

resulting in an unusually high margin. Similarly, the Department does not apply a margin that has been discredited. *See D&L Supply Co. v. United States*, 113 F.3d 1220, 1221 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (the Department will not use a margin that has been judicially invalidated). The information used in calculating this margin was based on sales and production data of a respondent in a prior review, together with the most appropriate surrogate value information available to the Department, chosen from submissions by the parties in that review, as well as gathered by the Department itself. Furthermore, the calculation of this margin was subject to comment from interested parties. *See Amended Final*. Moreover, as there is no information on the record of this review that demonstrates that this rate is not appropriately used as adverse facts available, we determine that this rate has relevance. As the rate is both reliable and relevant, we determine that it has probative value. Accordingly, we determine that the highest rate from any segment of this administrative proceeding (*i.e.*, the calculated rate of 108.3 percent, which is the current PRC-wide rate and the rate currently applicable to other exporters) is in accord with section

776(c)'s requirement that secondary information be corroborated to the extent practicable (*i.e.*, that it have probative value).

Final Results of Review

For these final results we determine that the following dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer and Exporter	Margin (percent)
Shandong Huihe Trade Co. Ltd ...	108.30

Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department will notify Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments from Shandong Huihe of petroleum wax candles from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States on or after the publication of this notice of final results of antidumping duty new shipper review in the **Federal Register**. Further, effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of the subject merchandise exported by Shandong Huihe, and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 108.30 percent *ad valorem*.

Assessment of Antidumping Duties

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Since we have reached the final results of this antidumping duty new shipper review with respect to Shandong Huihe, based on total AFA, the PRC-wide rate of 108.30 percent in effect at the time of entry applies to all exports of petroleum wax candles from the PRC by Shandong Huihe entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period of review (August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice of final results of antidumping duty new shipper review.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(I)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 20, 2004.

James J. Jochum,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Issues

1. Whether the Department should apply adverse facts available (AFA) to Shandong Huihe;
2. The *bona fides* of Shandong Huihe's sale;

3. Shandong Huihe's eligibility as a new shipper.

[FR Doc. E4-3867 Filed 12-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-822-801, A-447-801, A-451-801, A-485-601, A-842-801, A-843-801, A-844-801]

Solid Urea from Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan: Final Results and Revocation of Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan (69 FR 58890). Because the domestic interested parties did not participate in these sunset reviews, the Department is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 17, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope

For purposes of these sunset reviews, the product covered is urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States ("HTSUS") item 3102.10.0000. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Background

On July 14, 1987, the Department issued an antidumping duty order on solid urea from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics ("USSR") (52 FR 26367). In December 1991, the USSR divided into 15 republics. In response to the dissolution, the Department transferred the original order to all 15 republics and applied a uniform cash deposit rate. *See Solid Urea from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics; Transfer of the Antidumping Duty Order*

on *Solid Urea From the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Baltic States and Opportunity to Comment*, 57 FR 28828 (June 29, 1992).

In March 1999, the Department initiated sunset reviews on these orders and later published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Solid Urea From Armenia, Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan*, 64 FR 62653 (November 17, 1999). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218, the Department initiated the sunset reviews of these orders, excluding Armenia, by publishing the notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register**, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for these proceedings to inform them of the automatic initiation of the sunset reviews of the orders.

We received a waiver from domestic interested parties by the deadline dates. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A) and Waiver of the Domestic Interested Parties (October 18, 2004). As a result, the Department determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in the sunset reviews, and on October 21, 2004, we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking these antidumping duty orders. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking an order. Because the domestic interested parties waived their right to participate in the sunset reviews, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in these sunset reviews. Therefore, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, we are revoking these antidumping duty orders effective November 17, 2004, the fifth anniversary of the date the Department published the continuation of the antidumping duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR

351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after November 17, 2004. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Original Signed.

Dated: December 17, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-3873 Filed 12-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 04-023. *Applicant:* Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, 825 NE. 13th, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model H-7600-1 TEM.

Manufacturer: Instruments, Hitachi Ltd., Japan.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to examine and record images of biological specimens

from various basic biomedical research laboratories to increase understanding of and to direct basic biomedical research to gain a better understanding of biological phenomena. It will be used to support NIH and NSF-funded research and to train graduate students and postdoctoral investigators.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: November 30, 2004.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 04-28523 Filed 12-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 04-024.

Applicant: The University of Iowa, Central Microscopy Research Facilities, 85 Eckstein Medical Research Bldg., Iowa City, IA 52242-1101.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Jeol JEM-1230.

Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to obtain and record images from structural and chemical samples provided by investigators throughout the University. Nine full-time staff provide training, process specimens and conduct microscopy evaluation and analysis for or in assistance to 200 faculty research labs. Studies will be primarily biomedical, but will include geosciences and environmental engineering. It will also be used to train both undergraduate and graduate students in the application of various microscopy methodologies.