

handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers.

However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the California kiwifruit industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the June 28, 2005, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large California kiwifruit handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

A 20-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Twenty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 2005–06 fiscal period began on August 1, 2005, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable kiwifruit handled during such fiscal period; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis and; (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 920

Kiwifruit, Marketing agreements, Reporting and record keeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 920 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 920—KIWIFRUIT GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 920 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 920.213 is revised to read as follows:

§ 920.213 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 2005, an assessment rate of \$0.045 per 9-kilo volume-fill container or equivalent of kiwifruit is established for kiwifruit grown in California.

Dated: August 11, 2005.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05–16207 Filed 8–15–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003–NE–31–AD]

Airworthiness Directives: Rolls-Royce plc RB211–535 Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The FAA is withdrawing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM). That NPRM proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Rolls-Royce plc (RR) models RB211–535C–37, RB211–535E4–37, RB211–535E4–B–37, and RB211–535E4–B–75 turbofan engines. The NPRM had applied to those engines with radial drive steady bearing part number (P/N) LK76084 installed, with fewer than 3,000 engine operating hours on the bearing. That proposed action would have required initial and repetitive visual inspections of the engine oil scavenge filter for evidence of radial drive steady bearing failure. If after finding evidence, the proposed action would have required a visual inspection of the radial drive steady bearing for damage and evidence of bearing debris. Since we issued that NPRM, RR notified us that all at-risk radial drive steady bearings are removed from service. RR also notified us that remaining bearings in service are now well over the 3,000-engine-operating-hour threshold and are no longer at risk. Accordingly, we withdraw the proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ian Dargin, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7178; fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with a proposed airworthiness directive (AD). The proposed AD applies to Rolls-Royce plc (RR) models RB211–535C–37, RB211–535E4–37, RB211–535E4–B–37, and RB211–535E4–B–75 turbofan engines. The proposed AD would have applied to those engines with radial drive steady bearing, P/N LK76084 installed, with fewer than 3,000 engine-operating-hours on the bearing. We published the proposed AD in the **Federal Register** on October 9, 2003, (68 FR 58291). That proposed action would have required initial and repetitive visual inspections of the engine oil scavenge filter for evidence of radial drive steady bearing failure. If evidence was found, that proposed action would have required a visual inspection of the radial drive steady bearing for damage and evidence of bearing debris. That proposed action was prompted by notification from the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the U.K. The CAA notified us that an unsafe condition may exist on RR models RB211–535C–37, RB211–535E4–37, RB211–535E4–B–37, and RB211–535E4–B–75 turbofan engines. The unsafe condition had applied to those engines with radial drive steady bearing P/N LK76084 installed with fewer than 3,000 engine operating hours on the bearing. The CAA received reports of seven low time failures of radial drive steady bearings within a four-month period. These failures were not detected through routine magnetic chip detector monitoring because the failed bronze bearing cages are nonmagnetic, and the cage failure mode is rapid. The proposed actions intended to prevent a possible dual-engine in-flight shutdown caused by radial drive steady bearing failure.

Since the issuance of that NPRM, RR notified us that all at-risk radial drive steady bearings are removed from service. RR also notified us that the remaining bearings in service are now well over the 3,000-engine-operating-hour threshold and are no longer at risk.

Upon further consideration, we hereby withdraw the proposed rule for the following reasons:

- After RR notifying us of the removal from service and bearing threshold information, stated previously.

- AD 2000–09–14 (65 FR 30527, May 12, 2000) and AD 2001–19–05 (66 FR 49099, September 26, 2001) currently address the same radial drive steady bearing, P/N LK76084.

- AD 2000–09–14 and AD 2001–19–05 mandate replacing low-time bearings that are at risk.

Withdrawal of this notice of proposed rulemaking constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule. Therefore, Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979) do not cover this withdrawal.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2003–NE–31–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on October 9, 2003, (68 FR 58291), is withdrawn.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on August 9, 2005.

Francis A. Favara,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05–16167 Filed 8–15–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2005–22110; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–205–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4–600 and A300 B4–600R Series Airplanes; and A300 F4–605R and A300 C4–605R Variant F Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Model A300 B4–600 and A300 B4–600R series airplanes, and all Model A300 F4–605R airplanes. The existing AD currently

requires repetitive inspections to detect cracks of certain attachment holes, installation of new fasteners, follow-on inspections or repair if necessary, and modification of the angle fittings of fuselage frame FR47. This proposed AD would revise certain inspection thresholds and intervals. This proposed AD would also add inspections to detect cracks of additional attachment holes. This proposed AD is prompted by reports of cracks found before the inspection thresholds in the existing AD and cracks found in nearby areas not inspected by the existing AD. We are proposing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of the forward fitting of fuselage frame FR47, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the frame.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by September 15, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.

- Fax: (202) 493–2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL–401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France.

You can examine the contents of this AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., room PL–401, on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA–2005–22110; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004–NM–205–AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2125; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA–2005–22110; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–205–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You can review the DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you can visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Examining the Docket

You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System (DMS) receives them.

Discussion

On May 22, 2002, we issued AD 2002–11–04, amendment 39–12765 (67 FR 38193, June 3, 2002), for all Model A300 B4–600 and A300 B4–600R series airplanes, and all Model A300 F4–605R airplanes. That AD requires repetitive inspections to detect cracks of certain attachment holes, installation of new fasteners, follow-on inspections or repair if necessary, and modification of the angle fittings of fuselage frame FR47. That AD was prompted by reports of cracks found in the internal angle fittings of the wing center box at fuselage frame FR47 on airplanes that