

to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 13, 2005.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2755 Filed 5-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Rule 17Ad-17; SEC File No. 270-412;
OMB Control No. 3235-0469.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

- Rule 17Ad-17 Transfer Agents' Obligation to Search for Lost Securityholders

Rule 17Ad-17 requires approximately 825 registered transfer agents to conduct searches using third party database vendors to attempt to locate lost securityholders. These recordkeeping requirements assist the Commission and other regulatory agencies with monitoring transfer agents and ensuring compliance with the rule.

The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary for each transfer agent to comply with Rule 17Ad-17 is five hours annually. The total burden is 4,125 hours annually for all transfer agents. The cost of compliance for each individual transfer agent depends on the number of lost accounts at each transfer agent. Based on information received from transfer agents, we estimate that the annual cost industry wide is \$3.3 million.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 13, 2005.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2756 Filed 5-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Form BDW, SEC File No. 270-17; OMB Control No. 3235-0018.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Fully registered broker-dealers and notice-registered broker-dealers use Form BDW (17 CFR 249.501a) to withdraw from registration with the Commission, the self-regulatory organizations, and the states. It is estimated that approximately 900 fully registered broker-dealers annually will incur an average burden of 15 minutes, or 0.25 hours, to file for withdrawal on Form BDW via the internet with Web CRD, a computer system operated by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. that maintains information

regarding fully registered broker-dealers and their registered personnel. It is further estimated that 140 futures commission merchants that are notice-registered broker-dealers annually will incur an average burden of 15 minutes, or 0.25 hours, to file for withdrawal on Form BDW by sending the completed Form BDW to the National Futures Association, which maintains information regarding notice-registered broker-dealers on behalf of the Commission. The annualized compliance burden per year is 260 hours [1,040 (900 fully registered broker-dealers + 140 notice-registered broker-dealers) × .25 = 260 hours]. The annualized cost to respondents, utilizing staff at an estimated cost of \$101 per hour, would be \$26,260 (260 × \$101 = \$26,260).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 13, 2005.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2766 Filed 5-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Application of Campbell Soup Company To Withdraw Its Common Stock, \$.0375 Par Value, From Listing and Registration on the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc., File No. 1-03822

May 24, 2005.

On May 3, 2005, Campbell Soup Company, a New Jersey corporation ("Issuer"), filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section

12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its common stock, \$.0375 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx" or "Exchange").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved resolutions on March 24, 2005 to voluntarily withdraw the Security from listing on the Exchange. The Board stated that among the reasons for its decision to withdraw the Security from Phlx were: (i) The Issuer maintains the principal listing for the Security on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"); (ii) the maintenance of multiple listings requires significant time and expense in ensuring compliance with the rules and disclosure requirements of both the NYSE and the Phlx; and (iii) in the judgment of the Board, the benefits of continued listing on the Phlx are outweighed by the incremental cost and administrative burden of such listing.

The Issuer states in its application that it has met the requirements of Phlx Rule 809 governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration by providing the required documents for withdrawal from Phlx. The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing on the Phlx, and shall not affect its continued listing on the NYSE or its obligation to be registered under Section 12(b) of the Act.³

Any interested person may, on or before June 15, 2005, comment on the facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of Phlx, and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. All comment letters may be submitted by either of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include the File Number 1-03822 or;

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. All submissions should refer to File Number 1-03822. This file

number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>). Comments are also available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2749 Filed 5-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51736, File No. SR-MSRB-2004-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to Advertisements of Municipal Fund Securities Under MSRB Rule G-21

May 24, 2005.

On December 16, 2004, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB" or "Board"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change amending MSRB Rule G-21, on advertising, to establish specific requirements with respect to advertisements by brokers, dealers and municipal securities dealers ("dealers") relating to municipal fund securities. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2004.³ The Commission received three comment

letters regarding the proposal.⁴ On March 8, 2005, the MSRB filed a response to the first two comment letters and requested that the SEC make the proposed rule change effective 180 days after the proposed rule change is approved.⁵ On May 10, 2005, the MSRB filed a response to the third comment letter from Fund Distributors and modified the MSRB's request in the First Response Letter regarding the effective date of the proposed rule change.⁶ This order approves the proposed rule change.

The proposed rule change amends MSRB Rule G-21 to establish specific standards applicable to advertisements of municipal fund securities by dealers. In its filing, the MSRB proposed an effective date for the proposed rule change of the first calendar day of the month beginning 90 or more calendar days after SEC approval.

CSF's Letter and ICI's Letter generally supported the proposed amendments, which would bring advertising rules for municipal fund securities more in line with the requirements of Rule 482 adopted by the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.⁷ CSF's Letter requested additional time to implement systems changes needed to comply with the proposal, and requested that there be a 180-day transition period from the effective date of the proposal until the date of required compliance. ICI's Letter recommended that the proposed 90-day compliance period be extended to a period of at least 210 days to accommodate the changes necessitated by the revised rule.

In addition, ICI's Letter noted that the MSRB has published for comment related amendments to Rule G-21 that would supplement the proposed rule change (the "additional draft

⁴ See e-mail letter from David Pearlman, Chairman, College Savings Foundation ("CSF"), to rule-comments@sec.gov, dated January 14, 2005 ("CSF's Letter"); letter to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Commission, from Tamara K. Salmon, Senior Associate Counsel, Investment Company Institute ("ICI"), dated January 19, 2005 ("ICI's Letter"); and letter from Joseph J. Connolly, Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, on behalf of its client PFM Fund Distributors, Inc. ("Fund Distributors"), dated February 18, 2005 ("Fund Distributors' Letter").

⁵ See letter from Ernesto A. Lanza, Senior Associate General Counsel, MSRB, to Martha M. Haines, Chief, Office of Municipal Securities, Commission, dated March 8, 2005 ("MSRB's First Response Letter"). The MSRB's First Response Letter does not respond to Fund Distributors' Letter because Fund Distributors' Letter was received by the Commission after the end of the comment period.

⁶ See letter from Ernesto A. Lanza, Senior Associate General Counsel, MSRB, to Martha M. Haines, Chief, Office of Municipal Securities, Commission, dated May 4, 2005 ("MSRB's Second Response Letter").

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78l(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78l(b).

⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50919 (December 22, 2004), 69 FR 78499 (December 30, 2004).