

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1257]

Certain Organic Light-Emitting Diode Displays, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 19, 2021, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Samsung Display Co., Ltd. of Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea and Intellectual Keystone Technology LLC of Wilmington, Delaware. A corrected complaint and supplement was filed on March 12, 2021. The complaint, as corrected and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain organic light-emitting diode displays, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,845,016 (“the ‘016 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,342,177 (“the ‘177 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,230,593 (“the ‘593 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Hiner, Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 22, 2021, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 4–6, 8, 12, and 13 of the ‘016 patent; claims 1, 3, and 4 of the ‘177 patent; and claims 15–17 and 19 of the ‘593 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “electroluminescent display panels that comprise organic light-emitting diode (‘OLED’) pixel element for presenting information to a viewer, and display monitor products, smartphone products, and televisions products that incorporate electroluminescent display panels that comprise OLED pixel elements”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
Samsung Display Co., Ltd., #1,
Samsung-ro, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si,
Gyeonggi-do, 17113, Republic of
Korea
Intellectual Keystone Technology LLC,
251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington,
Delaware 19808–1674

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

ASUSTeK Computer, Inc., 15 Li-Te
Road, Beitou District, Taipei 112,
Taiwan
ASUS Computer International, 48720
Kato Road, Fremont, California 94538
JOLED Inc., Metlife Building 10F,
Kandanishiki-cho 3–23, Chiyoda-ku,
Tokyo, Japan, 101–0054

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not be named as a party to this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 22, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–06249 Filed 3–25–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA–795]

Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Bulk Manufacturer of Marihuana: MMJ 95, LLC

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) is providing notice of an application it has received

from an entity applying to be registered to manufacture in bulk basic class(es) of controlled substances listed in schedule I. DEA intends to evaluate this and other pending applications according to its regulations governing the program of growing marihuana for scientific and medical research under DEA registration.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants therefor, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before May 25, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference Docket No.—DEA-795 in all correspondence, including attachments.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Controlled Substances Act (CSA) prohibits the cultivation and distribution of marihuana except by persons who are registered under the CSA to do so for lawful purposes. In accordance with the purposes specified in 21 CFR 1301.33(a), DEA is providing notice that the entity identified below has applied for registration as a bulk manufacturer of schedule I controlled substances. In response, registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants therefor, may file written comments on or objections of the requested registration, as provided in this notice. This notice does not constitute any evaluation or determination of the merits of the application submitted.

The applicant plans to manufacture bulk active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) for product development and distribution to DEA-registered researchers. If the application for registration is granted, the registrant would not be authorized to conduct other activity under this registration aside from those coincident activities specifically authorized by DEA regulations. DEA will evaluate the application for registration as a bulk manufacturer for compliance with all applicable laws, treaties, and regulations and to ensure adequate safeguards against diversion are in place.

As this applicant has applied to become registered as a bulk manufacturer of marihuana, the application will be evaluated under the criteria of 21 U.S.C. 823(a). DEA will conduct this evaluation in the manner described in the rule published at 85 FR

82333 on December 18, 2020, and reflected in DEA regulations at 21 CFR part 1318.

In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), DEA is providing notice that on January 13, 2021, MMJ 95, LLC, 2685 Durango Drive, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910, applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic class(es) of controlled substances:

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Marihuana Extract	7350	I
Marihuana	7360	I

William T. McDermott,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2021-06257 Filed 3-25-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Filing of Proposed Settlement Agreements Regarding Natural Resource Damage Claims at the Western Port Angeles Harbor Site

On March 22, 2021 the Department of Justice lodged two proposed Consent Decrees with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington in the lawsuit entitled *United States, on Behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the United States Department of the Interior; State of Washington Through the Department of Ecology; Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe; Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe; and the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, v. Nippon Paper Industries USA CO., LTD, Merrill & Ring Inc., Georgia-Pacific LLC, the Port of Port Angeles, Owens Corning, and the City of Port Angeles*, Civil Action No. 21-cv-5204.

The proposed Consent Decrees would resolve claims by Plaintiffs, who are State, Federal and Tribal Trustees, under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607; Section 311 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1321; and Section 1002 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), 33 U.S.C. 2702; the Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA"), Wash. Rev. Code § 70A.305.040(2); and Wash. Rev. Code § 90.48.142 for natural resource damages at the Western Port Angeles Harbor Site.

In the first Consent Decree with Nippon Paper Industries USA Co., Ltd., Merrill & Ring Inc., Georgia-Pacific LLC, Owens Corning, and the Port of Port Angeles Defendants are required to pay \$8,500,000. In a separate Decree, the

City of Port Angeles is required to pay \$800,000. The monies are to be used by the Trustees to address damages at the Site and to reimburse Trustees for past assessment costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States, et al. v. Nippon Paper Industries USA CO., LTD, et al.* D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-10973. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Settlement Agreements may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. Alternatively, a paper copy of the Settlement Agreements will be provided upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

For a copy of the Consent Decree with the City, please enclose a check or money order for \$8.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a copy of the Consent Decree with Nippon Paper Industries USA CO., LTD, et al., enclose a check or money order for \$11.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. If you are requesting both agreements, a check or money order for \$20.25 payable to the United States Treasury should be included.

Finally, please note that the Trustee agencies have released a Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan (DARP). The DARP is related to the Consent Decree but is a separate document, subject to a separate comment process. The Trustees will be holding a public meeting for more information. To learn about those Trustees and that process, please visit