

physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: May 17, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-7982 Filed 5-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on January 24, 2006, Stepan Company, Natural Products Dept., 100 W. Hunter Avenue, Maywood, New Jersey 07607, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in Schedule I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Cocaine (9041)	II
Benzoyllecgonine (9180)	II

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for distribution to its customer.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such a substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections being sent via regular mail may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative, Liaison and Policy Section (ODL); or any being sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, Virginia 22301; and must be filed no later than July 24, 2006.

Dated: May 17, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-7989 Filed 5-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Kevin Dean Brockbank, M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On October 14, 2004, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration to Kevin Dean Brockbank, M.D. (Dr. Brockbank) of Lakeside, Arizona. Dr. Brockbank was notified of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AB2053027, as a practitioner, and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of that registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(4) on the basis that his continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. Dr. Brockbank was further notified that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(d), his DEA registration was being immediately suspended as an imminent danger to the public health and safety.

The Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration alleged, in sum, that Dr. Brockbank was issuing prescriptions for large amounts of controlled substances to individuals without physical examinations, testing or evaluations consistent with a legitimate doctor-patient relationship. These prescriptions, which included OxyContin and hydrocodone, were not issued for legitimate medical purposes or in the usual course of professional treatment, thus violating 21 CFR 1306.04 and 21 U.S.C. 841(a). It was also alleged that over a six month period in 2004, on six occasions Dr. Brockbank issued prescriptions under such circumstances to local law enforcement officers posing undercover as patients.

The Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration alleged that over a 13 month period, Dr. Brockbank prescribed an estimated 690,000 dosage units of controlled substances to patients and that local pharmacies were refusing to fill or drastically reducing the ordered amounts of medication he was prescribing. As a result, individuals were traveling long distances to fill their prescriptions at out-of-area pharmacies.

It was also alleged that one individual died of an accidental overdose of Schedule II controlled substances, which had been excessively prescribed by Dr. Brockbank to a friend of the victim and obtained by the decedent while visiting. Finally, it was alleged Dr. Brockbank had sexually assaulted a female patient during a home visit after administering her a Schedule II controlled substance.

According to the investigative file, the Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration was personally served on Dr. Brockbank by a DEA Diversion Investigator on October 26, 2004. More than thirty days have passed since service of the Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration and DEA has not received a request for hearing or any other reply from Dr. Brockbank or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator of DEA, finding that (1) thirty days having passed since the delivery of the Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration to Dr. Brockbank, and (2) no request for hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. Brockbank is deemed to have waived his hearing right. See *David W. Linder*, 67 FR 12,579 (2002). After considering material from the investigation file in this matter, the Deputy Administrator now enters her final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(d) and (e) and 1301.46.

The Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Brockbank is currently registered with DEA as a practitioner under DEA Certificate of Registration AB2053027. According to information in the investigative file, on October 18, 2004, Dr. Brockbank entered into a Consent Agreement for Surrender of Active License (Consent Agreement) with the Arizona Medical Board. In that Consent Agreement Dr. Brockbank admitted prescribing narcotic medications to two female patients without obtaining and recording detailed patient and family histories, performing minimum physical examinations or informing the individuals of the risks and benefits of taking the controlled medications. These actions were found to be outside the standard of care for a physician licensed to practice in Arizona. Dr. Brockbank also admitted making "house calls" to two female patients, where he injected them with controlled substances and then made sexual comments and advances toward them.

The Arizona Board concluded Dr. Brockbank had engaged in unprofessional conduct under state law

and directed him to immediately surrender his license to practice medicine. There is no evidence before the Deputy Administrator that the Consent Agreement has been modified, lifted or stayed or that Dr. Brockbank's Arizona medical license has been renewed or reinstated.

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Deputy Administrator may revoke a DEA Certificate of Registration if she finds the registrant has had his state license revoked and is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances in the jurisdiction of registration. Alternatively, revocation is authorized if the registrant has committed such acts as would render his registration contrary to the public interest, as determined by factors listed in 21 U.S.C. 823(f). See *Thomas B. Pelkowski*, D.D.S., 57 FR 28,538 (1992).

Nevertheless, despite Dr. Brockbank's egregious prescribing activities, his grossly inappropriate conduct with female patients and the public interest factors that are implemented by such unprofessional and unlawful conduct, his lack of state authorization to handle controlled substances is dispositive of this matter.

DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts business. See 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See *Rory Patrick Doyle, M.D.*, 69 FR 11,655 (2004); *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51,104 (1993); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11,919 (1988).

Here, it is clear Dr. Brockbank surrendered his medical license and it is reasonable to infer that he is currently not authorized to handle controlled substances in Arizona and is therefore not entitled to a DEA registration in that state. As a result of the finding that Dr. Brockbank lacks any state authorization to handle controlled substances, the Deputy Administrator concludes it is unnecessary to address further whether his DEA registration should be revoked based upon the public interest grounds asserted in the Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration. See *Gilbert C. Aragon, Jr., D.O.*, 69 FR 58,536 (2004); *Samuel Silas Jackson, D.D.S.*, 67 FR 65,145 (2002); *Nathaniel Aikens-Afful, M.D.*, 62 FR 16,871 (1997); *Sam F. Moore, D.V.M.*, 58 FR 14,428 (1993).

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in her by 21 U.S.C. 823

and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, AB2053027, issued to Kevin Dean Brockbank, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective June 26, 2006.

Dated: May 5, 2006.

Michele M. Leonhart,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 06-4837 Filed 5-24-06; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 03-26]

H & R Corporation; Denial of Application

On April 7, 2003, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause to H & R Corporation (Respondent H & R) proposing to deny its application for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of list I chemicals. The Order to Show Cause alleged in substance that granting Respondent's application to distribute list I chemicals to what DEA has identified as the "gray market," would be inconsistent with the public interest, as that term is used in 21 U.S.C. 823(h) and 824(a).

Respondent, through counsel, requested a hearing on the issues raised by the Order to Show Cause and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. Following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Atlanta, Georgia on October 28, 2003. At the hearing, both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence. Subsequently, both parties filed Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Argument.

On December 3, 2004, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (Opinion and Recommended Ruling), recommending that Respondent's application for a Certificate of Registration as a distributor of listed chemical products be denied. Neither party filed exceptions to the Opinion and Recommended Ruling and on January 11, 2005, judge Bittner transmitted the

record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues her final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Ruling of the Administrative Law Judge. Her adoption is in no manner diminished by any recitation of facts, issues and conclusions herein, or any failure to mention a matter of fact or law.

In April 2002, Respondent, a Tennessee corporation owned by members of the Patel family, submitted an application for DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of list I chemicals, seeking authority to distribute pseudoephedrine, ephedrine and phenylpropanolamine. Mr. Ramu Patel (Mr. Patel) owns 50 per cent of the business and the remainder is owned by R. Patel's uncle, Hasmukh Patel (H. Patel) and his brothers, Mahendra and Kantibhai Patel. Mr. Patel and H. Patel are Respondent's only employees.

H & R also does business under the name "Tri-State Wholesale," a name used previously used by Elk International, Inc. (Elk International) when that company was operating out of the Chattanooga-area premises where H & R is now located. On May 1, 2001, Elk International filed an application for DEA registration as a distributor of list I chemicals. An Order to Show Cause was issued proposing to deny Elk International's application and H & R subsequently purchased the right to use the name "Tri-State Wholesale" from the company, along with its customer list. The Elk International matter was administratively closed as it was no longer in business at the location and H & R ultimately then submitted its application for registration, which is the subject of these proceedings.

H & R is a wholesale supplier of tobacco products, hair products and paper supplies to tobacco and convenience stores and what Mr. Patel referred to as "mom and pop" stores. Mr. Patel testified that he and his uncle previously owned retail tobacco stores/outlets in Dalton and Chickamauga, Georgia and his store had sold Mini-Thins and ephedrine products, along with tobacco products and other sundries.

List I chemicals are those that may be used in the manufacture of a controlled substance in violation of the Controlled Substances Act. 21 U.S.C. 802(34); 21 CFR 1310.02(a). Pseudoephedrine and ephedrine are list I chemicals which are legitimately manufactured and