

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50–390; NRC–2013–0266]

**Tennessee Valley Authority; Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1****AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.**ACTION:** License amendment application; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has granted the request of Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA or the licensee) to withdraw its August 28, 2013, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–90 for Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN), Unit 1, Rhea County, Tennessee.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2013–0266 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2013–0266. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–287–3422; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Siva Lingam, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–1564; email: [Siva.Lingam@nrc.gov](mailto:Siva.Lingam@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed amendment would have modified the facility technical specifications (TSs) TS 3.8.4, “DC [Direct Current] Sources-Operating,” TS 3.8.5, “DC Sources-Shutdown,” and TS 3.8.6, “Battery Cell Parameters.” The request included changes consistent with both Technical Specifications Task Force (TSTF) change traveler TSTF–360, Revision 1, “DC Electrical Rewrite” (ADAMS Accession No. ML003778381), and TSTF–500, “DC Electrical Rewrite—Update to TSTF–360” (ADAMS Accession No. ML092670242), which provided an update to the changes approved in TSTF–360. However, the proposed TS changes were based on the TSTF–360 and TSTF–500 changes that were appropriate to the WBN Unit 1 design, because the direct current electrical power distribution system referenced in the model application is significantly different than the system that exists at Watts Bar. Because of this, TVA did not utilize the model application for TSTF–500, but rather provided plant-specific justifications for the related TSTF–500 changes that were proposed in this License Amendment Request. In addition to the TSTF–360 and TSTF–500 related changes, editorial and clarification changes to TS 3.8.4, 3.8.5, and 3.8.6 were proposed in this request.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 2013 (78 FR 74186). However, by letter dated June 20, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14178B301), the licensee withdrew the proposed changes.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 28, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13248A250), and the licensee’s letter dated June 20, 2014, which withdrew the application for license amendment.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of July 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Siva P. Lingam,**  
*Project Manager, Watts Bar Special Projects Branch, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2014–18202 Filed 7–31–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P****OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT****Submission for Review: OPM 1655, Application for Senior Administrative Law Judge, and OPM 1655–A, Geographic Preference Statement for Senior Administrative Law Judge Applicant****AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Administrative Law Judge Program Office, Office of Personnel Management (OPM) offers the general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to comment on an information collection request (ICR) 3206–0248, OPM 1655, *Application for Senior Administrative Law Judge*, and OPM 1655–A, *Geographic Preference Statement for Senior Administrative Law Judge Applicant*. OPM is soliciting comments for this collection under 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d).

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until September 30, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Administrative Law Judge Program Office, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Juanita H. Love, ALJ Program Manager or sent via electronic mail to [juanita.love@opm.gov](mailto:juanita.love@opm.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Administrative Law Judge Program Office, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Juanita H. Love, ALJ Program Manager or sent via electronic mail to [juanita.love@opm.gov](mailto:juanita.love@opm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and