

On July 25, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum which stated that the CBP data examined by the Department (and referenced in the July 24, 2006, Memorandum) shows that TCW had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and indicated that the Department intended to rescind the administrative review with respect to TCW. See the July 25, 2006, memorandum from Brian Smith to the file entitled, "Intent to Rescind in Part the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China." The Department also provided parties in this review until August 1, 2006, to submit comments on the July 24, 2006, Memorandum.

On July 31, 2006, the petitioner stated that it did not oppose the Department's intention of rescinding this review with respect to TCW.

Partial Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review, unless the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to extend this time limit. In this case, SFTC withdrew its request for review before the 90-day deadline. Because SFTC was the only party to request the administrative review of itself, we are rescinding, in part, this review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the PRC with respect to SFTC.

Section 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. Therefore, we are also rescinding this review with respect to TCW because the Department reviewed CBP data which indicated that TCW did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

This review will continue with respect to the other companies listed in the *Initiation Notice*.

Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties for the rescinded companies, where applicable, shall be assessed at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated

antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 10, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-13469 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-580-816)

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Preeti Tolani at (202) 482-0395, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea, covering the period August 1, 2004, to July 31, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). On April 18, 2006, the Department published a notice of extension for the preliminary results of this review, extending the time for issuing the preliminary results by 100 days to August 11, 2006. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 19872 (April 18, 2006).

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review by August 11, 2006, is not practicable because the Department requires additional time to analyze supplemental questionnaire responses and comments recently filed by petitioners and respondents participating in this review. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results to the full 365-day period. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than August 31, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 10, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-13468 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-863

Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 16, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Judy Lao, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-0405 and 202-482-7924, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 5241 (February 1, 2006). This review covers the period December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than September 5, 2006.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. See Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Completion of the preliminary results within the 245-day period is not practicable because of the Department's verification schedule of the companies involved in this administrative review. It is also more practicable to align this administrative review with an ongoing new shipper review of honey from PRC. See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 37904 (July 3, 2006). Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by 80 days until November 21, 2006.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 9, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-13467 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-560-818)

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Lined Paper Products from Indonesia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: We determine that imports of certain lined paper products ("CLPP") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice. Moreover, we determine that critical circumstances exist with respect to PT. Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk ("TK"), but not with respect to all other Indonesian producer/exporters of CLPP from Indonesia. See the "Critical Circumstances" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 16, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandon Farlander or Damian Felton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0182 or (202) 482-0133, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2004).

Case History

The preliminary determination in this investigation was published on March 27, 2006. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from Indonesia*, 71 FR 15162 (March 27, 2006) ("Preliminary Determination"). Since the publication of the *Preliminary Determination*, the following events have occurred.

On March 27, 2006, the respondent, TK submitted a letter alleging ministerial errors in the *Preliminary*

Determination. On April 20, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum extending the deadline for case briefs, hearing request, and rebuttal briefs from April 26, 2006, and May 1, 2006, respectively, to May 1, 2006 (by noon), and May 8, 2006, respectively. On April 21, 2006, petitioner¹ filed a letter responding to TK's ministerial errors letter. Also on April 21, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum finding that TK's March 27, 2006 allegation did not constitute a ministerial error.

On April 24, 2006, TK requested that the Department postpone the final determination for sixty days. On April 26, 2006, the Department issued a letter responding to letters submitted by TK to the Department on March 22 and 27, 2006. The Department informed TK that the Department remained confident in the integrity of the administrative protective order ("APO") procedures. On May 1, 2006, TK submitted its case brief and submitted a request for a hearing. Also on May 1, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum describing the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration's tour of a petitioner's facility. On May 5, 2006, TK submitted a letter stating its dissatisfaction with the Department's April 26, 2006, letter.

On May 8, 2006 (officially received on May 9, 2006), petitioner submitted the final business proprietary version of the rebuttal brief for the antidumping duty investigation. On May 9, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum describing an *ex parte* meeting between the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration and the Government of Indonesia ("GOI") and TK for both the antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. Also on May 9, 2006, TK withdrew its May 1, 2006, request for a hearing in the antidumping duty investigation. Finally, on May 9, 2006, the Department published notification of the postponement of the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the *Preliminary Determination*, (i.e., August 9, 2006).

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation ("POI") is July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005.

Scope of Investigation

The scope of this investigation includes certain lined paper products, typically school supplies (for purposes

¹ The petitioner in this investigation is the Association of American School Paper Suppliers and its individual members (MeadWestvaco Corporation; Norcom, Inc.; and Top Flight, Inc.) ("petitioner").