| USCG-2021-0577         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Corpus Christi, TX         7/24           USCG-2021-0587         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Seal Beach, CA         7/24           USCG-2021-0065         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Cleveland, OH         7/24           USCG-2021-0578         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Ingleside, TX         7/25           USCG-2021-0593         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Chicago, IL         7/26           USCG-2021-0541         Special Local Regulations (Part 100)         Oak Harbor, WA         7/31           USCG-2021-0330         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Atlantic City, NJ         8/1  |         |
|---|---------|
| USCG-2021-0577         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Corpus Christi, TX         7/24           USCG-2021-0587         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Seal Beach, CA         7/24           USCG-2021-0065         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Cleveland, OH         7/24           USCG-2021-0578         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Ingleside, TX         7/25           USCG-2021-0593         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Chicago, IL         7/26           USCG-2021-0541         Special Local Regulations (Part 100)         Oak Harbor, WA         7/31           USCG-2021-0330         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Atlantic City, NJ         8/1  | 24/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0587         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Seal Beach, CA         7/24           USCG-2021-0065         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Cleveland, OH         7/24           USCG-2021-0578         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Ingleside, TX         7/25           USCG-2021-0593         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Chicago, IL         7/26           USCG-2021-0541         Special Local Regulations (Part 100)         Oak Harbor, WA         7/31           USCG-2021-0330         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Atlantic City, NJ         8/1  |         |
| USCG-2021-0065         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Cleveland, OH         7/24           USCG-2021-0578         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Ingleside, TX         7/25           USCG-2021-0593         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Chicago, IL         7/26           USCG-2021-0541         Special Local Regulations (Part 100)         Oak Harbor, WA         7/31           USCG-2021-0330         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Atlantic City, NJ         8/1  | 24/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0578       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Ingleside, TX       7/25         USCG-2021-0593       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Chicago, IL       7/26         USCG-2021-0541       Special Local Regulations (Part 100)       Oak Harbor, WA       7/31         USCG-2021-0330       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Atlantic City, NJ       8/1   |         |
| USCG-2021-0578       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Ingleside, TX       7/25         USCG-2021-0593       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Chicago, IL       7/26         USCG-2021-0541       Special Local Regulations (Part 100)       Oak Harbor, WA       7/31         USCG-2021-0330       Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)       Atlantic City, NJ       8/1   | 24/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0541         Special Local Regulations (Part 100)         Oak Harbor, WA         7/31.           USCG-2021-0330         Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)         Atlantic City, NJ         8/1  | 25/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0330 Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 26/2021 |
| 1111   1 | 31/2021 |
|   | /1/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0394   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Bath, ME   8/1  | /1/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0581   Security Zones (Part 165)  | /6/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0561   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Michigan City, IN   8/7.  | /7/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0621   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 14/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0559   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 14/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0645   Special Local Regulations (Part 100)   Perry, WA   Perry, WA   | 14/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0683   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 21/2021 |
|   | 23/2021 |
|   | 26/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0067   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 27/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0665   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 27/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0705   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Lower Mississippi River, LA   | 27/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0689   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 29/2021 |
|   | 31/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0712   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | /3/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0695   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Glencoe, IL   | /3/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0682   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Lakeside, OH  | /4/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0687   Security Zones (Part 165)   Boston, MA   | /9/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0688   Security Zones (Part 165)  | 11/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0725   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 11/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0660   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   | 11/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0637   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Frankfort Harbor, MI   9/12   | 12/2021 |
| USCG-2021-0128   Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)   Virginia Beach, VA   9/12   | 12/2021 |
|   | 13/2021 |
|   | 18/2021 |
|   | 22/2021 |
|   | 23/2021 |
|   | 23/2021 |

#### Michael Cunningham,

Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law.

[FR Doc. 2024–31658 Filed 1–6–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2024-1095]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Cypress Passage Overhead Powerline Demolition and Removal, Atchafalaya River, LA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for navigable waters within a 500-yard radius of 29°47′38.18″ N, 91°21′50.52″ W, approximately MM 105.5, on the Atchafalaya River, locally known as Cypress Passage, during an overhead

electrical powerline structure demolition operation on Thursday, January 9, 2025. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by the demolition of the powerline structures resulting in electrical powerlines on the navigational water's surface. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Houma.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 a.m. Central Standard Time (CST) on Thursday, January 9, 2025, through 8 p.m. CST on Thursday, January 9, 2025.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to https://www.regulations.gov, type USCG-2024-1095 in the search box and click "Search." Next, in the Document Type column, select "Supporting & Related Material."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email LCDR Justin Kimrey at

telephone (985) 665–2449 or email d08-smb-msuhouma-waterways@uscg.mil.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CST Central Standard Time
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
MM Mile Marker
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

## II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule under the authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This statutory provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." The Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because during the 12-hour overhead electrical powerline structure demolition the

electrical powerlines will be on top of the navigational water's surface, crossing the navigational channel and posing potential safety hazards to passing vessels. It is impracticable to publish an NPRM because we must establish this safety zone by January 9, 2025.

Also, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be impracticable because prompt action is needed to respond to the potential safety hazards associated with electrical powerlines crossing the navigational channel.

### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034. The Captain of the Port Houma (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the Cypress Passage overhead powerline demolition and removal occurring on January 9, 2025, will be a safety concern for anyone within a 500-yard radius of 29°47'38.18" N, 91°21′50.52″ W, approximately MM 105.5 on the Atchafalaya River, locally known as Cypress Passage. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone while the electrical power lines are being removed from the navigational channel.

#### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from 8 a.m. through 8 p.m. on Thursday January 9, 2024. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters within a 500yard radius of 29°47′38.18″ N, 91°21′50.52" W, approximately MM 105.5 on the Atchafalaya River, locally known as Cypress Passage. The duration of the zone is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in these navigable waters while electrical power lines are being removed from the navigational water's surface during the demolition of overhead powerline structures along the navigational channel. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

#### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094 (Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone.

Vessel traffic will not be able to safely transit around this safety zone which would impact this designated area of the Atchafalaya River for 12 hours. The Coast Guard will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have Tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42) U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting only 12 hours that will prohibit entry within a 500-yard radius of the demolition site of overhead electrical powerlines that will cross the navigational water's surface. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023-01-001-01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

Accordingly, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

 $\blacksquare$  2. Add § 165.T08–1095 to read as follows:

# § 165.T08–1095 Safety Zone; Cypress Passage overhead powerline demolition and removal, Atchafalaya River, LA.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Atchafalaya River, locally known as Cypress Passage, from surface to bottom, within a 500-yard radius of 29°47′38.18″ N, 91°21′50.52″ W, approximately MM 105.5, during an overhead electrical powerline structure demolition operation from 8 a.m. CST on Thursday January 9, 2025 through 8 p.m. CST on Thursday January 9, 2025.

(b) *Definitions*. As used in this section, *designated representative* 

means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Houma (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

- (c) Regulations. (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative by hailing on VHF radio channels 13 or 16. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (d) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from 8 a.m. CST on Thursday January 9, 2025, through 8 p.m. CST on Thursday January 9, 2025.

Dated: December 31, 2024.

#### Jason S. Franz,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Houma.

[FR Doc. 2024–31671 Filed 1–6–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2024-1094]

RIN 1625-AA87

Security Zone; Potomac River and Anacostia River, and Adjacent Waters; Washington, DC

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notification of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce a security zone along the Potomac and Anacostia Rivers and adjacent waters at Washington, DC to protect government officials, mitigate potential terrorist acts, and enhance public and maritime safety and security in the days leading up to and after the 60th Presidential Inauguration. During the enforcement period, entry into or remaining within the security zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**DATES:** The regulations in 33 CFR 165.508 will be enforced from 8 a.m. on January 18, 2025, through 11:59 p.m. on

January 21, 2025, for the security zone identified in 33 CFR 165.508(a)(6).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rule, call or email LCDR Kate Newkirk, Sector Maryland-NCR, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; 410–365–8141, MDNCRWaterways@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 2, 2024, the Coast Guard was notified by the event organizer that the anticipated dates for the activities associated with the 60th Presidential Inauguration are scheduled from January 18, 2025, to January 21, 2025. The Coast Guard will enforce regulations in 33 CFR 165.508 for the zone identified in paragraph (a)(6). This action is being taken to protect government officials, mitigate potential terrorist acts and incidents, and enhance public and maritime safety and security immediately before, during, and after this event. Thirty-three CFR 165.508(d)(4) provides that the security zone will be enforced from 8 a.m. on January 18, 2025, until 11:59 p.m. on January 21, 2025. Subsection (d)(1) of § 165.508 also provides that the security zone will be enforced, in addition to the specified times in paragraphs (d)(4) of that section, upon issuance of a notice of enforcement by the Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region. Our regulation entitled "Security

Zone; Potomac River and Anacostia River, and adjacent waters; Washington, DC," § 165.508, specifies the location for this security zone as an area that includes all navigable waters described in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3). This security zone includes: (1) the area comprising Zone 1, all navigable waters of the Potomac River, from shoreline to shoreline, bounded to the north by the Francis Scott Key (US-29) Bridge, at mile 113, and bounded to the south by a line drawn from the Virginia shoreline at Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport, at 38°51′21.3″ N, 077°02′00.0″ W, eastward across the Potomac River to the District of Columbia shoreline at Hains Point at position  $38^{\circ}51'24.3''$  N,  $077^{\circ}01'19.8''$  W, including the waters of the Boundary Channel, Pentagon Lagoon, Georgetown Channel Tidal Basin, and Roaches Run; (2) the area comprising Zone 2, all navigable waters of the Anacostia River, from shoreline to shoreline, bounded to the north by the John Philip Sousa (Pennsylvania Avenue) Bridge, at mile 2.9, and bounded to the south by a line drawn from the District of Columbia shoreline at Hains Point at position 38°51′24.3″ N, 077°01′19.8" W, southward across the Anacostia River to the District of Columbia shoreline at Giesboro Point at