Dated: July 7, 2022. Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-14924 Filed 7-12-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9990-01-R6]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permit for Oxbow Calcining, LLC, Jefferson County, Texas

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final Order on Petition for objection to Clean Air Act title V operating permit.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator signed an Order dated June 14, 2022, granting a Petition dated October 28, 2020, from the Environmental Integrity Project, Port Arthur Community Action Network, Lone Star Legal Aid, and the Lone Star Chapter of the Sierra Club. The Petition requested that the EPA object to a Clean Air Act (CAA) title V operating permit issued by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to Oxbow Calcining located in Jefferson County, Texas.

ADDRESSES: The EPA requests that you contact the individual listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to view copies of the final Order, the Petition, and other supporting information. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Region 6 office may be closed to the public to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID-19. Please call or email the contact listed below if you need alternative access to the final Order and Petition, which are available electronically at: https://www.epa.gov/ title-v-operating-permits/title-v-petitiondatabase.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aimee Wilson, EPA Region 6 Office, Air Permits Section, (214) 665–7596, wilson.aimee@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The CAA affords the EPA a 45-day period to review and object to, as appropriate, operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the CAA. Section 505(b)(2) of the CAA authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of the EPA's 45-day

review period if the EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or unless the grounds for the issue arose after this period.

The EPA received the Petition from the Environmental Integrity Project, Port Arthur Community Action Network, Lone Star Legal Aid, and the Lone Star Chapter of the Sierra Club dated October 28, 2020, requesting that the EPA object to the issuance of operating permit no. O1493, issued by TCEQ to Oxbow Calcining in Jefferson County, Texas. The Petition claims the proposed permit fails to include monitoring and recordkeeping provisions sufficient to ensure compliance with Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standards and fails to establish monitoring, testing, and recordkeeping provisions that assure compliance with Lead and Volatile Organic Compound limits from kiln stacks 2, 3, 4, and 5 in NSR Permit No. 45622

On June 14, 2022, the EPA Administrator issued an Order granting in part and denying in part the Petition. The Order explains the basis for the EPA's decision.

Dated: July 8, 2022.

### Dzung Ngo Kidd,

Acting Director, Air and Radiation Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2022–14947 Filed 7–12–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2021-7; FRL-9979-01-R4]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for U.S. Steel Seamless Tubular Operations— Fairfield Works Pipe Mill (Jefferson County, Alabama)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to title V operating permit.

summary: The EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated June 16, 2022, denying the petition submitted by Greater-Birmingham Alliance to Stop Pollution (Petitioner) objecting to a proposed modification to a Clean Air Act (CAA) title V operating permit issued to U.S. Steel Seamless Tubular

Operations for its Fairfield Works Pipe Mill (Permittee) located in Jefferson County, Alabama. The Order responds to a June 7, 2021, petition requesting that EPA object to the final operating permit no. 4–07–0371–09. This permitting action was a significant modification issued by the Jefferson County Department of Health (JCDH). The Order constitutes a final action on the petition addressed therein.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4; Air and Radiation Division; 61 Forsyth Street, SW; Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The Order is also available electronically at the following address: https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-06/

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562–9115 or hofmeister.art@epa.gov.

US%20Steel%20Order\_6-16-22.pdf.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CAA affords EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, the authority to object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7661–7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the CAA and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of the EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the permitting authority, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period. Pursuant to sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the CAA, a petition for judicial review of those parts of the Order that deny issues in the petition may be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date this notice is published in the Federal Register.

Petitioner submitted a petition requesting that EPA object to the CAA title V operating permit no. 4–07–0371–09 issued by JCDH to the Fairfield Works Pipe Mill. Petitioner claims: the public did not have a meaningful opportunity to comment on the applicability of major and minor new source review (NSR); the Permittee violated the state implementation plan by failing to commence construction of the electric arc furnace (EAF) within 24

months of JCDH's approval and, thus, required a new construction permit; JCDH failed to issue an NSR permit for the EAF both initially and after the failure to timely commence construction; and the permit failed to assure compliance with a valid NSR permit that should have been issued by JCDH.

On June 16, 2022, the Administrator issued an Order denying the petition. The Order explains EPA's bases for denying the petition.

Dated: July 5, 2022.

#### Daniel Blackman,

Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 2022–14902 Filed 7–12–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0164; FRL-9998-01-OCSPP]

# PB&ACSS JV, LLC.; Transfer of Data (June 2022)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that pesticide related information submitted to EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), including information that may have been claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI) by the submitter, will be transferred to PB&ACSS JV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants, CDM Smith, Summitec LLC, ICF, Gibb Epidemiology, Jerrold Ward, DVM, and WinTech, LLC, in accordance with the CBI regulations. PB&ACSS JV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants have been awarded a contract to perform work for OPP, and access to this information will enable PB&ACSS IV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants to fulfill the obligations of the contract.

**DATES:** Data transfer and access to information will occur by July 18, 2022.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Northern, Information Technology and Resources Management Division (7601T), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–6478; email address: northern.william@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action applies to the public in general. As such, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA- EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0164, is available at http://www.regulations.gov. Additional information about the docket, along with instructions for visiting the docket in-person, is available at https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

### **II. Contractor Requirements**

Under Contract No. 68HERC22D0017, PB&ACSS JV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants, CDM Smith, Summitec, LLC, ICF, Gibb Epidemiology, Jerrold Ward, DVM, and WinTech, LLC, will perform critical reviews of EPA designated studies submitted by the registrants and/or from the open literature, and these reviews will be provided to the task order Contract Officer's Representative (COR) in DER or other similar study evaluation report form or system, as applicable. A template of the DER format provided to the contractor shall be followed in the preparation of Data Evaluation Records (DER)s. See DER Templates for Test Guidelines https://www.epa.gov/ pesticide-registration/study-profiletemplates. Specific guidance for completing each section is provided in the DER templates. Each review will encompass all items in the study that contribute to the overall knowledge of the pesticide, and will include the following:

- 1. An evaluation of the accuracy, credibility and scientific validity of that study;
- 2. Its suitability for meeting specific data requirements.
- 3. Any necessary graphic displays of data, and/or summary tables illustrating results of the study.
- 4. Sound scientific rationale for the conclusions reached on specific studies.
- 5. Clarity in data presentation and adherence to the template and overall guidance.

An evaluation for each study will include the following:

- Study identifying information.
- An in-depth examination of the materials and methods employed.
- An in-depth examination of the reported results.

- An in-depth discussion of the reviewer's scientific assessment of the study.
- A description of the reviewer's assessment which summarizes the overall conclusions and significance of the study.
- Establishment of a no observable adverse effects levels (NOAEL) and lowest observable adverse effects levels (LOAEL) based on significant toxicological effects, if applicable.
- Characterize Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) compliance in study protocols, reviews, and for data evaluations.
- Discussion of data's relevancy for supporting public health claims in review of efficacy data.

OPP has determined that access by PB&ACSS JV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants, CDM Smith, Summitec, LLC, ICF, Gibb Epidemiology, Jerrold Ward, DVM, and WinTech, LLC, to information on all pesticide chemicals is necessary for the performance of this contract.

Some of this information may be entitled to confidential treatment. The information has been submitted to EPA under FIFRA sections 3, 4, 6, and 7 and under FFDCA sections 408 and 409.

In accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 2.307(h)(2), the contract with PB&ACSS JV, LLC. and its subcontractors and consultants, CDM Smith, Summitec, LLC, ICF, Gibb Epidemiology, Jerrold Ward, DVM, and WinTech, LLC, prohibits use of the information for any purpose not specified in the contract; prohibits disclosure of the information to a third party without prior written approval from the Agency; and requires that each official and employee of the contractor sign an agreement to protect the information from unauthorized release and to handle it in accordance with the FIFRA Information Security Manual. In addition, to the contractor and its subcontractors and consultants are required to submit for EPA approval a security plan under which any CBI will be secured and protected against unauthorized release or compromise. No information will be provided to the contractor and its subcontractors and consultants until the requirements in this document have been fully satisfied. Records of information provided to the contractor and its subcontractors and consultants will be maintained by EPA Project Officers for this contract. All information supplied to to the contractor and its subcontractors and consultants by EPA for use in connection with this contract will be returned to EPA when to the contractor