

Innovative Home Products, Inc., 2400 East Lincoln Street, Birmingham, Michigan 48009-7126

Wayne-Dalton Corporation, One Door Drive, P.O. Box 67, Mt. Hope, Ohio 44660

Guardian Access Corporation, No. 1, Pei Yuan 2. Rd., Chung Li City, Taiwan

(c) David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

Issued: July 16, 2001.

By Order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18126 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-448]

### Certain Oscillating Sprinklers, Sprinkler Components, and Nozzles; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Adding a Respondent to the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation adding Dayco Products Inc. ("Dayco") as a respondent to the investigation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurent de Winter, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-708-5452. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-Line) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain oscillating sprinklers, sprinkler components, and nozzles, on February 9, 2001 66 FR 9721. On June 4, 2001, complainant L.R. Nelson Corporation moved, pursuant to Commission rule 210.14(b), to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Dayco Products, Inc. ("Dayco") as a respondent in this investigation with respect to infringement of U.S. Letters Patent 6,036,117.

On June 14, 2001, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) (Judge Luckern) issued an ID (Order No. 9) (copy attached) adding Dayco as a respondent to the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337),

and Commission rule 210.42 (19 CFR 210.42).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 16, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18125 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-444]

### Certain Semiconductor Light Emitting Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") granting a motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3152.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 9, 2001, based on a complaint by Rohm Co. Ltd. ("Rohm") alleging that respondents Nichia Corporation and Nichia American Corporation ("Nichia") violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing, selling for importation, or selling within the United States after importation certain semiconductor light emitting devices, components thereof, and products containing same that infringe certain claims of U.S. Letters Patent Nos. 6,084,899 and 6,115,399.

On April 27, 2001, complainant Rohm filed a motion pursuant to rule 210.21(a) to terminate the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint. On May 9, 2001, the Nichia respondents