Dated: April 8, 2003.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 03–8990 Filed 4–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 30-35594-CivP (EA 02-072), ASLBP No. 03-811-02-CivP]

Advanced Medical Imaging and Nuclear Services; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the FR, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and §§ 2.205, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717, 2.721, and 2.772(j) of the Commission's regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Advanced Medical Imaging and Nuclear Services, Easton, Pennsylvania; Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalty.

This Board is being established pursuant to the request of Advanced Medical Imaging and Nuclear Services, for a hearing regarding an order issued by the NRC staff, dated February 19, 2003, entitled "Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalty" (68 FR 10,040 (Mar. 3, 2003)).

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dr. Charles N. Kelber, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dr. Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed with the Panel Judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of April 2003.

G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 03-9025 Filed 4-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-U

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of an Information Collection: SF 2817

AGENCY: Office of Personnel

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of an information collection. SF 2817, Life Insurance Election, is used by Federal employees and assignees (those who have acquired control of an employee/ annuitant's coverage through an assignment or "transfer" of the ownership of the life insurance). Clearance of this form for use by active Federal employees is not required according to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 98–615). The Public Burden Statement meets the requirements of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3). Therefore, only the use of this form by assignees, i.e., members of the public, is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Approximately 100 forms are completed annually by assignees. Each form takes approximately 15 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 25 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606–8358, FAX (202) 418–3251 or via E-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before May 14, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments

Christopher N. Meuchner, Program Planning & Evaluation Group, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3425, Washington, DC 20415— 3660 and

Stuart Shapiro, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Desktop Publishing and Printing Team, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, (202) 606–0623. Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 03-9023 Filed 4-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-U

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Data Collection Available for Public Comment and Recommendations

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board will publish periodic summaries

of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection:

Employer's Deemed Service Month Questionnaire; OMB 3220–0156.

Section 3(i) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), as amended by Pub. L. 98-76, provides that the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB), under certain circumstances, may deem additional months of service in cases where an employee does not actually work in every month of the year, provided the employee satisfies certain eligibility requirements, including the existence of an employment relation between the employee and his or her employer. The procedures pertaining to the deeming of additional months of service are found in the RRB's regulations at 20 CFR part 210. Creditable Railroad Service.

The RRB utilizes Form GL-99, Employers Deemed Service Month Questionnaire, to obtain service and compensation information from railroad employers needed to determine if an employee can be credited with additional deemed months of railroad service. Completion is mandatory. One response is required for each RRB inquiry.

The RRB proposes minor non-burden impacting changes to Form GL-99. The completion time for Form GL-99 is

estimated at 2 minutes per response. The RRB estimates that approximately 4,000 responses are received annually.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:

To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751–3363. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Chuck Mierzwa.

Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–8993 Filed 4–11–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7905–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–47643; File No. SR-Amex-2000-49]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC to Permanently Approve Its Pilot Program Relating to Facilitation Cross Transactions

April 7, 2003

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on August 22, 2000, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to revise and permanently approve its pilot program relating to facilitation cross transactions. On August 29, 2000, October 15, 2002, and January 29, 2003, respectively, the Amex filed Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 to the proposed rule change.³ On March 18, 2003, the Amex filed Amendment No. 4 to the proposed rule change, in which the Exchange replaced the original proposal and previous amendments with a proposal to permanently adopt the pilot program in

its present form, and added a clarification concerning specialist participation in facilitation transactions.4 The proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment No. 4, is described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On April 1, 2003, the Amex filed Amendment No. 5 to the proposed rule change, requesting that the Commission accelerate approval of the proposal.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons, and is granting accelerated approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to permanently approve its pilot program relating to facilitation cross transactions, with an added clarification concerning specialist participation in such transactions. The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Additions are italicized; deletions are in brackets.

Rule 950—Rules of General

Applicability

(a)-(c) No change.

(d) The provisions of Rule 126, with the exception of subparagraphs (a) and (b) thereof, shall apply to Exchange options transactions and the following additional commentary shall also apply.

* * * Commentary

.01 No change.

.02 A member who holds both an order for a public customer of a member organization and a facilitation order may cross such orders if:

(a)-(c) No change.

(d)(1) notwithstanding paragraph (c) above, a member firm seeking to facilitate its own public customer's equity option order for the eligible order size will be permitted to participate in the firm's proprietary account as the contra-side of that order to the extent of the percentages set forth below:

(i) 20% of the order if the order is traded at the best bid or offer given by the trading

crowd in response to a floor broker's request for a market; or

(ii) 40% of the order if the member firm improves the market that was provided by the trading crowd in response to a floor broker's request and the order is traded at that best bid or offer.

If, however, a public customer order on the specialist's book or represented in the trading crowd has priority over the facilitation order, the member firm may participate in only those contracts remaining after the public customer's order has been filled.

(2) No change.

(3) if a facilitation transaction pursuant to this subparagraph (d) occurs at the specialist's bid or offer, [then] the specialist shall be allocated the greater of either (i) 20% of the executed contracts if the facilitating member firm. pursuant to subparagraph (d)(1)(i), has participated to the extent of 20% of the executed contracts; or (ii) a share of the executed contracts that have been divided equally among the specialist and other participants to the trade. T[t]he specialist's participation allocation [pursuant to trading floor practices,] shall only apply to the number of contracts remaining after all public customer orders and the member firm's facilitation order have been satisfied. However, the total number of contracts guaranteed to be allocated to the member firm and the specialist in the aggregate shall not exceed 40% of the facilitation transaction. If the facilitation transaction occurs at a price at which the specialist is not on parity, the specialist is entitled to no guaranteed participation allocation.

(4) No change. .03–.07 No change.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to permanently approve its pilot program

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter from Claire P. McGrath, Vice President and Special Counsel, Amex, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ('Division'), Commission, dated August 28, 2000, and letters from Claire P. McGrath, Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, Amex, to Elizabeth King, Associate Director, Division, Commission, dated October 14, 2002, and January 28, 2003.

⁴ See letter from Claire P. McGrath, Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, Amex, to Elizabeth King, Associate Director, Division, Commission, dated March 17, 2003. The proposed rule change, as originally filed, and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 contained significant proposed revisions to the pilot program that the Exchange in Amendment No. 4 determined to delete.

⁵ See letter from Claire P. McGrath, Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, Amex, to Elizabeth King, Associate Director, Division, Commission, dated March 31, 2003.