

11 U.S.C.	Dollar amount to be adjusted	New (adjusted) dollar amount
(4)—in paragraph (2)(B)(iv)(I)	6,000	6,575
(5)—in paragraph (2)(B)(iv)(II)	10,000	10,950
(6)—in paragraph (5)(B)	1,000	1,100
(7)—in paragraph 6(C)	525	575
(8)—in paragraph 7(A)(iii)	525	575

Official Bankruptcy Forms 1, 6C, 6E, 7, 10, 22A, and 22C also will be amended to reflect these adjusted dollar amounts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Francis F. Szczebak, Chief, Bankruptcy Judges Division, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1900.

Dated: March 26, 2007.

Francis F. Szczebak,

Chief, Bankruptcy Judges Division.

[FR Doc. E7-5922 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,123]

A.O. Smith Electrical Products Company, McMinnville, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 16, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of A.O. Smith Electrical Products Company, McMinnville, Tennessee.

This petition is a duplicate of an earlier petition (TA-W-61,080) filed on March 8, 2007, that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would serve no purpose. Therefore, the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of March, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-5851 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,958]

Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc.; Plastics American Division; Centralia, IL; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

On December 18, 2006, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand in *Former Employees of Alcan Global Pharmaceuticals Packaging, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor*, Court No. 06-00180. SAR 47.

Case History

On March 2, 2006, the Glass, Molders, Pottery, Plastics & Allied Workers International Union, Local 267, (Union) filed a petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) with the U.S. Department of Labor (Department) on behalf of workers and former workers of Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc., Plastics Americas Division, Centralia, Illinois (subject firm). AR 2-18.

Alcan, Inc. (Alcan) is a Canadian company and the subject firm is part of Alcan's North American pharmaceutical packaging network ("Plastics Americas Division"). The closure of the subject firm was announced on November 30, 2005. AR 72.

The initial investigation revealed that the subject firm produced plastic bottles; sales and production increased in 2005 from 2004 levels; the subject firm shut down on June 30, 2006; the subject firm did not import plastic bottles in 2004, 2005, or during January through February 2006; and subject firm production shifted to other domestic Alcan facilities. AR 21, 26, 37-40, 43, 69-71.

Because subject firm sales and production did not decline in 2005 from 2004 levels, the Department did not consider it to be a declining company. However, because the subject firm closed, the Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major declining customers. The survey

revealed no increased import purchases of plastic bottles during the relevant period. AR 65, 67, 68.

The negative determination, issued April 11, 2006, stated that the subject firm did not shift production abroad and that neither the subject firm nor its major declining customers imported plastic bottles during the relevant period. AR 77-80. The Department's notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2006 (71 FR 21044-5). AR 85-87.

In its request for administrative reconsideration, the Union alleging that "the company is sending their mold equipment to Puerto Rico * * * has reported losses * * * likely as a result of competing manufacturers from overseas." AR 88.

The Department's May 12, 2006 letter informed the Union that the request for reconsideration was being dismissed because no evidence was presented that the Department erred in its interpretation of facts or of the law. The dismissal letter also stated that because Puerto Rico is a U.S. Territory, a shift of production to Puerto Rico is not considered to be a shift of production abroad, for purposes of the Trade Act of 1974. AR 90-91.

The Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration applicable to the subject firm was issued on May 15, 2006, AR 92, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2006 (71 FR 29981). AR 94. Subsequent to the dismissal of the request for reconsideration, SAR 46, the Department received additional information from the Union. SAR 2-45.

In a letter dated May 30, 2006, the Union appealed the Department's action to the USCIT. Plaintiff alleged that "[t]here is word that the company is sending their mold equipment to Puerto Rico * * * Also, the company has reported losses for years from the Centralia facility, likely as a result of competing manufacturers from overseas." SAR 1.

In order to consider the additional information and make a redetermination regarding Plaintiff's eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, the Department sought, and was granted, a voluntary remand. SAR 47.