *iHeartMedia Comments* at 14; *Joint Parties Comments* at 9, we conclude that requiring such announcements on a periodic basis will give broadcasters more flexibility in how they satisfy their disclosure obligation, and is consistent with licensees' existing obligation to broadcast contest disclosures "periodically" and the discretion granted licensees under the Contest Rule. We note that this requirement also is harmonious with the rule we adopt above governing broadcast identification of Web site addresses.

<sup>24</sup> See *NPR Comments* at 5 (rather than requiring licensees to disclose on air that material contest terms have been changed, the Commission should require them to state clearly on the Web site that contest terms have changed).

<sup>14</sup> See *NPRM*, 79 FR 75775 (seeking comment on how the Commission can ensure that material contest terms are easy for consumers to locate on an Internet Web site, and on whether to require a link on the Web site's home page to contest terms).

<sup>15</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216, Note 2.

<sup>16</sup> See *NPRM*, 79 FR 75775.

<sup>17</sup> We note that the Commission, in other contexts, has found thirty days to be a reasonable period of notification to the public. See, e.g., <https://www.fcc.gov/asr/localnotice> (visited July 15, 2015) (providing that the Commission will post for thirty days information submitted by applicants for antenna structures that could raise environmental concerns); 47 CFR 76.1601 (requiring that a cable operator provide at least thirty days' notice to subscribers prior to deleting or repositioning a broadcast signal).

<sup>18</sup> Absent such a requirement, for example, a contest winner might not be able to readily confirm that the prize he/she has been awarded after the contest has ended is, in fact, the prize disclosed online. Similarly, a losing contestant that wished to consult the contest rules could not readily do so if licensees were permitted to remove the rules immediately upon the contest's conclusion.

<sup>19</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216, Note 1(b) ("Material terms include . . . [the] time and means of selection of winners") (emphasis added).

<sup>20</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216.

operation of the contest or the nature or value of the prize or materially disadvantages existing contestants will be deemed to have rendered prior descriptions false, misleading, and deceptive and, thus, would violate the Contest Rule, regardless of whether such alterations are announced on air or posted to a Web site.<sup>25</sup>

18. *Consistency of Contest Terms.* We adopt our proposal in the *NPRM* to require that any material contest terms disclosed on an Internet Web site conform in all substantive respects to contest terms broadcast over the air.<sup>26</sup> Although no commenter specifically addressed this proposal, we conclude that amending the Contest Rule to include such a requirement serves the public interest by ensuring that contest information made available by broadcasters in written and oral form is consistent. We note that the Contest Rule currently requires licensees, among other things, to disclose material contest terms “fully and accurately” and to conduct contests “substantially as announced or advertised.”<sup>27</sup> The Contest Rule directs further that “[n]o contest description shall be false, misleading or deceptive with respect to any material term.”<sup>28</sup> We believe that prohibiting broadcasters from disclosing material contest terms on an Internet Web site that differ in any substantive respect from contest information broadcast over the air is harmonious with broadcasters’ existing obligations under the Contest Rule. In particular, we find that a licensee’s failure to disseminate consistent information about a contest it conducts constitutes a violation of the requirements noted above to disclose material contest terms accurately, to conduct contests substantially as announced or advertised, and to provide contest descriptions that are not false, misleading, or deceptive. To the extent that there are any ambiguities in contest disclosures that generate inconsistency, we place broadcasters on notice that the Commission will construe such ambiguities against the licensee. We believe that this approach will benefit broadcast audiences by facilitating clarity and consistency in contest disclosures.

#### IV. Procedural Matters

##### A. Regulatory Flexibility Act

19. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (“RFA”) <sup>29</sup> an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis (“IRFA”) was incorporated in the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (“*NPRM*”) in this proceeding. The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the *NPRM*, including comment on the IRFA. The Commission received no comments on the IRFA. This Final Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis (“FRFA”) conforms to the RFA.<sup>30</sup>

##### 1. Need for, and Objectives of, the Rule Changes

20. This proceeding stems from an unopposed Petition for Rulemaking filed by Entercom Communications Corp. requesting that the Commission update Section 73.1216 of its rules governing broadcast licensee-conducted contests (the “Contest Rule”)<sup>31</sup> in a manner that reflects how consumers access information in the 21st Century.<sup>32</sup> In November 2014, the Commission issued a *NPRM* seeking comment on certain proposals intended to modernize the Contest Rule by providing broadcasters with more flexibility in how they satisfy their obligation to disclose material contest terms, without relaxing their duty to conduct contests with due regard for the public interest.

21. In the accompanying *Order*, the Commission amends the Contest Rule to permit broadcast licensees to comply with their obligation to disclose material contest terms either by broadcasting such terms or by making them available in writing on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. In particular, the *Order* amends the rule to allow a broadcast licensee to satisfy its disclosure obligation by posting material contest terms on the station’s Web site, the licensee’s Web site, or, if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is readily accessible to the public.

22. The *Order* also revises the Contest Rule to specify that, in cases where a licensee chooses to disclose material

contest terms through an Internet Web site, the licensee: (i) Must broadcast the relevant Web site address periodically with information sufficient for a consumer to easily find material contest terms online; (ii) must establish a link or tab to material contest terms on the Web site’s home page; (iii) must maintain contest terms online for a period of at least thirty days after the contest has ended; and (iv) that changes the material terms of a contest after the contest is first announced must announce on air that the contest rules have changed and direct participants to the Web site to review the changes. The *Order* requires that such announcements be made on air within 24 hours of the change in contest terms on the Web site, and periodically thereafter. Finally, licensees must ensure that any material terms disclosed on a Web site conform in all substantive respects to those mentioned over the air.

##### 2. Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the IRFA

23. No comments were filed that specifically addressed the IRFA.

##### 3. Description and Estimates of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Proposed Rules Will Apply

24. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of and, where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted.<sup>33</sup> The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.”<sup>34</sup> In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act.<sup>35</sup> A small business concern is one which: (1) Is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA.<sup>36</sup> The rules

<sup>33</sup> 5 U.S.C. 603(b)(3).

<sup>34</sup> 5 U.S.C. 601(6).

<sup>35</sup> 5 U.S.C. 601(3) (incorporating by reference the definition of “small business concern” in 15 U.S.C. 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies “unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the *Federal Register*.” 5 U.S.C. 601(3).

<sup>36</sup> 15 U.S.C. 632. Application of the statutory criteria of dominance in its field of operation and independence are sometimes difficult to apply in the context of broadcast television. Accordingly, the Commission’s statistical account of television stations may be over-inclusive.

<sup>25</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216.

<sup>26</sup> See *NPRM*, 79 FR 75775. As noted in the *NPRM*, for example, if a broadcast contest announcement identifies a particular prize by brand name or model, then the terms disclosed on the Web site must be the same. *Id.* para. 12, n.41.

<sup>27</sup> See 47 CFR 73.1216.

<sup>28</sup> *Id.*

<sup>29</sup> See 5 U.S.C. 603. The RFA, see 5 U.S.C. 601 through 612, has been amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), Public Law 104–121, Title II, 110 Stat. 857 (1996).

<sup>30</sup> See 5 U.S.C. 604.

<sup>31</sup> 47 CFR 73.1216.

<sup>32</sup> See Petition for Rulemaking filed by Entercom Communications Corp., CGB Docket No. RM–11684 (filed Jan. 20, 2012).

adopted in the accompanying *Order* will directly affect small television and radio broadcast stations. Below, we provide a description of these small entities, as well as an estimate of the number of such small entities, where feasible.

25. *Television Broadcasting.* This economic Census category “comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting images together with sound.”<sup>37</sup> The SBA has created the following small business size standard for such businesses: Those having \$38.5 million or less in annual receipts.<sup>38</sup> The 2007 U.S. Census indicates that 808 firms in this category operated in that year. Of that number, 709 had annual receipts of \$25,000,000 or less, and 99 had annual receipts of more than \$25,000,000.<sup>39</sup> Because the Census has no additional classifications that could serve as a basis for determining the number of stations whose receipts exceeded \$38.5 million in that year, we conclude that the majority of television broadcast stations were small under the applicable SBA size standard.

26. Apart from the U.S. Census, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed commercial television stations to be 1,387 stations.<sup>40</sup> Of this total, 1,221 stations (or about 88 percent) had revenues of \$38.5 million or less, according to Commission staff review of the BIA Kelsey Inc. Media Access Pro Television Database (BIA) on July 2, 2014. In addition, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed noncommercial educational (NCE) television stations to be 395.<sup>41</sup> NCE stations are non-profit, and therefore considered to be small entities.<sup>42</sup> Based on these data, we estimate that the majority of television broadcast stations are small entities.

27. *Class A TV and LPTV Stations.* The same SBA definition that applies to television broadcast stations would apply to licensees of Class A television stations and low power television (LPTV) stations, as well as to potential licensees in these television services. As noted above, the SBA has created the

following small business size standard for this category: Those having \$38.5 million or less in annual receipts.<sup>43</sup> The Commission has estimated the number of licensed Class A television stations to be 432.<sup>44</sup> The Commission has also estimated the number of licensed LPTV stations to be 2,028.<sup>45</sup> Given the nature of these services, we will presume that these licensees qualify as small entities under the SBA definition.

28. We note, however, that in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as “small” under the above definition, business (control) affiliations<sup>46</sup> must be included. Because we do not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies in determining whether an entity meets the revenue threshold noted above, our estimate of the number of small entities affected is likely overstated. In addition, we note that one element of the definition of “small business” is that an entity not be dominant in its field of operation. We are unable at this time to define or quantify the criteria that would establish whether a specific television broadcast station is dominant in its field of operation. Accordingly, our estimate of small television stations potentially affected by the proposed rules includes those that could be dominant in their field of operation. For this reason, such estimate likely is over-inclusive.

29. *Radio Stations.* This economic Census category “comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting aural programs by radio to the public.”<sup>47</sup> The SBA has created the following small business size standard for this category: Those having \$38.5 million or less in annual receipts.<sup>48</sup> Census data for 2007 shows that 2,926 firms in this category operated in that year.<sup>49</sup> Of this number, 2,877 firms had annual receipts of less than \$25,000,000, and 49 firms had annual receipts of

\$25,000,000 or more.<sup>50</sup> Because the Census has no additional classifications that could serve as a basis for determining the number of stations whose receipts exceeded \$38.5 million in that year, we conclude that the majority of television broadcast stations were small under the applicable SBA size standard.

30. Apart from the U.S. Census, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed commercial AM radio stations to be 4,553 stations and the number of commercial FM radio stations to be 6,622, for a total number of 11,175.<sup>51</sup> Of this total, 9,898 stations (or about 90 percent) had revenues of \$38.5 million or less, according to Commission staff review of the BIA Kelsey Inc. Media Access Pro Television Database (BIA) on October 23, 2014. In addition, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed noncommercial educational (“NCE”) AM radio stations to be 168 stations and the number of noncommercial educational FM radio stations to be 4,082, for a total of 4,250.<sup>52</sup> NCE stations are non-profit, and therefore considered to be small entities.<sup>53</sup> Therefore, we estimate that the majority of radio broadcast stations are small entities.

31. *Low Power FM Stations.* The same SBA definition that applies to radio stations would apply to low power FM stations. As noted above, the SBA has created the following small business size standard for this category: Those having \$38.5 million or less in annual receipts.<sup>54</sup> The Commission has estimated the number of licensed low power FM stations to be 814.<sup>55</sup> Given the nature of these services, we will presume that these licensees qualify as small entities under the SBA definition.

32. We note again, however, that in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as “small” under the above definition, business (control) affiliations<sup>56</sup> must be included. Because

<sup>37</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 NAICS Definitions, “515120 Television Broadcasting,” at <http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch>.

<sup>38</sup> 13 CFR 121.201; 2012 NAICS code 515120.

<sup>39</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, Table No. EC0751SSSZ4, *Information: Subject Series—Establishment and Firm Size: Receipts Size of Firms for the United States: 2007 (515120)*, [http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN\\_2007\\_US\\_51SSSZ4&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN_2007_US_51SSSZ4&prodType=table).

<sup>40</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals as of June 30, 2014*, Press Release (MB rel. July 9, 2014) (*Broadcast Station Totals*) at [https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-328096A1.pdf](https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-328096A1.pdf).

<sup>41</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals*, *supra*.

<sup>42</sup> See generally 5 U.S.C. 601(4), (6).

<sup>43</sup> 13 CFR 121.201; NAICS code 515120.

<sup>44</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals*, *supra*.

<sup>45</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals*, *supra*.

<sup>46</sup> “[Business concerns] are affiliates of each other when one concern controls or has the power to control the other or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.” 13 CFR 21.103(a)(1).

<sup>47</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 NAICS Definitions, “515112 Radio Stations,” at <http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch>. This category description continues, “Programming may originate in their own studio, from an affiliated network, or from external sources.”

<sup>48</sup> 13 CFR 121.201; NAICS code 515112.

<sup>49</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, Table No. EC0751SSSZ4, *Information: Subject Series—Establishment and Firm Size: Receipts Size of Firms for the United States: 2007 (515112)*, [http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN\\_2007\\_US\\_51SSSZ4&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN_2007_US_51SSSZ4&prodType=table).

<sup>50</sup> *Id.*

<sup>51</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals as of June 30, 2014*, Press Release (MB rel. July 9, 2014) (*Broadcast Station Totals*) at [https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-328096A1.pdf](https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-328096A1.pdf). This document only indicates the total number of AM stations as 4,721. The breakdown between licensed AM commercial and noncommercial stations was obtained from Staff review of the Consolidated Database System (CDBS). See [http://licensing.fcc.gov/prod/cdb/public/prod/cdb\\_pa.htm](http://licensing.fcc.gov/prod/cdb/public/prod/cdb_pa.htm).

<sup>52</sup> See *Broadcast Station Totals*, *supra*.

<sup>53</sup> See generally 5 U.S.C. 601(4), (6).

<sup>54</sup> See 13 CFR 121.201, NAICS Code 515112.

<sup>55</sup> See *News Release*, “Broadcast Station Totals as of June 30, 2012” (rel. Jul. 19, 2012) ([http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-304594A1315231A1.pdf](http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-304594A1315231A1.pdf)).

<sup>56</sup> “[Business concerns] are affiliates of each other when one concern controls or has the power to

Continued

we do not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies in determining whether an entity meets the applicable revenue threshold, our estimate of the number of small radio broadcast stations affected is likely overstated. In addition, as noted above, one element of the definition of “small business” is that an entity not be dominant in its field of operation. We are unable at this time to define or quantify the criteria that would establish whether a specific radio broadcast station is dominant in its field of operation. Accordingly, our estimate of small radio stations potentially affected by the proposed rules includes those that could be dominant in their field of operation. For this reason, such estimate likely is over-inclusive.

#### 4. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities

33. In this section, we identify the reporting, recordkeeping, and other compliance requirements for small entities that the Commission adopts in the *Order*.

34. *Reporting Requirements.* The *Order* does not adopt reporting requirements.

35. *Recordkeeping Requirements.* The *Order* adopts certain recordkeeping requirements that apply to broadcast entities, including small broadcast entities, that choose to disclose material contest terms by posting such terms on an Internet Web site. In particular, the *Order* requires such entities:

- to broadcast the relevant Web site address periodically with information sufficient for a consumer to easily find material contest terms online;
- to establish a link or tab to material contest terms on the Web site’s home page;
- to maintain contest terms online for a period of at least thirty days after the contest has ended;
- in cases where such entities change the material terms of a contest after the contest is first announced, to announce on air that the contest rules have changed and direct participants to the Web site to review the changes, and to make such announcements on air within 24 hours of the change on the Web site and periodically thereafter; and
- to ensure that any material terms disclosed on a Web site conform in all substantive respects to those mentioned over the air.

36. *Other Compliance Requirements.* The *Order* does not adopt other compliance requirements.

37. Based on the record, we cannot estimate with precision the impact of the rules adopted in the *Order* on small entities. However, the rule revisions will afford all licensees, including small broadcasters, greater flexibility in their manner of compliance with the Contest Rule. In addition, we note that some of the rule revisions were derived from the Petition for Rulemaking in this proceeding, which was unopposed and supported by all commenters, including small broadcasters. Thus, we find it reasonable to conclude that any costs or burdens on small entities resulting from the requirements will be outweighed by the benefits.

#### 5. Steps Taken To Minimize Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

38. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant, specifically small business, alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): (1) The establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance, rather than design, standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for small entities.<sup>57</sup>

39. The accompanying *Order* amends Section 73.1216 of the Commission’s rules by allowing all licensees, including small broadcasters, to meet their obligation to disclose material contest terms either through broadcast announcements or by making such terms available in writing on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. This revision to the rule is intended to give broadcasters greater flexibility in the manner by which they satisfy their obligation to disclose material contest terms, while ensuring adequate notice of such terms to the public. Whereas under the current rule, licensees must expend time and resources developing broadcast messages that adequately disclose important contest information, under the revised rule, licensees will have the option to disclose such information through the Internet. Permitting disclosure through this additional method likely is less costly and administratively burdensome for

licensees, including small entities. One commenter has estimated, for example, that as much as two hours that are presently devoted by licensees to the production of contest-related broadcast spots will be spared. Moreover, the air time that is likely to be freed up as a result of more abbreviated contest-related announcements could be used for non-contest-related programming. As noted above, the Petition for Rulemaking in this proceeding was uniformly supported by commenting parties, including small entities. Thus, we expect that the rule revisions adopted in the *Order* will benefit small broadcast entities.

#### B. Report to Congress

40. The Commission will send a copy of this *Order* to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

41. This document contains new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). The requirements will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies will be invited to comment on the new or modified information collection requirements contained in this proceeding. In addition, we note that pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, we previously sought specific comment on how the Commission might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

#### V. Ordering Clauses

42. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 4(j) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 154(j), and 303, this *Report and Order* IS ADOPTED, and shall become effective upon announcement in the **Federal Register** of OMB approval and an effective date of the rules adopted therein.

43. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority found in Sections 4(i), 4(j) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 154(j), and 303, the Commission’s rules ARE HEREBY AMENDED as set forth in Appendix B.

44. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission’s Consumer and

control the other or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.” 13 CFR 21.103(a)(1).

<sup>57</sup> 5 U.S.C. 603(c)(1)–(c)(4).



Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this *Report and Order*, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

45. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission SHALL SEND a copy of this *Report and Order* in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Advertising, Consumer protection, Fraud, Television broadcasters.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
*Secretary.*

#### Final Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICE

- 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336, and 339.

- 2. Revise § 73.1216 to read as follows:

##### § 73.1216 Licensee-conducted contests.

(a) A licensee that broadcasts or advertises information about a contest it conducts shall fully and accurately disclose the material terms of the contest, and shall conduct the contest substantially as announced or advertised over the air or on the Internet. No contest description shall be false, misleading or deceptive with respect to any material term.

(b) The disclosure of material terms shall be made by the station conducting the contest by either:

(1) Periodic disclosures broadcast on the station; or

(2) Written disclosures on the station's Internet Web site, the licensee's Web site, or if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is publicly accessible.

(c) In the case of disclosure under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, a reasonable number of periodic broadcast disclosures is sufficient. In the case of disclosure under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the station shall:

(1) Establish a conspicuous link or tab to material contest terms on the home page of the Internet Web site;

(2) Announce over the air periodically the availability of material contest terms on the Web site and identify the Web site address where the terms are posted with information sufficient for a consumer to find such terms easily; and

(3) Maintain material contest terms on the Web site for at least thirty days after the contest has concluded. Any changes to the material terms during the course of the contest must be fully disclosed on air within 24 hours of the change on the Web site and periodically thereafter or the fact that such changes have been made must be announced on air within 24 hours of the change, and periodically thereafter, and such announcements must direct participants to the written disclosures on the Web site. Material contest terms that are disclosed on an Internet Web site must be consistent in all substantive respects with those mentioned over the air.

Note 1 to § 73.1216: For the purposes of this section:

(a) A contest is a scheme in which a prize is offered or awarded, based upon chance, diligence, knowledge or skill, to members of the public.

(b) Material terms include those factors which define the operation of the contest and which affect participation therein. Although the material terms may vary widely depending upon the exact nature of the contest, they will generally include: How to enter or participate; eligibility restrictions; entry deadline dates; whether prizes can be won; when prizes can be won; the extent, nature and value of prizes; basis for valuation of prizes; time and means of selection of winners; and/or tie-breaking procedures.

Note 2 to § 73.1216: In general, the time and manner of disclosure of the material terms of a contest are within the licensee's discretion. However, the obligation to disclose the material terms arises at the time the audience is first told how to enter or participate and continues thereafter.

Note 3 to § 73.1216: This section is not applicable to licensee-conducted contests not broadcast or advertised to the general public or to a substantial segment thereof, to contests in which the general public is not requested or permitted to participate, to the commercial advertisement of non-licensee-conducted contests, or to a contest conducted by a non-broadcast division of the licensee or by a non-broadcast company related to the licensee.

[FR Doc. 2015-26093 Filed 10-22-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### 48 CFR Parts 925, 952 and 970

**RIN 1991-AB99**

#### Acquisition Regulations: Export Control

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) is adopting as final, with changes, a rule amending the Department of Energy Acquisition Regulation (DEAR) to add clauses regarding applicable export control requirements for DOE contracts. The rule recognizes contractor responsibilities to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations in the performance of DOE contracts and prescribes Export Clauses to address these responsibilities.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 23, 2015.

*Applicability Date:* This final rule is applicable to solicitations issued on or after November 23, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lawrence Butler, (202) 287-1945 or [lawrence.butler@hq.doe.gov](mailto:lawrence.butler@hq.doe.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Executive Summary

###### A. Purpose and Legal Authority

###### B. Summary of Major Provisions

###### 1. Part 925—Foreign Acquisition.

###### 2. Part 952—Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses.

###### 3. Part 970—DOE Management and Operating Contracts.

##### II. Summary of Comments and Responses

##### III. Procedural Requirements

###### A. Review Under Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

###### B. Review Under Executive Order 12988

###### C. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

###### D. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

###### E. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

###### F. Review Under Executive Order 13132

###### G. Review Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

###### H. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999

###### I. Review Under Executive Order 13211

###### J. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001

###### K. Review Under Executive Order 13609

###### L. Approval by the Office of the Secretary of Energy

#### I. Executive Summary

##### A. Purpose and Legal Authority

The purpose of this rulemaking is to add new DEAR Subparts 925.71 and 970.2571 to clarify requirements concerning compliance with export control laws and regulations applicable in the performance of DOE contracts.